

# A pro-cdh topology on formal schemes

Shane Kelly and Shuji Saito

September 20, 2024

## Abstract

We introduce a pro-cdh topology on formal schemes and prove that the  $\infty$ -topos of procdh sheaves of spaces has an optimal bound of homotopy dimension. This remedies a defect for a procdh topology on schemes introduced in [KS23]. As an application, we give a topos-theoretic interpretation of Weibel’s vanishing of negative K-theory and motivic cohomology of Elmanto and Morrow.

## Contents

<b>1 Preliminaries from formal geometry</b>	<b>6</b>
1.1 Adic rings . . . . .	6
1.2 Formal schemes . . . . .	13
1.3 Morphisms of finite type and formally of finite type . . . . .	15
1.4 Étale morphisms and Nisnevich sites . . . . .	16
1.5 Blowups . . . . .	18
<b>2 Definition of a procdh topology</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>3 Homotopy dimension</b>	<b>23</b>
3.1 Modifications . . . . .	24
3.2 Nisnevich-Riemann-Zariski spaces . . . . .	25

3.3	Finiteness of homotopy dimension . . . . .	30
<b>4</b>	<b>Fibre functors</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>A topos-theoretic interpretation of Weibel's vanishing</b>	<b>38</b>
5.1	Algebraic $K$ -theory . . . . .	39
5.2	Negative cyclic homology . . . . .	41
5.3	Integral topological cyclic homology . . . . .	42
5.4	Motivic cohomology . . . . .	43

## Introduction

This article is a sequel to [KS23], where a procdh topology for schemes is introduced. The main motivation was to provide a Grothendieck topology encoding the proexcision property for abstract blowup squares enjoyed by some important invariants such as algebraic  $K$ -theory and cotangent complexes and to employ topos theoretic techniques to study those invariants. It is defined by modifying the cdh topology in such a way that it sees nilpotents and make it possible to define motivic cohomology that sees nilpotents. Here, we recall its definition. Let  $S$  be a qcqs scheme and  $\mathrm{Sch}_S^{\mathrm{fp}}$  be the category of schemes of finite presentation over  $S$ .

**Definition 0.1.** ([KS23, Def. 1.1]) *The procdh topology on  $\mathrm{Sch}_S^{\mathrm{fp}}$  is generated by the following coverings.*

1. *Nisnevich coverings.*
2. *Proabstract blowup squares: families of the form*

$$\{Z_n \rightarrow X\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sqcup \{Y \rightarrow X\}$$

*where  $Y \rightarrow X$  is a proper morphism of finite presentation which is an isomorphism outside of a closed subscheme  $Z_0 \subseteq X$  of finite presentation, and  $Z_n = \underline{\mathrm{Spec}} \mathcal{O}_X / \mathcal{I}_Z^{n+1}$  is the  $n$ th infinitesimal thickening of  $Z_0$ .*

*Let  $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{procdh}}(\mathrm{Sch}_S^{\mathrm{fp}})$  (resp.  $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{procdh}}(\mathrm{Sch}_S^{\mathrm{fp}}, \mathcal{S})$ ) be the topos of procdh sheaves of sets (resp. the  $\infty$ -topos of procdh sheaves of spaces) on  $\mathrm{Sch}_S^{\mathrm{fp}}$ .*

The procdh topology enjoys a number of pleasant properties. Here, we recall the following.

**Theorem 0.2.** ([KS23, Th.1.3]) *If  $S$  has finite valuative dimension  $d$  with Noetherian underlying topological space,  $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{procdh}}(\mathrm{Sch}_S^{\mathrm{fp}}, \mathcal{S})$  has homotopy dimension  $\leq 2d$ .*

The above theorem implies that  $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{procdh}}(\mathrm{Sch}_S^{\mathrm{fp}}, \mathcal{S})$  is hypercomplete if  $S$  has finite valuative dimension  $d$  with Noetherian underlying topological space ([KS23, Cor.1.4]). Combined with the conservativity of fibre functors of  $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{procdh}}(\mathrm{Sch}_S^{\mathrm{fp}})$  and a characterization of those fibre functors ([KS23, Th.1.5 and Pr.1.7]), it also provides a topos-theoretic interpretation of the Bass construction ([KS23, Th.1.8]): For any Noetherian scheme  $X$  of finite Krull dimension  $d$ , there exists a natural equivalence

$$(a_{\mathrm{procdh}}\tau_{\geq 0}K)(X) \simeq K(X).$$

Here  $K(X)$  is the non-connective algebraic  $K$ -theory of  $X$  and  $\tau_{\geq 0}K(X)$  the connective  $K$ -theory.

However, Theorem 0.2 has a drawback: Due to the appearance of  $2d$  instead of  $d^1$ , it is not possible to give a topos-theoretic interpretation of Weibel's vanishing of negative  $K$ -theory, which is the celebrated theorem [KST18, Th.B]: For any Noetherian scheme  $X$  of finite Krull dimension  $d$ , we have

$$K_i(X) = 0 \quad \text{for } i < -d. \tag{1}$$

A main purpose of this article is to remedy the drawback by considering a procdh topology on formal schemes. We now introduce our basic setup. Let  $\mathbf{aRing}$  be the category of adic rings with continuous ring homomorphisms (see Definition 1.7). Let  $\mathbf{fSch}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{fSch}_S$ ) denote the category of formal schemes (resp. formal schemes over a fixed base formal scheme  $S$ ). In this paper, we only treat locally Noetherian formal schemes  $\mathfrak{X}$  meaning that any point of  $\mathfrak{X}$  admits an affine formal open neighborhood  $\mathrm{Spf}(A)$  with  $A \in \mathbf{aRing}$  Noetherian.

Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a locally Noetherian formal scheme and  $f : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  be a morphism in  $\mathbf{fSch}$ . We say that  $f$  is *of finite type* (resp. *formally of finite type*) if it is quasi-compact and if for any point  $y \in \mathfrak{Y}$ , there exists an affine formal open neighborhood  $U = \mathrm{Spf}(A)$  of  $f(y)$  in  $\mathfrak{X}$  and an affine formal open neighborhood  $V = \mathrm{Spf}(B)$  of  $y$  in  $\mathfrak{Y}$  such that  $f(V) \subset U$  and  $B$  is *topologically of finite type over  $A$*  (resp. *formally of finite type over  $A$* ). Here, a map  $A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathbf{aRing}$  is called *topologically of finite type* (resp. *formally of finite type*) if  $B$  is a quotient of a topological  $A$ -algebra of the form  $A\{z_1, \dots, z_r\}$  (resp.  $A\{z_1, \dots, z_r\}[[w_1, \dots, w_s]]$ ), where  $A\{z_1, \dots, z_r\}$  is the convergent power series ring over  $A$ , see Definition 1.9.

---

<sup>1</sup>There exists a Noetherian scheme of Krull dimension one with procdh homotopy dimension two, see [KS23, Ex.7.17].

For a locally Noetherian formal  $S$ , we let  $\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$  denote the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{fSch}_S$  of formal schemes formally of finite type over  $S$ .

**Definition 0.3.** *The procdh topology on  $\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$  is generated by the following coverings.*

1. *Nisnevich coverings, Definition 1.39.*
2. *Formal abstract blowup covering: families of the form*

$$\{\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}|_Z \sqcup \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}\}$$

where  $Z$  is a closed subscheme of  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}|_Z$  is the formal completion of  $\mathfrak{X}$  along  $Z$ , see Definition 1.23, and  $f : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  is proper and of finite type and an isomorphism over the open complement of  $Z$  in  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Moreover,  $f$  is locally algebraizable, see Definition 2.1.

We let  $\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}(\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}})$  (resp.  $\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}(\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}, \mathcal{S})$ ) denote the topos of procdh sheaves of sets (resp. the  $\infty$ -topos of procdh sheaves of spaces) on  $\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$ .

We will prove the following result on the bound of the homotopy dimension of  $\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}(\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}, \mathcal{S})$ , which improves the bound from Theorem 0.2.

**Theorem 0.4** (Theorem 3.1). *If the underlying topological space of  $S$  has finite Krull dimension  $d$ ,  $\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}(\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}, \mathcal{S})$  has homotopy dimension  $\leq d$ .*

Now, we explain how the procdh topology on schemes is related to that on formal schemes, see Remark 2.6 for more detail. Let  $S$  be a locally Noetherian scheme viewed as a discrete formal scheme. Let  $\mathbf{Sch}_S^{\text{ft}}$  be the category of schemes of finite type over  $S$ . By definition,  $\mathbf{Sch}_S^{\text{ft}}$  is viewed as a full subcategory of  $\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$ . Then, the right Kan extension and the restriction along  $\mathbf{Sch}_S^{\text{ft}} \rightarrow \mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$  induce an equivalence

$$\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}(\mathbf{Sch}_S^{\text{ft}}, \mathcal{S}) \simeq \mathbf{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}^{\text{cont}}(\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}, \mathcal{S}), \quad (2)$$

where the left hand side is from Definition 0.1 and the right hand side is the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}(\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}, \mathcal{S})$  of those sheaves satisfying the condition

$$F(\mathfrak{X}) = \varprojlim_n F(\mathfrak{X}_n) \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{X} \in \mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathfrak{X}_n = \underline{\text{Spec}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}^n)$  for an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of definition of  $\mathfrak{X}$  ([EGAI, 10.3.1]). The condition (3) is equivalent to the sheaf condition for the topology on  $\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$  whose covering families are those of the form:  $\{\mathfrak{X}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  for  $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}(\mathbf{Sch}_S^{\text{ft}}, \mathcal{S})$  is a topological localization of  $\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}(\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}, \mathcal{S})$ .

In order to apply Theorem 0.4 to a topos-theoretic interpretation of Weibel’s vanishing, we need to characterize the fibre functors of  $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{procdh}}(\mathrm{fSch}_S^{\mathrm{fft}})$ , i.e. functors  $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{procdh}}(\mathrm{fSch}_S^{\mathrm{fft}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  which preserves colimits and finite limits. Let  $S$  be a Noetherian adic ring and let  $\mathbf{aRing}_S^{\mathrm{fft}}$  be the category of adic rings formally of finite type over  $S$ . Write  $\mathrm{fSch}_S^{\mathrm{fft}}$  for  $\mathrm{fSch}_{\mathrm{Spf}(S)}^{\mathrm{fft}}$ .

**Theorem 0.5** (Theorem 4.3). *There exists a bijection between the fibre functors of  $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{procdh}}(\mathrm{fSch}_S^{\mathrm{fft}})$  and the pairs  $(R, \mathfrak{n})$  of a ring  $R$  and an ideal  $\mathfrak{n} \subset R$  satisfying the following conditions:*

(i) *There exists an ind-object  $R_\bullet = \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_\lambda$  in  $\mathbf{aRing}_S^{\mathrm{fft}}$  such that*

$$R = \varinjlim_{\lambda} R_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{n} = \varinjlim_{\lambda} \mathfrak{n}_\lambda,$$

*where  $\mathfrak{n}_\lambda \subset R_\lambda$  is the ideal of topologically nilpotent elements and these colimits take place in the category of rings.*

(ii)  *$R/\mathfrak{n}$  is a henselian valuation ring and*

$$R \simeq R/\mathfrak{n} \times_{\kappa(\mathfrak{n})} R_{\mathfrak{n}},$$

*where  $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$  is the residue field of  $\mathfrak{n}$  and  $R_{\mathfrak{n}}$  is the localization of  $R$  at  $\mathfrak{n}$ .*

The fibre functor associated to a pair  $(R, \mathfrak{n})$  is given by

$$\phi_{R_\bullet} : \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{procdh}}(\mathrm{fSch}_S^{\mathrm{fft}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set} ; F \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F(\mathrm{Spf}(R_\lambda)) \quad (4)$$

for a chosen ind-object  $R_\bullet$  as in (i) and it is independent of choices, Proposition 4.2.

Finally, using Theorems 0.4 and Theorem 0.5, we will give a topos-theoretic new proof of the following axiomatization of Weibel’s vanishing (1), which was proved by Elmanto–Morrow [EM23, Prop.8.10] using an argument of Kerz–Strunk–Tamme for their proof of Weibel’s conjecture [KST18, Th.B] and also an idea of Cortiñas–Haesemeyer(–Schlichting)–Weibel for their proofs of Weibel and Vost’s conjecture for finite type schemes over fields of characteristic zero [CHSW08], [CHW08].

We set  $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Spt}$  or  $D(\mathbb{Z})$ . For an integer  $N$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{\geq N}$  denotes the full subcategory of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  supported in homological degree  $i \geq N$ . Let  $\mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{qcqs}}$  be the category of qcqs schemes.

**Theorem 0.6** (Theorem 5.1). *For  $F \in \mathrm{PSh}(\mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{qcqs}}, \mathcal{C})$  and integer  $N$ , consider the conditions.*

(Desc) *For every Noetherian scheme  $X$ , the restriction  $F$  to the category of schemes of finite type over  $X$  is a procdh sheaf in the sense of Definition 0.1.*

- (Fin)  $F$  is finitary, in the sense that it preserves filtered colimits of rings.
- (Rig) $_N$   $\text{fib}(F(A) \rightarrow F(A/I)) \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq -N}$  for every Noetherian ring  $A$  and nilpotent ideal  $I \subset A$ .
- (Val) $_N$   $F(R) \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq -N}$  for every henselian valuation ring  $R$ .

If  $F$  satisfies (Desc), (Fin), (Rig) $_N$ , and (Val) $_N$ , then for every Noetherian scheme  $X$  of finite Krull dimension  $d$ , we have

$$\pi_i(F(X)) = 0 \quad \text{for } i < -N - d.$$

Thanks to [KST18, Th.A] and [KM21, Th.1.3(iii)], non-connective  $K$ -theory satisfies (Desc), (Fin), (Rig) $_0$  and (Val) $_0$ . Thus, (1) is a consequence of Theorem 0.6.

In §5, we will produce a number of presheaves that satisfy the conditions of Theorem 0.6, using negative cyclic homology, integral topological cyclic homology and motivic cohomology defined by Elmanto and Morrow. These vanishing results are not new and known from [EM23]. Theorem 0.6 gives a new proof of these results.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are deeply grateful to J. Ayoub for his suggestion on a substantial simplification of the proof of Proposition 3.13. The authors also thank M. Morrow for helpful comments. The second author thanks A. Abbes for answering several questions on formal geometry.

## 1 Preliminaries from formal geometry

We introduce the basic framework where we develop a procdh topos theory. For a commutative ring  $S$ , let  $\text{Ring}_S$  be the category of (commutative) rings over  $S$  and  $\text{Sch}_S$  be the category of  $S$ -schemes.

### 1.1 Adic rings

**Definition 1.1.** A preadic ring is a ring  $R$  equipped with the  $I$ -adic topology for an ideal  $I \subset R$ . By definition,  $\{a + I^n \mid a \in R, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a basis for this topology. An ideal  $J \subset R$  is called an ideal of definition if there exist  $m, n > 0$  such

that  $I^m \subset J^n \subset I$ . An adic ring is a preadic ring which admits a finitely generated ideal  $I$  of definition and is Hausdorff and complete for the  $I$ -adic topology.

Let  $\text{paRing}$  be the category of preadic rings with continuous ring homomorphisms and  $\text{aRing} \subset \text{paRing}$  be the full subcategory of adic rings.

**Remark 1.2.** An element  $a \in A$  is topologically nilpotent if for any ideal  $I \subset A$  of definition,  $a^n \in I$  for some  $n > 0$ . For  $A \in \text{paRing}$ , the radical  $\mathfrak{n}$  of any ideal  $I \subset A$  of definition is the ideal of all topologically nilpotent elements, and  $\mathfrak{n}$  is the maximal ideal of definition if  $\mathfrak{n}$  is finitely generated, in particular if  $A$  is Noetherian.

**Remark 1.3.** Let  $A$  be a Noetherian adic ring with an ideal  $I$  of definition. Then, any ideal  $J \subset A$  is closed and  $A/J$  equipped with  $I(A/J)$ -adic topology is an adic ring, [FK, Prop. 7.4.14, 7.4.16, 7.4.12].

**Remark 1.4.** For  $A, B \in \text{paRing}$ , a ring homomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is continuous if and only if for every ideal  $J \subset B$  of definition, there is an ideal  $I \subset A$  of definition such that  $f(I) \subset J$ . If the ideal  $\mathfrak{n} \subset B$  of topologically nilpotent elements is an ideal of definition, then  $f$  is continuous if and only if  $f(I) \subset \mathfrak{n}$  for all ideals  $I \subset A$  of definition.

**Remark 1.5.** For  $R \in \text{aRing}$ , we have a natural isomorphism of topological rings

$$R \simeq \varprojlim_n R/I^n \quad \text{for } R \in \text{aRing},$$

where  $R/I^n$  is given the discrete topology. For  $A \in \text{paRing}$  with a finitely generated ideal  $J$  of definition, we have bijections

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{paRing}}(A, R) \simeq \varprojlim_n \varinjlim_m \text{Hom}_{\text{Ring}_S}(A/J^m, R/I^n) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{aRing}}(\widehat{A}_{|J}, R), \quad (5)$$

where  $\widehat{A}_{|J} = \varprojlim_n A/J^n$  is the completion of  $A$  with respect to  $J$ .

**Remark 1.6.** ([EGAI, 10.7.2]) The category  $\text{aRing}$  admits fiber coproducts: For  $A \rightarrow B$  and  $A \rightarrow C$  in  $\text{aRing}$  with ideals of definition  $J \subset B$  and  $L \subset C$ , their fiber coproduct over  $A$  is defined by

$$B \widehat{\otimes}_A C = \varprojlim_n (B/J^n \otimes_A C/L^n) = \varprojlim_n B \otimes_A C / (J \otimes_A C + B \otimes_A L)^n. \quad (6)$$

Note that the image of  $J \otimes_A C + B \otimes_A L$  in  $B \widehat{\otimes}_A C$  generates an ideal of definition.

**Definition 1.7.** A morphism  $A \rightarrow B$  in  $\text{paRing}$  is called adic if for some ideal of definition  $I$  of  $A$ ,  $IB$  is an ideal of definition of  $B$ . If the condition holds for some  $I \subset A$ , it holds for any ideal of definition of  $A$ .

**Lemma 1.8.** *For an adic morphism  $A \rightarrow B$  in  $\text{paRing}$  and  $R \in \text{paRing}$ , the following diagram is cartesian.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{\text{paRing}}(B, R) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\text{paRing}}(A, R) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}_{\text{Ring}}(B, R) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\text{Ring}}(A, R) \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Take  $g \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ring}}(B, R)$  whose image in  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Ring}}(A, R)$  comes from  $\text{Hom}_{\text{paRing}}(A, R)$ . This means that for any ideal  $J \subset R$  of definition, there is an ideal  $I \subset A$  of definition such that  $g(IB) \subset J$ . Since  $IB \subset B$  is an ideal of definition, this means  $g \in \text{Hom}_{\text{paRing}}(B, R)$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Definition 1.9.** *Let  $A$  be a Noetherian adic ring and  $A\{z_1, \dots, z_r\}$  be the convergent power series ring over  $A$  with variables  $z_1, \dots, z_r$ . Note  $A\{z_1, \dots, z_r\} = \varprojlim_{n>0} A/I^n[z_1, \dots, z_r]$  for an ideal  $I \subset A$  of definition.*

- (1) *We say that an adic ring  $B$  over  $A$  is topologically of finite type if it is isomorphic to  $C = A\{z_1, \dots, z_r\}/J$  with the  $IC$ -adic topology, where  $J$  is an ideal in  $A\{z_1, \dots, z_r\}$ .*
- (2) *We say that an adic ring  $B$  over  $A$  is formally of finite type if it is a quotient of a topological  $A$ -algebra of the form  $C = A\{z_1, \dots, z_r\}[[w_1, \dots, w_s]]$  with the  $IC + (w_1, \dots, w_s)$ -adic topology.*

**Definition 1.10.** *Let  $S$  be a Noetherian adic ring. We let  $\mathbf{aRing}_S^{\text{ft}}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{aRing}_S^{\text{fft}}$ ) denote the category of adic rings topologically of finite type (resp. formally of finite type) over  $S$  with continuous ring homomorphisms.*

**Remark 1.11.** Let  $S$  be a Noetherian adic ring.

- (1) Since  $S\{z_1, \dots, z_r\}[[w_1, \dots, w_s]]$  is Noetherian ([EGAI, 0.7.5.4.]), every  $A \in \mathbf{aRing}_S^{\text{fft}}$  is a Noetherian adic ring (cf. Remark 1.3).
- (2) By definition, for every  $A \in \mathbf{aRing}_S^{\text{ft}}$  (resp.  $A \in \mathbf{aRing}_S^{\text{fft}}$ ), any quotient  $\bar{A}$  with  $\bar{I}\bar{A}$ -adic topology is in  $\mathbf{aRing}_S^{\text{ft}}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{aRing}_S^{\text{fft}}$ ).
- (3) For every  $A \in \mathbf{aRing}_S^{\text{fft}}$  with an ideal  $I$  of definition and  $f \in A$ , let  $A_{\{f\}}$  be the  $I$ -adic completion of  $A[f^{-1}]$ . Then,  $A_{\{f\}} \in \mathbf{aRing}_S^{\text{fft}}$ . Note that  $A_{\{f\}} = 0$  if  $f$  is topologically nilpotent.
- (4) Both  $\mathbf{aRing}_S^{\text{ft}}$  and  $\mathbf{aRing}_S^{\text{fft}}$  are closed under  $\hat{\otimes}$ . Indeed, this holds without assuming  $S$  Noetherian.

**Remark 1.12.** For  $R \in \mathbf{aRing}_S$  with an ideal  $J$  of definition, we have by (5)

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{aRing}_S}(S\{z_1, \dots, z_r\}[[w_1, \dots, w_s]], R) \\ &= \varprojlim_n \varinjlim_{m,l} \text{Hom}_{\text{Ring}_S}(S/I^m[z_1, \dots, z_r, w_1, \dots, w_s]/(w_1, \dots, w_s)^l, R/J^n). \end{aligned}$$



Hence, we have a bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{aRing}_S}(S\{z_1, \dots, z_r\}[[w_1, \dots, w_s]], R) \simeq R^{\times r} \times \mathrm{tNil}(R)^{\times s} \quad (7)$$

sending  $f$  to  $(f(z_1), \dots, f(z_r), f(w_1), \dots, f(w_s))$ , where  $\mathrm{tNil}(R) \subset R$  is the ideal of topologically nilpotent elements. For  $A \in \mathbf{aRing}_S^{\mathrm{fft}}$  of the form

$$A = S\{z_1, \dots, z_r\}[[w_1, \dots, w_s]]/(f_1, \dots, f_t), \quad (8)$$

with  $f_i \in S\{z_1, \dots, z_r\}[[w_1, \dots, w_s]]$ , (7) implies

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{aRing}_S}(A, R) \simeq \{\alpha \in R^{\times r} \times \mathrm{tNil}(R)^{\times s} \mid f_i(\alpha) = 0 \in R \ (i = 1, \dots, t)\}. \quad (9)$$

**Definition 1.13.** Let  $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathbf{aRing})$  be the category of ind-objects in  $\mathbf{aRing}$ . Let

$$\Psi : \mathrm{Ind}(\mathbf{aRing}) \rightarrow \mathbf{paRing} ; \quad \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_\lambda \mapsto (R_\infty, \mathfrak{n}) \quad (10)$$

be a functor defined as follows: The underlying ring  $R_\infty$  is the colimit  $\varinjlim_{\lambda} R_\lambda$  in the category of discrete rings. We equip it with an ideal of definition

$$\mathfrak{n} = \varinjlim_{\lambda} \mathfrak{n}_\lambda, \quad (11)$$

where  $\mathfrak{n}_\lambda \subset R_\lambda$  is the ideal of topologically nilpotent elements, which is the maximal ideal of definition of  $R_\lambda$ , Remark 1.2. We point out that  $R_\infty$  is not in general separated with respect to  $\mathfrak{n}$ -adic topology. Let  $\iota_\lambda : R_\lambda \rightarrow R_\infty$  be the natural map.

**Lemma 1.14.** For  $(R_\infty, \mathfrak{n})$  as above,  $R_\infty/\mathfrak{n}$  is reduced so that  $\mathfrak{n} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{n}}$ . Moreover,  $R_\infty$  is henselian with respect to  $\mathfrak{n}$ .

*Proof.* By definition we have  $R_\infty/\mathfrak{n} \simeq \varinjlim_{\lambda} R_\lambda/\mathfrak{n}_\lambda$  and  $R_\lambda/\mathfrak{n}_\lambda$  are reduced so that  $R_\infty/\mathfrak{n}$  is reduced. The last assertion follows from [Gre69, Cor.3.6].  $\square$

**Remark 1.15.** By Remark 1.4, Lemma 1.14 implies

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{paRing}}(A, R_\infty) = \{f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ring}}(A, R_\infty) \mid f(I) \subset \mathfrak{n}\}$$

for  $A \in \mathbf{paRing}$  and every ideal  $I \subset A$  of definition.

**Remark 1.16.** For  $A \in \mathbf{aRing}_S^{\mathrm{fft}}$  and  $R_\bullet = \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_\lambda \in \mathrm{Ind}(\mathbf{aRing}_S)$ , put

$$\phi_{R_\bullet}(A) = \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{aRing}_S}(A, R_\lambda). \quad (12)$$

Assume that  $A$  has the form as (8) and consider the maps

$$\phi_{R_\bullet}(A) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{paRing}_S}(A, R_\infty) \rightarrow R_\infty^{\times r} \times R_\infty^{\times s}, \quad (13)$$

where  $(R_\infty, \mathfrak{n}) = \Psi(R_\bullet)$  (10),  $\iota$  is induced by  $\iota_\lambda : R_\lambda \rightarrow R_\infty$  and the second map is the evaluation at the images of  $(z_1, \dots, z_r, w_1, \dots, w_s)$  in  $A$ . By (9), the composite map is injective and its image is the subset of elements  $\alpha \in R_\infty^{\times r} \times \mathfrak{n}^{\times s}$  such that there exists  $\lambda$  and  $\alpha_\lambda \in R_\lambda^{\times r} \times \mathfrak{n}_\lambda^{\times s}$  with  $\alpha = \iota_\lambda(\alpha_\lambda)$  and  $f_i(\alpha_\lambda) = 0 \in R_\lambda$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . In particular,  $\iota$  is injective.

**Lemma 1.17.** *Take  $R_\bullet = \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_\lambda \in \text{Ind}(\mathfrak{aRing}_S)$  with  $\Psi(R_\bullet) = (R_\infty, \mathfrak{n})$ . For each*

$\lambda \in \Lambda$ , *let  $\overline{R}_\lambda$  be the image of the natural map  $\iota_\lambda : R_\lambda \rightarrow R_\infty$  equipped with  $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_\lambda$ -adic topology, where  $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_\lambda = \mathfrak{n}_\lambda \overline{R}_\lambda$ . By Remark 1.3, it gives an object  $\overline{R}_\bullet = \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \overline{R}_\lambda \in$*

$\text{Ind}(\mathfrak{aRing}_S)$  *such that  $\Psi(\overline{R}_\bullet) = (R_\infty, \mathfrak{n})$ . Then, the natural map  $\phi_{R_\bullet}(A) \rightarrow \phi_{\overline{R}_\bullet}(A)$  is bijective for every  $A \in \mathfrak{aRing}_S^{\text{fft}}$ .*

*Proof.* We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{aRing}_S}(A, R_\lambda) & \longrightarrow & R_\infty^{\times r} \times R_\infty^{\times s} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{aRing}_S}(A, \overline{R}_\lambda) & & \end{array}$$

where the horizontal and diagonal maps are from (13) and they are injective. By the description of their images from Remark 1.16, it suffices to show the following claim: Take  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $\overline{\alpha} \in \overline{R}_\lambda^{\times r} \times \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_\lambda^{\times s}$  such that  $f_i(\overline{\alpha}) = 0 \in \overline{R}_\lambda$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t$  and let  $\alpha \in R_\lambda^{\times r} \times \mathfrak{n}_\lambda^{\times s}$  be a lift of  $\overline{\alpha}$ . Then, there exists a transition map  $\psi : R_\lambda \rightarrow R_\mu$  such that  $f_i(\psi(\alpha)) = 0 \in R_\mu$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . Indeed, we have  $f_i(\alpha) \in J := \text{Ker}(R_\lambda \rightarrow \overline{R}_\lambda) = \text{Ker}(R_\lambda \rightarrow R_\infty)$ . Since  $R_\lambda$  is Noetherian,  $J$  is finitely generated so that there is  $\psi : R_\lambda \rightarrow R_\mu$  such that  $J = \text{Ker}(\psi)$ . Then,  $f_i(\psi(\alpha)) = \psi f_i(\alpha) = 0 \in R_\mu$  as wanted. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.18.** *Let  $A \in \mathfrak{aRing}_S^{\text{fft}}$  and  $R_\bullet, R'_\bullet \in \text{Ind}(\mathfrak{aRing}_S^{\text{fft}})$ . If  $\Psi(R_\bullet) = \Psi(R'_\bullet)$ , there is a canonical bijection  $\phi_{R_\bullet}(A) \simeq \phi_{R'_\bullet}(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $R_\bullet = \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_\lambda$  and  $R'_\bullet = \varinjlim_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R'_\gamma$ . By Lemma 1.17, we may assume

that  $R_\lambda$  and  $R'_\gamma$  are sub- $S$ -algebras of  $R_\infty$ , where  $\Psi(R_\bullet) = (R_\infty, \mathfrak{n})$ . By Remark 1.16, both  $\phi_{R_\bullet}(A)$  and  $\phi_{R'_\bullet}(A)$  are identified with subgroups of  $R_\infty^{\times r} \times \mathfrak{n}^{\times s}$ . Moreover, an element of  $\phi_{R_\bullet}(A)$  is represented by  $a \in R_\lambda^{\times r} \times \mathfrak{n}_\lambda^{\times s}$  for some  $\lambda$  satisfying  $f_i(a) = 0$  for  $i \in [1, r+s]$ . Since  $R_\lambda \subset R_\infty = \varinjlim_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R'_\gamma$ , there is  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $a \in R'_\gamma{}^{\times r} \times \mathfrak{n}'_\gamma{}^{\times s}$  so that  $a \in \phi_{R'_\bullet}(A)$ . Thus, we get  $\phi_{R_\bullet}(A) = \phi_{R'_\bullet}(A)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.19.** *Let the notation be as in Definition 1.13 and assume  $R_\lambda$  are Noetherian. For  $A \in \text{paRing}$ , the following diagram is cartesian.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varinjlim_{\lambda} \text{Hom}_{\text{paRing}}(A, R_\lambda) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\text{paRing}}(A, R_\infty) \\ \downarrow \subset & & \downarrow \subset \\ \varinjlim_{\lambda} \text{Hom}_{\text{Ring}}(A, R_\lambda) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\text{Ring}}(A, R_\infty) \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Take  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ring}}(A, R_\lambda)$  such that  $g = \iota_\lambda \circ f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{paRing}}(A, R_\infty)$ , where  $\iota_\lambda : R_\lambda \rightarrow R_\infty$  is the natural map. It suffices to find a transition map  $t : R_\lambda \rightarrow R_\alpha$  such that  $t \circ f$  is in  $\text{Hom}_{\text{paRing}}(A, R_\alpha)$ . We have  $g(I) \subset \mathfrak{n}$ , Remark 1.15. Since  $\mathfrak{n} = \varinjlim_{\mu \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{n}_\mu$  and  $I$  is finitely generated, there is  $s : R_\lambda \rightarrow R_\mu$  such that  $g(I) \subset \iota_\mu(\mathfrak{n}_\mu)$ . Noting  $g = \iota_\lambda \circ f = \iota_\mu \circ s \circ f$ , we get

$$s \circ f(I) \subset \iota_\mu^{-1}(\iota_\mu(\mathfrak{n}_\mu)) = \mathfrak{n}_\mu + K_\mu \text{ with } K_\mu = \text{Ker}(\iota_\mu).$$

Since  $R_\mu$  is Noetherian,  $K_\mu$  is finitely generated so that there is  $s' : R_\mu \rightarrow R_\alpha$  such that  $K_\mu = \text{Ker}(s')$ . Putting  $t = s' \circ s$ , we get

$$t \circ f(I) \subset s'(\mathfrak{n}_\mu + K_\mu) = s'(\mathfrak{n}_\mu) \subset \mathfrak{n}_\alpha,$$

which proves the desired assertion.  $\square$

The following proposition may be viewed as ‘relative compactness’ for an object of  $\text{aRing}_S^{\text{fft}}$  and will play a key role in the proof of Theorem 4.3.

**Proposition 1.20.** *Let  $S$  be a Noetherian adic ring and take  $A \in \text{aRing}_S^{\text{fft}}$  with an ideal  $I \subset A$  of definition. Let  $B$  be an  $A$ -algebra of finite presentation and  $\hat{B}$  be the  $IB$ -adic completion  $\hat{B}$  of  $B$ . For an ind-object “ $\varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_\lambda$ ” in  $\text{aRing}_S^{\text{fft}}$ , consider the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \varinjlim_{\lambda} \text{Hom}_{\text{aRing}_S}(\hat{B}, R_\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \varinjlim_{\lambda} \text{Hom}_{\text{paRing}_S}(B, R_\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \text{Hom}_{\text{paRing}_S}(B, R_\infty) \\ \downarrow p & & & & \downarrow q \\ \varinjlim_{\lambda} \text{Hom}_{\text{aRing}_S}(A, R_\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & & & \text{Hom}_{\text{paRing}_S}(A, R_\infty) \end{array}$$

where  $p$  and  $q$  are induced by  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \hat{B}$  and the bijection comes from (5). Then,  $f \in \varinjlim_{\lambda} \text{Hom}_{\text{aRing}}(A, R_\lambda)$  lies in the image of  $p$  if and only if  $\phi = \iota(f) \in \text{Hom}_{\text{aRing}}(A, R_\infty)$  lies in the image of  $q$ .

*Proof.* Choose  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{aRing}_S}(A, R_\lambda)$  and put  $\phi = \iota(f) \in \text{Hom}_{\text{paRing}_S}(A, R_\infty)$ . We view  $R_\infty$  as an object of  $\text{paRing}_A$  via  $\phi$ . Let  $\Lambda_{\lambda'} \subset \Lambda$  be the subcategory of

objects under  $\lambda$ . Let  $\overline{R}_\lambda$  be as in Lemma 1.17. If  $\gamma : \overline{R}_\lambda \rightarrow \overline{R}_\alpha$  is a transition map, we have  $\overline{t}_\alpha \circ \gamma = \overline{t}_\lambda$ , where  $\overline{t}_\alpha : \overline{R}_\alpha \rightarrow R_\infty$  is the natural map. Since  $\overline{t}_\alpha$  is injective,  $\gamma$  must be unique. Thus, we can view  $\overline{R}_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda/}$  as an object of  $\mathbf{aRing}_A$  using  $f$ . Then, we consider the following diagram, where all squares are commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda/}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{aRing}_A}(\hat{B}, \overline{R}_\alpha) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda/}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{paRing}_A}(B, \overline{R}_\alpha) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{paRing}_A}(B, R_\infty) \\
\downarrow \subset & & & & \downarrow \subset \\
\varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda/}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{aRing}_S}(\hat{B}, \overline{R}_\alpha) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda/}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{paRing}_S}(B, \overline{R}_\alpha) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{paRing}_S}(B, R_\infty) \\
\uparrow \simeq & & & & \uparrow = \\
\varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda/}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{aRing}_S}(\hat{B}, R_\alpha) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda/}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{paRing}_S}(B, R_\alpha) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{paRing}_S}(B, R_\infty) \\
\downarrow p & & & & \downarrow q \\
\varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda/}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{aRing}_S}(A, R_\alpha) & \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad \iota \quad \quad \quad} & & & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{paRing}_S}(A, R_\infty)
\end{array}$$

where  $\pi$  is induced by  $R_\alpha \rightarrow \overline{R}_\alpha$  and it is a bijection by Lemma 1.17 and the horizontal bijections come from (5). Now, assume that there exists  $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{paRing}_S}(B, R_\infty)$  such that  $\phi = q(\psi)$ . Note  $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{paRing}_A}(B, R_\infty)$  by definition. By Claim 1.21, it lifts to  $\overline{g} \in \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda/}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{aRing}_A}(\hat{B}, \overline{R}_\alpha)$ . Let  $g = \pi^{-1}(\overline{g}) \in \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda/}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{aRing}_S}(\hat{B}, R_\alpha)$ . By the commutativity of the diagram, we have  $\iota(p(g)) = q(\psi) = \phi = \iota(\tilde{f})$ , where  $\tilde{f}$  is the image of  $f$  in  $\varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda/}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{aRing}_S}(A, R_\alpha)$ . This implies  $\tilde{f} = p(g)$  by the injectivity of  $\iota$ , Remark 1.16, which completes the proof.

**Claim 1.21.** The natural map

$$\varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda/}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{paRing}_A}(B, \overline{R}_\alpha) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{paRing}_A}(B, R_\infty)$$

is a bijection.

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda/}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{paRing}_A}(B, \overline{R}_\alpha) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{paRing}_A}(B, R_\infty) \\
\downarrow \subset & & \downarrow \subset \\
\varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda/}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ring}_A}(B, \overline{R}_\alpha) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ring}_A}(B, R_\infty)
\end{array}$$

It is cartesian by Lemma 1.19. Since  $B$  is of finite presentation over  $A$ , the lower horizontal map is a bijection, which implies the claim.  $\square$

## 1.2 Formal schemes

In this paper, a formal scheme means a topologically ringed space  $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) Any point of  $\mathfrak{X}$  admits an open neighborhood  $\mathfrak{U}$  such that the topologically ringed space induced by  $\mathfrak{X}$  on  $\mathfrak{U}$  is the formal spectrum  $\mathrm{Spf}(A)$  [EGAI, 10.1.2] for some  $A \in \mathbf{aRing}$ . Such  $\mathfrak{U}$  is called an affine formal open of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .
- (b)  $\mathfrak{X}$  admits an ideal of definition of finite type over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ .

Here, an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of definition of  $\mathfrak{X}$  is an ideal  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  such that any point of  $\mathfrak{X}$  admits an affine formal open neighborhood  $\mathrm{Spf}(A)$  such that  $\mathcal{I}|_{\mathrm{Spf}(A)}$  corresponds to an ideal of definition in  $A$  ([EGAI, 10.3.1]). It gives rise to a scheme

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{I}} = \underline{\mathrm{Spec}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}) = (\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}) \quad (14)$$

whose underlying topological space  $|\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{I}}|$  is equal to that  $|\mathfrak{X}|$  of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

A morphism of formal schemes  $f : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  is a morphism of topologically ringed spaces such that for any point  $y \in \mathfrak{Y}$ , it induces a local homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}, f(y)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}, y}$  ([EGAI, 10.4.5 and 10.1.5]). Let  $\mathbf{fSch}$  denote the category of formal schemes. Note that  $\mathbf{fSch}$  admits fiber products such that ([EGAI, 10.4.7]).

$$\mathrm{Spf}(B) \times_{\mathrm{Spf}(A)} \mathrm{Spf}(C) = \mathrm{Spf}(B \hat{\otimes}_A C). \quad (15)$$

We say that  $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathbf{fSch}$  is locally Noetherian if any point of  $\mathfrak{X}$  admits an affine formal open neighborhood  $\mathrm{Spf}(A)$  with  $A$  Noetherian. If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is locally Noetherian, every ideal of definition of  $\mathfrak{X}$  is of finite type ([Ab10, 2.1.28]).

**Lemma 1.22.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathbf{fSch}$  and  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  be an ideal of definition of finite type. For integers  $n > 0$ , put  $\mathfrak{X}_n = \underline{\mathrm{Spec}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}^n) = (\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}^n) \in \mathbf{Sch}$ . Then,*

$$\mathfrak{X} = \varinjlim_{n>0} \mathfrak{X}_n,$$

where the transition map  $\mathfrak{X}_m \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_n$  with  $m > n$  is induced by the quotient map  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}^n$  and the colimit is taken in the category  $\mathbf{fSch}$ . i.e. it has the same topological space as that of  $\mathfrak{X}_n$  and is equipped with the sheaf of topological rings which is the limit of the sheaves of discrete rings  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n}$ .

*Proof.* See [Ab10, 2.1.23]. □

**Definition 1.23.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathbf{fSch}$ . A closed subscheme of  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a scheme  $Z = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}_Z)$  for a coherent ideal  $\mathcal{I}_Z \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  which contains an ideal of definition*

of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . The formal completion  $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}|_Z$  of  $\mathfrak{X}$  along  $Z$  is associated to

$$\varinjlim_n \underline{\mathrm{Spec}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}_Z^n)$$

via Lemma 1.22. Note that there is a natural morphism  $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}|_Z \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ .

**Remark 1.24.** If  $f : \mathfrak{X}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  is a morphism in  $\mathbf{fSch}$ , then we have (cf. (14))

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}|_Z \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{X}' = \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}'|_{Z'}, \text{ with } Z' = Z \times_{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{I}}} \mathfrak{X}'_{\mathcal{I}'}, \quad (16)$$

where  $\mathcal{I}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{I}'$ ) is an ideal of definition of  $\mathfrak{X}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{X}'$ ) such that  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}_Z$  and  $f^*(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{I}'$ . This is checked by noting that the fiber products in  $\mathbf{fSch}$  are locally given by the formula (15).

**Definition 1.25.** A morphism  $f : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  in  $\mathbf{fSch}$  is *adic* (or  $\mathfrak{Y}$  is *adic* over  $\mathfrak{X}$ ) if for any ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of definition of  $\mathfrak{X}$ ,  $f^*(\mathcal{I})\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$  is an ideal of definition of  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . Let  $\mathbf{fSch}^{\mathrm{ad}} \subset \mathbf{fSch}$  denote the subcategory of formal schemes with adic morphisms. Note that  $\mathbf{fSch}^{\mathrm{ad}}$  is closed under fiber products in  $\mathbf{fSch}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal of definition of  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathcal{J} = f^*(\mathcal{I})\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ . Then,  $f$  induces morphisms in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathcal{S}}$ :

$$f_{\mathcal{I}} : \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{I}}. \quad (17)$$

**Remark 1.26.** A typical morphism which is not adic is the natural map  $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}|_Z \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ , where  $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}|_Z$  is the completion of  $\mathfrak{X}$  along a closed subscheme  $Z \subset \underline{\mathrm{Spec}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I})$  for an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of definition of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , see Definition 1.23.

**Lemma 1.27.** Let  $f : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  and  $g : \mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  be morphisms in  $\mathbf{fSch}$ .

- (1) If  $f$  and  $g$  are adic, then so is  $g \circ f$ .
- (2) If  $g$  and  $g \circ f$  are adic, then so is  $f$ .

*Proof.* See [Ab10, 2.2.11]. □

**Definition 1.28.** For  $\mathfrak{S} \in \mathbf{fSch}$ , let  $\mathbf{fSch}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mathrm{ad}}$  denote the category of adic formal schemes over  $\mathfrak{S}$ . By Lemma 1.27(2), any morphism in  $\mathbf{fSch}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mathrm{ad}}$  is adic. Note that  $\mathbf{fSch}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mathrm{ad}}$  is closed under fiber products in  $\mathbf{fSch}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ .

**Lemma 1.29.** Let  $\mathfrak{S} \in \mathbf{fSch}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal of definition of finite type of  $\mathfrak{S}$ . For integers  $n > 0$ , put  $\mathfrak{S}_n = (\mathfrak{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}/\mathcal{I}^n)$ . Then, there is a natural equivalence between  $\mathbf{fSch}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mathrm{ad}}$  and the category of inductive systems  $\{X_n\}_{n>0}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -schemes such that for  $m \leq n$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_m & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{S}_m \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_n & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{S}_n \end{array}$$

is cartesian, and morphisms are systems of morphisms  $u_n : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -schemes such that  $u_m = u_n \times_{\mathfrak{S}_n} \text{id}_{\mathfrak{S}_m}$  for  $m \leq n$ .

*Proof.* See [Ab10, 2.2.14]. □

### 1.3 Morphisms of finite type and formally of finite type

**Definition 1.30.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a locally Noetherian formal scheme and  $f : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  be a morphism in  $\mathfrak{fSch}$ . We say that  $f$  is locally of finite type (resp. locally formally of finite type) if for any point  $y \in \mathfrak{Y}$ , there exists an affine formal open neighborhood  $U = \text{Spf}(A)$  of  $f(y)$  in  $\mathfrak{X}$  and an affine formal open neighborhood  $V = \text{Spf}(B)$  of  $y$  in  $U \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{Y}$  such that  $B$  is topologically of finite type over  $A$  (resp. formally of finite type over  $A$ ), Definition 1.9. We say that  $f$  is of finite type (resp. formally of finite type) if it is quasi-compact and locally of finite type (resp. locally formally of finite type).*

**Lemma 1.31.** *Let  $f : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  be as in Definition 1.30.*

- (1)  *$f$  is locally of finite type (resp. of finite type) if and only if  $f$  is adic and for some ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of definition of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , the morphism  $\underline{\text{Spec}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}/\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Spec}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I})$  is locally of finite type (resp. of finite type) as a morphism of schemes; then this property holds for any ideal of definition of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .*
- (2)  *$f$  is locally formally of finite type (resp. formally of finite type) if and only if there exists an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{J}$ ) of definition of  $\mathfrak{X}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{Y}$ ) such that  $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}} \subset \mathcal{J}$  and the morphism  $\underline{\text{Spec}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}/\mathcal{J}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Spec}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I})$  is locally of finite type (resp. of finite type) as a morphism of schemes; then this property holds for any an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{J}$ ) of definition of  $\mathfrak{X}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{Y}$ ) such that  $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}} \subset \mathcal{J}$ .*
- (3) *If  $f$  is locally formally of finite type (resp. formally of finite type), it is locally of finite type (resp. of finite type) if and only if it is adic.*

*Proof.* This follows from [TLP07, Pr.1.7], see also [CLNS, Appendix 3.2.4 and 3.2.5]. □

**Corollary 1.32.** *Morphisms of finite type (resp. formally of finite type) are stable under compositions and base changes, and any morphism between formal schemes of finite type (resp. formally of finite type) over a fixed formal scheme is of finite type (resp. formally of finite type).*

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 1.31 and the corresponding facts for morphisms of finite type for schemes. □

- Example 1.33.** (1) Let  $A$  be a Noetherian adic ring and  $I \subset A$  be an ideal of definition and  $X$  be a scheme locally of finite type (resp. of finite type) over  $A$ . Then, the formal completion of  $X$  along  $X \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(A)} \mathrm{Spec}(A/I)$  is a formal scheme locally of finite type (resp. of finite type) over  $\mathrm{Spf}(A)$ .
- (2) Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a locally Noetherian formal scheme and  $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}|_Z$  be the formal completion of  $\mathfrak{X}$  along a closed subscheme  $Z$ , Definition 1.23. Then, the natural map  $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}|_Z \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  is formally of finite type.

**Definition 1.34.** For a locally noetherian formal scheme  $\mathfrak{S}$ , let  $\mathrm{fSch}_{\mathfrak{S}}$  be the category of formal schemes over  $\mathfrak{S}$  and  $\mathrm{fSch}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mathrm{ft}}$  (resp.  $\mathrm{fSch}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mathrm{fft}}$ ) be the full subcategories of  $\mathrm{fSch}_{\mathfrak{S}}$  of formal schemes of finite type (resp. formally of finite type) over  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

**Remark 1.35.** By Corollary 1.32, every morphism in  $\mathrm{fSch}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mathrm{ft}}$  (resp.  $\mathrm{fSch}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mathrm{fft}}$ ) is of finite type (resp. formally of finite type) and  $\mathrm{fSch}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mathrm{ft}}$  (resp.  $\mathrm{fSch}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mathrm{fft}}$ ) is stable under fiber products in  $\mathrm{fSch}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ .

## 1.4 Étale morphisms and Nisnevich sites

In this section, all formal schemes are assumed to be locally Noetherian.

**Definition 1.36.** A morphism  $f : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  in  $\mathrm{fSch}$  is formally étale if for any closed immersion  $Y_0 \rightarrow Y$  of schemes defined by a nilpotent ideal and any morphism  $Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  in  $\mathrm{fSch}$ , the induced map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{fSch}_{\mathfrak{X}}}(Y, \mathfrak{Y}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{fSch}_{\mathfrak{X}}}(Y_0, \mathfrak{Y})$$

is bijective. The morphism  $f$  is étale if it is adic, locally of finite type and formally étale. An adic morphism  $A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathfrak{aRing}$  is called étale if  $\mathrm{Spf}(B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf}(A)$  is étale.

- Lemma 1.37.** (1) Let  $f : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  be an adic morphism in  $\mathrm{fSch}$ .  $f$  is étale, if and only if the morphism  $f_{\mathcal{I}}$  from (17) is étale for every ideal  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  of definition of finite type.
- (2) Let  $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathrm{fSch}$  with an ideal  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  of definition of finite type. For an étale morphism  $f_0 : Y_0 \rightarrow X_0 = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I})$ , there exists an étale morphism  $f : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  in  $\mathrm{fSch}$  which lifts  $f_0$ . Moreover,  $f$  is unique up to a unique isomorphism.

*Proof.* See [Ab10, 2.4.8 and 2.4.11]. □

The following deep result on algebrization of étale morphisms of adic rings plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 4.3.



**Theorem 1.38.** *Let  $A$  be a Noetherian adic ring and  $I$  be an ideal of definition of  $A$ . Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be an étale morphism in  $\mathfrak{aRing}$ . Then, there exists an étale  $A$ -algebra  $B'$  whose  $IB'$ -adic completion is isomorphic to  $B$ .*

*Proof.* This is a consequence of a more general result [El73, III théo. 7], see [Ab10, 1.16.27 and 1.16.29].  $\square$

**Definition 1.39.** *For  $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathfrak{fSch}$ , let  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}}$  be the following site: The underlying category is the full subcategory of  $\mathfrak{fSch}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  of étale morphisms  $\mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ . A sieve on  $\mathfrak{Y}$  is a covering if it contains a finite family  $\{\mathfrak{Y}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}\}_{i \in I}$  such that*

(♠) *There exists an ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  of definition of finite type of  $\mathfrak{Y}$  such that*

$$\{(\mathfrak{Y}_i, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}_i}/\mathcal{J}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}_i}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}/\mathcal{J})\}_{i \in I}$$

*is a Nisnevich covering.*

**Remark 1.40.** *If the condition (♠) holds for some  $\mathcal{J}$ , it holds any ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  of definition of finite type of  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . Hence, by Lemma 1.37, for any ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of definition of finite type of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , the restriction induces an equivalence of sites*

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}} \simeq (\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{I}})_{\text{Nis}} \text{ with } \mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{I}} = \underline{\text{Spec}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}) = (\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}), \quad (18)$$

*where the right hand side is the usual Nisnevich site on the scheme  $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{I}}$ .*

**Definition 1.41.** *A distinguished Nisnevich square is a square in  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}}$ :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{Y}' \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Y}' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \mathfrak{U} & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathfrak{Y} \end{array} \quad (19)$$

*where  $j$  is an open immersion of formal schemes and that  $f$  is an étale morphism of affine formal schemes over  $\mathfrak{X}$  such that the induced morphism  $\mathfrak{Y}' \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y} \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{I}}$  of schemes for an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of definition of  $\mathfrak{X}$  is an isomorphism over a closed subscheme  $Z$  of  $\mathfrak{Y} \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{I}}$  such that  $|Z| = |\mathfrak{Y}| \setminus |\mathfrak{U}|$ .*

**Proposition 1.42.** *The Nisnevich topology on  $\mathfrak{X}$  is generated by the Zariski topology and the covering family  $\mathfrak{U} \sqcup \mathfrak{Y}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  for distinguished Nisnevich squares (19).*

*Proof.* In view of (18), this follows from the corresponding fact on the Nisnevich topology on  $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{I}}$   $\square$

## 1.5 Blowups

**Definition 1.43.** Let  $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathbf{fSch}$  and take an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of definition of finite type of  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_n = (\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}^n)$  for integers  $n > 0$ . For a coherent open ideal  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ , we put

$$\mathfrak{X}'_n = \mathrm{Proj}\left(\bigoplus_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{A}^r \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n}\right).$$

For  $m \leq n$ , the canonical morphism  $\mathfrak{X}_m \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_n$  induces a morphism  $\mathfrak{X}'_m \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}'_n$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{X}'_m & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{X}_m \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{X}'_n & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{X}_n \end{array}$$

is cartesian, where the vertical maps are the natural projections. By Lemma 1.29, we get an adic formal scheme  $\mathfrak{X}'$  over  $\mathfrak{X}$  as the inductive limit of  $\mathfrak{X}'_n$ . It follows directly from the definition that the natural projection  $\mathfrak{X}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  is proper and of finite type. We call it the blowup of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathfrak{X}$ , or the blowup of  $\mathfrak{X}$  in the closed subscheme  $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathfrak{X}$ .

**Lemma 1.44.** Let  $X$  be a scheme,  $Y$  be a closed subscheme of  $X$  defined by a coherent ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  and  $U = X \setminus Y$  and  $\mathfrak{X} = \widehat{X}|_Y$  be the formal completion of  $X$  along  $Y$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$  be a coherent ideal such that  $\mathcal{A}|_U = \mathcal{O}_U$  and  $\phi : X' \rightarrow X$  be the blowup of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $X$ . Then, the blowup of  $\mathcal{A}|_Y$  in  $\mathfrak{X}$ , Definition 1.43, is canonically isomorphic to the formal completion  $\widehat{X'}|_{Y'}$  of  $X'$  along  $Y' = Y \times_X X'$ .

*Proof.* This is [Ab10, 3.1.3]. □

**Definition 1.45.** Let  $f : \mathfrak{X}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  be the blowup of  $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathbf{fSch}$  in a closed subscheme  $\Sigma \subset \mathfrak{X}$ , Definitions 1.23 and 1.43. Let  $T$  be a closed subscheme of  $\mathfrak{X}$  and take an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of definition of  $\mathfrak{X}$  such that  $\Sigma$  and  $T$  are closed subschemes of  $X_0 = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I})$ . Then,  $f$  is an isomorphism over  $U = X_0 \setminus \Sigma$  so that  $U$  is viewed as an open subscheme of  $X'_0 = X_0 \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{X}'$ . Then, the proper transform of  $T$  in  $\mathfrak{X}'$  is the closed subscheme of  $\mathfrak{X}'$  which is defined as the closure of  $T \cap U$  in  $X'_0$ .

## 2 Definition of a procdh topology

In this section, we fix a locally Noetherian formal scheme  $S$  and define a procdh topology on  $\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\mathrm{ft}}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a locally Noetherian formal scheme and  $Z = \underline{\mathrm{Spec}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}_Z)$  is a closed subscheme of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , Definition 1.23. We let  $\mathrm{LA}^{\mathrm{pft}}(\mathfrak{X}, Z)$  denote the class of adic morphisms  $f : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  satisfying the conditions:

- (i)  $f$  is proper, i.e. the map  $f_{\mathcal{I}} : \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{I}}$  of schemes from (17) is proper for an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of definition of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , and of finite type, Definition 1.30.
- (ii)  $f$  is  $Z^c$ -admissible, i.e. an isomorphism over the open complement of  $Z$  in  $\mathfrak{X}$ .
- (iii)  $f$  is locally algebrizable, i.e. any point of  $\mathfrak{X}$  admits an affine formal open neighborhood  $\mathrm{Spf}(A)$  such that there is a morphism  $g : Y \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)$  of schemes which is proper of finite type such that

$$\mathfrak{Y} \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathrm{Spf}(A) = Y \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(A)} \mathrm{Spf}(A).$$

Moreover,  $g$  is an isomorphism over  $\mathrm{Spec}(A) \setminus T$  for some closed subscheme  $T$  such that  $T \cap \mathrm{Spec}(A/I) \subset Z$  for an ideal  $I \subset A$  of definition.

**Remark 2.2.** By Lemma 1.44 (also [Ab10, 3.1.1.3]), if  $f : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  is the blowup of a coherent open ideal  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  in  $\mathfrak{X}$ , then  $f$  is in  $\mathrm{LA}^{\mathrm{pft}}(\mathfrak{X}, Z)$  with  $Z = \underline{\mathrm{Spec}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{A})$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $f : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  be in  $\mathrm{LA}^{\mathrm{pft}}(\mathfrak{X}, Z)$ .

- (1) For any closed subscheme  $Z'$  of  $\mathfrak{X}$  such that  $|Z| \subset |Z'|$ ,  $f$  is in  $\mathrm{LA}^{\mathrm{pft}}(\mathfrak{X}, Z')$ .
- (2) For  $g : \mathfrak{X}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  in  $\mathrm{fSch}$ ,  $\mathfrak{Y} \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{X}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}'$  is in  $\mathrm{LA}^{\mathrm{pft}}(\mathfrak{X}', Z')$ , where choosing an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{I}'$ ) of definition of  $\mathfrak{X}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{X}'$ ) such that  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}_Z$  and  $g^*(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{I}'$ ,  $Z' = Z \times_{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{I}}} \mathfrak{X}'_{\mathcal{I}'}$  (cf, (14)).
- (3) The diagonal  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{Y}} : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y} \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{Y}$  is in  $\mathrm{LA}^{\mathrm{pft}}(\mathfrak{Y} \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{Y}, W \times_Z W)$ , where choosing an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of definition of  $\mathfrak{X}$  such that  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}_Z$ ,  $W = Z \times_{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{I}}} \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathcal{I}}$  with  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ .

*Proof.* (1) is obvious. We prove (2). Let the notation be as in Definition 2.1(iii). We may assume  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{Spf}(A)$  and  $\mathfrak{X}' = \mathrm{Spf}(A')$  with ideals of definition  $I \subset A$  and  $I' \subset A'$  such that  $IA' \subset I'$  and  $I \subset J$  for the ideal  $J$  defining  $Z$ . Putting  $g' : Y' = Y \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(A)} \mathrm{Spec}(A') \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A')$ , we have  $\mathfrak{Y} \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{X}' = Y' \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(A')} \mathrm{Spf}(A')$  and  $g'$  is an isomorphism over  $\mathrm{Spec}(A') \setminus T'$ , where  $T' = T \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(A)} \mathrm{Spec}(A')$ . Letting  $K \subset A$  be the ideal defining  $T$ , the assumption  $T \cap \mathrm{Spec}(A/I) \subset Z$  implies  $J \subset I + K$  so that  $I' + JA' \subset I' + KA'$ , and hence,  $T' \cap \mathrm{Spec}(A'/I') \subset Z'$ , where  $Z' = Z \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(A/I)} \mathrm{Spec}(A'/I')$ . This proves (2).

To prove (3), we may assume  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{Spf}(A)$ . Consider the following diagram where the squares are cartesian in  $\mathrm{fSch}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{Y} & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathfrak{Y}}} & \mathfrak{Y} \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{Y} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spf}(A) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\Delta_Y} & Y \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(A)} Y & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathrm{Spec}(A) \end{array}$$

The maps  $\Delta_Y$  and  $f$  are isomorphisms over  $\text{Spec}(A) \setminus T$  and the assumption  $T \cap \text{Spec}(A/I) \subset Z$  implies  $f^{-1}(T) \cap f^{-1}(\text{Spec}(A/I)) \subset f^{-1}(Z) = W \times_Z W$ , which proves (3).

□

**Definition 2.4.** *The procdh topology on  $\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$  is generated by the following coverings.*

- (Nis) *Nisnevich coverings, Definition 1.39.*
- (FAB) *Formal abstract blowup covering: families of the form*

$$\{\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}|_Z \sqcup \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}\}$$

where  $Z$  is a closed subscheme of  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  is in  $\text{LA}^{\text{pft}}(\mathfrak{X}, Z)$ .

We let  $\text{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}(\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}})$  denote the category of procdh sheaves of sets.

**Remark 2.5.** In view of Lemma 2.3(2) and (16), the covering family (FAB) is stable under base changes.

**Remark 2.6.** Let  $\text{Sch}_{S/I^\infty}^{\text{ft}}$  be the full subcategory of the category of schemes of finite type over  $S$  consisting those  $X \rightarrow S$  that factor through  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_S/I)$  for some ideal  $I$  of definition of  $S$ . For such  $X \in \text{Sch}_{S/I^\infty}^{\text{ft}}$ , the map of  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(S/I) \hookrightarrow \text{Spf}(S)$  is an object of  $\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$  so that  $\text{Sch}_{S/I^\infty}^{\text{ft}}$  is viewed as a full subcategory of  $\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$ . The embedding  $\iota : \text{Sch}_{S/I^\infty}^{\text{ft}} \rightarrow \mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$  induces a pair of adjoint functors

$$\text{cont} : \text{PSh}(\text{Sch}_{S/I^\infty}^{\text{ft}}) \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \text{PSh}(\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}) : \iota^* \quad (20)$$

where  $\iota^*$  (resp.  $\text{cont}$ ) is the restriction (resp. the right Kan extension) along  $\iota$ . It induces an equivalence

$$\text{cont} : \text{PSh}(\text{Sch}_{S/I^\infty}^{\text{ft}}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{PSh}^{\text{cont}}(\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}), \quad (21)$$

where  $\text{PSh}^{\text{cont}}(\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}})$  denotes the full subcategory of those presheaves satisfying

$$F(\mathfrak{X}) = \varprojlim_n F(\mathfrak{X}_n) \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{X} \in \mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}, \quad (22)$$

where  $\mathfrak{X}_n = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}^n)$  for an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of definition of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . The continuity condition (22) is equivalent to the sheaf condition for the topology on  $\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$  whose covering families are those of the form

(Cont)  $\{\mathfrak{X}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  for  $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$ .

The equivalence can be seen by noting  $\mathfrak{X}_n \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{X}_m = \mathfrak{X}_{\min(n,m)}$  and

$$\varprojlim_n F(\mathfrak{X}_n) = \text{eq}\left(\prod_{\mathbb{N}} F(\mathfrak{X}_n) \rightrightarrows \prod_{\mathbb{N}} F(\mathfrak{X}_n)\right).$$

One sees that (21) restricts to an equivalence

$$\text{cont} : \text{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}(\text{Sch}_{S/I^\infty}^{\text{ft}}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}^{\text{cont}}(\text{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}), \quad (23)$$

where  $\text{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}(\text{Sch}_{S/I^\infty}^{\text{ft}})$  is the full subcategory of  $\text{PSh}(\text{Sch}_{S/I^\infty}^{\text{ft}})$  consisting of those presheaves whose restrictions to each  $\text{Sch}_{S/I^n}^{\text{ft}}$  for  $n > 0$  is a procdh sheaf in the sense of [KS23, Def. 1.1] and  $\text{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}^{\text{cont}}(\text{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}})$  is the category of sheaves on  $\text{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$  for the topology generated by (Nis) and (FAB) and (Cont).

In what follows, we address some basic properties of the procdh topology.

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$  and  $Z, W$  be closed subschemes of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , Definition 1.23, such that  $|\mathfrak{X}| = |Z| \cup |W|$ . Then, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{|Z \cap W} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{|W} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{|Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{X} \end{array} \quad (24)$$

is called a closed coverings square in  $\text{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$ , where  $Z \cap W = Z \times_{\mathfrak{X}} W$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** For every square (24),  $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{|Z} \sqcup \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{|W} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  is a procdh covering.

*Proof.* The proof is suggested by J. Ayoub. Let  $\mathfrak{X}' = \text{Bl}_{Z \cap W}(\mathfrak{X})$  be the blowup of  $\mathfrak{X}$  in  $Z \cap W$ , Definition 1.43 and  $Z'$  (resp.  $W'$ ) be the proper transform of  $Z$  (resp.  $W$ ) in  $\mathfrak{X}'$ , Definition 1.45 and put  $\mathfrak{U}' = \mathfrak{X}' \setminus Z'$  and  $\mathfrak{V}' = \mathfrak{X}' \setminus W'$ . Then, we have  $Z' \cap W' = \emptyset$  so that  $\mathfrak{U}' \sqcup \mathfrak{V}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}'$  is a Zariski covering. Composing this with a procdh covering  $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{|Z \cap W} \sqcup \mathfrak{X}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ , we get a procdh covering  $\mathfrak{U}' \sqcup \mathfrak{V}' \sqcup \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{|Z \cup W}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{U}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{V}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ ) factors through  $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{|W}$  (resp.  $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{|Z}$ ), this proves the lemma.  $\square$

The following lemma was suggested by J. Ayoub.

**Lemma 2.9.** The procdh topology on  $\text{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$  is generated by Nisnevich topology and by families of the form

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{|Z} \sqcup \widehat{(\text{Bl}_{\Sigma} \mathfrak{X})}_{|X'_0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}, \quad (25)$$

where  $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$  and  $\Sigma \subset Z \subset X_0 := \underline{\text{Spec}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I})$  are closed subschemes for some ideal  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  of definition and  $\text{Bl}_{\Sigma}\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  is the blowup of  $\mathfrak{X}$  in  $\Sigma$ , Definition 1.43, and  $(\widehat{\text{Bl}_{\Sigma}\mathfrak{X}})_{|X'_0}$  is the formal completion of  $\text{Bl}_{\Sigma}\mathfrak{X}$  along the proper transform  $X'_0$  of  $X_0$ , Definition 1.45.

*Proof.* (Ayoub) First, we claim that (2.9) is a covering. Indeed,  $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{|Z} \sqcup \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  with  $\mathfrak{Y} = \text{Bl}_{\Sigma}\mathfrak{X}$  is a covering by Definition 2.4 and  $\widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}_{|X'_0} \sqcup \widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}_{|E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  with  $E = \mathfrak{Y} \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \Sigma$  is a covering by Lemma 2.8. Hence, the claim follows from the fact that  $\widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}_{|E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  factors through  $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{|\Sigma} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{|Z}$ .

It remains to prove that for  $\mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  in  $\text{LA}^{\text{pft}}(\mathfrak{X}, Z)$  as in Definition 2.4(FAB), we can refine the covering  $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{|Z} \sqcup \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  by a covering of the form (2.9). By Definition 2.1, we may assume that  $\mathfrak{X} = \text{Spf}(A)$  and there is a morphism  $g : Y \rightarrow X = \text{Spec}(A)$  of schemes which is proper of finite type such that

$$\mathfrak{Y} \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \text{Spf}(A) = Y \times_{\text{Spec}(A)} \text{Spf}(A),$$

and that  $g$  is an isomorphism over  $\text{Spec}(A) \setminus T$  for some closed subscheme  $T$  such that  $T \cap \text{Spec}(A/I) \subset Z$  with  $I = \mathcal{I}A$ . By [RG71, Se.5] and [Tem08, Lem.2.1.5], there exist a closed subscheme  $T' \subset T$  such that  $\text{Bl}_{T'}(X) \rightarrow X$  factors through  $Y$ . Hence, we may assume  $Y = \text{Bl}_{T'}(X)$ . Then, letting  $f : Y' = \text{Bl}_{\Sigma}(X) \rightarrow X$  with  $\Sigma := T \cap \text{Spec}(A/I)$  and  $X'_0$  be the proper transform of  $X_0$  in  $Y'$ , it suffices to show that  $\widehat{Y'}_{|X'_0} \rightarrow X$  factors through  $\text{Bl}_T(X)$ . Let  $T'$  be the proper transform of  $T$  in  $Y'$  and  $E = f^{-1}(\Sigma)$ . Since the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_{T'}$  is equal to  $\mathcal{I}_{f^{-1}(T)} \cdot (\mathcal{I}_E)^{-1}$ , we have a map  $\text{Bl}_{T'}(Y') \rightarrow \text{Bl}_T(Y)$  by the universality of blowups. On the other hand, we have a map  $\widehat{Y'}_{|X'_0} \rightarrow \text{Bl}_{T'}(Y')$  since  $X'_0 \cap T' = \emptyset$ . This proves the desired assertion.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $F \in \text{PSh}(\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}, \mathcal{S})$  be a presheaf of spaces on  $\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$ .*

- (1)  *$F$  satisfies Čech descent for the family of coverings of the form  $\mathfrak{Y} \sqcup \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{|Z} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  from Definition 2.4 if and only if it sends all squares*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{|Z} \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{Y} & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathfrak{Y} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{|Z} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathfrak{X} \end{array} \quad (26)$$

*to cartesian squares.*

- (2)  *$F$  satisfies Čech descent for the family of coverings of the form  $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{|Z} \sqcup \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{|W} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  from Lemma 2.8) if and only if it sends all squares (24) to cartesian squares.*

*Proof.* Consider the cd-structure on  $\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$  associated to all squares of the form (26). By Lemma 2.3, those squares are stable under base changes and the squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}|_Z \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{Y} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Y} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}|_Z \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{Y} \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{Y} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Y} \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{Y} \end{array}$$

with the diagonal vertical maps are again of the form (26). Hence, (1) follows from [Voe10, Lem. 2.5 and 2.11] and [AHW17, Th.3.2.5]. (2) is proved by the same argument noting that for a given square (24), the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}|_Z & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}|_{Z \cap W} \times_{\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}|_Z} \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}|_{Z \cap W} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}|_W \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}|_W \end{array}$$

with the diagonal vertical maps are again of the form (24). □

### 3 Homotopy dimension

The main result of this section is the following.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $S$  be a locally Noetherian formal scheme. Take  $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$  and let  $d$  be the Krull dimension of  $|\mathfrak{X}|$ . Then, the  $\infty$ -topos  $\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}(\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}/\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{S})$  has finite homotopy dimension  $\leq d$  ([Lur09, Prop.6.5.1.12, Def.7.2.1.1]).*

The proof of the above theorem follows the strategy in [KS23, §7]. For this, we use Nisnevich-Riemann-Zariski spaces of  $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$ , which are defined as

$$\text{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}}) = \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{Y} \in \text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X})} \mathfrak{Y}_{\text{Nis}},$$

with the colimit being indexed by modifications  $\mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  and taking place in the category of finitary  $\infty$ -sites (see Definition 3.6).

### 3.1 Modifications

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a locally Noetherian formal scheme and  $U \subset \mathfrak{X}$  be a quasi-compact open. A morphism in  $\mathbf{fSch}_X^{\text{ftt}}$  is  $U$ -admissible if it is a composite of morphisms of the following types:

- (i) a morphism  $f : \mathfrak{Y}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  is in  $\text{LA}^{\text{ftt}}(\mathfrak{Y}, T)$ , Definition 2.1, for some closed subscheme  $T$  of  $\mathfrak{Y}$ , Definition 1.23, such that  $|\mathfrak{Y} \setminus T| \supset |U \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{Y}|$ .
- (ii) a morphism  $\widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}|_W \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ , where  $W$  is a closed subscheme of  $\mathfrak{Y}$  such that  $W \supset |U \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{Y}|$ .

An object  $\mathfrak{Y} \in \mathbf{fSch}_X^{\text{ftt}}$  is called a  $U$ -modification if  $\mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  is  $U$ -admissible. Note that every  $U$ -modification  $\mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  is an isomorphism over  $U$ .

The subcategory of  $U$ -modifications with  $U$ -admissible morphisms is denoted  $\text{Mod}_U(\mathfrak{X}) \subseteq \mathbf{fSch}_X^{\text{ftt}}$ . We also define

$$\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}) = \varinjlim_{U \subset \mathfrak{X}} \text{Mod}_U(\mathfrak{X}),$$

where  $U$  ranges over all open in  $\mathfrak{X}$  such that  $|U|$  is dense in  $|\mathfrak{X}|$ . Note that the colimit is filtered since the intersection of two dense open subsets in  $|\mathfrak{X}|$  is dense.

**Remark 3.3.** By Lemma 2.3 and (16), if  $\mathfrak{Y}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}'' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  are morphisms in  $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X})$ , then  $\mathfrak{Y}' \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{Y}'' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  and the diagonal  $\mathfrak{Y}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}' \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{Y}''$  are again in  $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X})$ . In particular,  $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X})$  admits finite limits, calculated in  $\mathbf{fSch}_X^{\text{ftt}}$ , and is therefore filtered.

**Remark 3.4.** For every  $f : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  in  $\text{Mod}_U(\mathfrak{X})$ , there is  $\mathfrak{Y}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  in  $\text{Mod}_U(\mathfrak{X})$  such that  $|U \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{Y}'|$  is dense in  $|\mathfrak{Y}'|$ . Indeed, take an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{J}$ ) of definition of  $\mathfrak{X}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{Y}$ ) such that  $f^*(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{J}$ . Let  $U_{\mathcal{I}} = U \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{I}}$  and  $Z$  be the closure of  $U_{\mathcal{I}} \times_{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{I}}} \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathcal{J}}$  in  $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathcal{J}}$ . Then, we may take  $\mathfrak{Y}' = \widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}|_Z$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $f : \mathfrak{Y}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  be a morphism in  $\text{Mod}_U(\mathfrak{X})$  for a quasi-compact open  $U$  in  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Let  $Z$  be a closed subscheme of  $\mathfrak{Y}$  such that  $|U \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{Y}| \supset |\mathfrak{Y} \setminus Z|$  and put  $Z' = Z \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{Y}'$ . Then, every procdh sheaf  $F \in \text{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}(\mathbf{fSch}_X^{\text{ftt}}, \mathcal{S})$  of spaces sends the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}|_{Z'} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Y}' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}|_Z & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Y} \end{array} \quad (27)$$

to a cartesian square of spaces.



*Proof.* Note  $\widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}'_{|Z'} = \widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}_{|Z} \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{Y}'$  by (16) and that  $|U \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{Y}| \supset |\mathfrak{Y} \setminus Z|$  implies  $|U \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{Y}'| \supset |\mathfrak{Y}' \setminus Z'|$ . Since the compositions of cartesian squares is cartesian, we may assume that  $f$  is either of the type (i) or (ii) from Definition 3.2. For the type (i), we have  $|\mathfrak{Y} \setminus Z| \subset |U \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{Y}| \subset |\mathfrak{Y} \setminus T|$  so that  $|T| \subset |Z|$ . By Remark 2.6,  $\widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}_{|Z} \sqcup \mathfrak{Y}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  is a covering (FAB) from Definition 2.4. So, the assertion follows from Lemma 2.10(1). For the type (ii), we may write  $\mathfrak{Y}' = \widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}_{|W}$  for a closed subscheme  $W$  of  $\mathfrak{Y}$  such that  $W \supset |U \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{Y}|$ . Then, we have  $|\mathfrak{Y}| = |Z| \cup |W|$  and the assertion follows from Lemma 2.10(2).  $\square$

### 3.2 Nisnevich-Riemann-Zariski spaces

In this subsection we consider a Nisnevich version of the Riemann-Zariski space associated to a locally Noetherian formal scheme  $\mathfrak{X}$ . This will be used as small sites. The main result of this section is Corollary 3.16 which says that for each  $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$ , the canonical comparison functor  $\text{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}(\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(\text{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}}))$  preserves colimits and finite limits. This result plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

**Definition 3.6.** *For a locally Noetherian formal scheme  $\mathfrak{X}$  we define*

$$\text{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}}) = \int_{\mathfrak{Y} \in \text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X})} \mathfrak{Y}_{\text{Nis}}.$$

*Explicitly,  $\text{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}}) \subseteq \text{Arr}(\mathbf{fSch}_X^{\text{fft}})$  is the category whose objects are morphisms  $\mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  such that  $\mathfrak{Y} \in \text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X})$  and  $\mathfrak{U} \in \mathfrak{Y}_{\text{Nis}}$ , Definition 1.39, and morphisms are commutative squares*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{U}' & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{U} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{Y}' & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Y} \end{array}$$

*We abbreviate  $\mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  to  $(\mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{Y})$ .*

**Remark 3.7.** (see [KS23, Rem.4.5])  $\text{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}})$  admits finite limits, and they are calculated termwise.

**Definition 3.8.** *We equip  $\text{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}})$  with the Grothendieck topology generated by:*

1. *families of the form*

$$\{(\mathfrak{U}_i/\mathfrak{Y}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{Y})\}_{i \in I} \tag{Nis}$$

*such that  $\{\mathfrak{U}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}\}$  is a Nisnevich covering, Definition 1.39,*

2. *families of the form*

$$\{(\mathfrak{U} \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{Y}'/\mathfrak{Y}') \rightarrow (\mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{Y})\}, \tag{Car}$$

*for  $\mathfrak{Y}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  in  $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X})$ .*

**Lemma 3.9.** *Any covering in  $\text{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}})$  is refinable by one of the form*

$$\{(\mathfrak{U}'_i/\mathfrak{Y}') \rightarrow (\mathfrak{U}'/\mathfrak{Y}') \rightarrow (\mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{Y})\}_{i \in I}$$

where  $\mathfrak{Y}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y} \in \text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X})$  and  $\mathfrak{U}' = \mathfrak{U} \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{Y}'$  and  $\{\mathfrak{U}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}'\}_{i \in I}$  is a Nisnevich covering.

*Proof.* It suffices to show that given families  $\{(\mathfrak{U}_i/\mathfrak{Y}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{Y})\}_{i \in I}$  of the form (Nis) and  $(\mathfrak{V}_i/\mathfrak{Y}_i) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{U}_i/\mathfrak{Y})$  ( $\mathfrak{V}_i = \mathfrak{U}_i \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{Y}_i$ ) of the form (Car) for each  $i \in I$ , we can find  $\mathfrak{Y}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  in  $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X})$  such that  $\mathfrak{U}_i \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{Y}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  factors through  $\mathfrak{V}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  for each  $i \in I$ . Since  $\mathfrak{U}$  is quasi-compact, we can assume that  $I$  is finite and let  $\mathfrak{Y}'$  be the fibre product of all the  $\mathfrak{Y}_i$  over  $\mathfrak{Y}$  (cf. Remark 3.3). Now we form the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & \mathfrak{U} \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{Y}' & & \\ & & & & \swarrow & & \searrow \\ \mathfrak{U} & \longleftarrow & \mathfrak{U}_i & \longleftarrow & \mathfrak{V}_i & \longleftarrow & \mathfrak{Y}'_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{Y} & \longleftarrow & \mathfrak{Y} & \longleftarrow & \mathfrak{Y}_i & \longleftarrow & \mathfrak{Y}' \end{array}$$

where the right and middle squares are cartesian in  $\text{fSch}_S^{\text{ftt}}$ . Then,  $\{\mathfrak{Y}'_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{U} \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{Y}'\}_{i \in I}$  is a Nisnevich covering. This proves the lemma.  $\square$

**Remark 3.10.** (cf. [KS23, Rem.4.7 and 4.8]) Since the diagonal of a modification is again a modification by Remark 3.3, a presheaf of sets (resp. spaces) satisfies descent for all families (Car) if and only if it sends each  $(\mathfrak{Y}' \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{Y}') \rightarrow (\mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{Y})$  to an isomorphism (resp. equivalence). Consequently,

$$\text{Shv}(\text{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}})) = \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{Y} \in \text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X})} \text{Shv}(\mathfrak{Y}_{\text{Nis}}), \quad (28)$$

where the limit is along pushforwards  $f_* : \text{Shv}(\mathfrak{Y}'_{\text{Nis}}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(\mathfrak{Y}_{\text{Nis}})$  for morphisms  $f : \mathfrak{Y}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  in  $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X})$ .

The following proposition is proved by the verbatim same argument as the proof of [KS23, Pr.4.8].

**Lemma 3.11.** *Suppose  $F \in \text{PSh}(\text{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}}))$  has descent for the coverings (Nis). Then the sheafification  $aF \in \text{Shv}(\text{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}}))$  satisfies*

$$aF(\mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{Y}) = \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{Y}' \in (\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}))_{/\mathfrak{Y}}} F(\mathfrak{Y}' \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{Y}'). \quad (29)$$

The same is true for presheaves of spaces.

**Definition 3.12.** Let  $S$  be a locally Noetherian formal scheme. For  $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$ , we consider the canonical projection functor

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{X}} : \mathbf{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}; \quad (\mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{Y}) \mapsto \mathfrak{U}$$

and the functor induced by composition

$$\mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}})); \quad F \mapsto F \circ \rho_{\mathfrak{X}}. \quad (30)$$

By composing this with the sheafification functor  $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}})) \rightarrow \mathbf{Shv}(\mathbf{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}}))$ , we get

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{X}}^* : \mathbf{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}(\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Shv}(\mathbf{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}})). \quad (31)$$

Recall that a morphism of sites  $\phi : C \rightarrow D$  is *cocontinuous* if for every  $X \in C$  and covering family  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i \rightarrow \phi X\}_{i \in I}$  in  $D$ , there is a covering family  $\{V_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in J}$  in  $C$  such that  $\{\phi V_i \rightarrow \phi X\}_{i \in J}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$ , [SGA41, Def.III.2.1], [Sta18, 00XJ].

**Proposition 3.13.**  $\rho_{\mathfrak{X}}$  is cocontinuous.

*Proof.* For  $(\mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{Y}) \in \mathbf{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}})$  and a procdh covering  $\mathfrak{V} \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}$  in  $\mathbf{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}$ , we want to find a morphism  $\mathfrak{Y}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  in  $\mathbf{Mod}(\mathfrak{X})$  and a Nisnevich covering:

$$\{\mathfrak{U}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{U} \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{Y}'\}_{i \in I} \quad (32)$$

and a map  $\phi : \coprod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{U}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{V}$  fitting into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{U}_i & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{U} \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{Y}' \\ \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{V} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{U} \end{array} \quad (33)$$

Since procdh coverings are refined by finite length compositions of generator procdh coverings, it suffices to prove the claim in case  $\mathfrak{V} \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}$  is a Nisnevich covering, Definition 1.39 or a covering from Lemma 2.9.

For a Nisnevich covering the statement is obvious since for any  $(\mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{Y}) \in \mathbf{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}})$ , a Nisnevich covering  $\{\mathfrak{U}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}\}_{i \in I}$  of  $\mathfrak{U}$  gives rise to a Nisnevich covering  $\{(\mathfrak{U}_i/\mathfrak{Y}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{Y})\}_{i \in I}$  of  $(\mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{Y})$  in  $\mathbf{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}})$ .

Next we treat a covering from (25)

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}_{|\Xi'} \sqcup \widehat{\mathfrak{W}}_{|W_0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{U} \text{ with } \mathfrak{W} = \text{Bl}_{\Xi}(\mathfrak{U}),$$

where  $\Xi \subset \Xi'$  are closed subschemes of  $U_0 = Y_0 \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U}$  with  $Y_0 = \overline{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}/\mathcal{I})}$  for an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of definition of  $\mathfrak{Y}$  and  $\mathfrak{W} = \text{Bl}_{\Xi} \mathfrak{U}$  is the blowup of  $\mathfrak{U}$  in  $\Xi$  and  $W_0$  is the proper transform of  $U_0$  in  $\mathfrak{W}$  and  $\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}_{|W_0}$  is the formal completion of  $\mathfrak{W}$  along  $W_0$ . Since we have a natural map  $\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}_{|\Xi} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{U}}_{|\Xi'}$ , we may assume  $\Xi = \Xi'$ .

**Claim 3.14.** We may assume that  $U_0$  is irreducible.

By Lemma 3.17, we may assume that  $U_0 = \bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq r} U_i$ , where  $U_i \subset U_0$  are clopen and irreducible. Then, letting  $\mathfrak{U}_i = \widehat{\mathfrak{U}}|_{U_i}$ ,

$$\bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq r} (\mathfrak{U}_i/\mathfrak{Y}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{Y})$$

is a Ninevich covering. Hence, the claim follows from Lemma 3.9.

By the claim, there are two cases to be considered.

Case 1:  $|U_0| = |\Xi|$ . In this case, we have  $\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}|_{\Xi} = \widehat{\mathfrak{U}}|_{U_0} = \mathfrak{U}$ . So the trivial covering  $\{(\mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{Y}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{Y})\}$  gives a square (33).

Case 2:  $U_0 \neq \emptyset$  and  $\Xi$  is nowhere dense in  $U_0$ . We will build a square (33) for some  $\mathfrak{Y}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  in  $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{Y})$  with (32) a trivial covering. Let  $\Sigma \subset Y_0 = \underline{\text{Spec}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}/\mathcal{I})$  be the closure of the image of  $\Xi$  under  $U_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ . Since  $\Xi$  is nowhere dense in  $U_0$  and  $U_0 \rightarrow Y_0$  is étale,  $\Sigma$  is a nowhere dense in  $Y_0$ .

**Claim 3.15.** We may assume  $\Sigma \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U} = \Xi \sqcup \Theta$  for a clopen  $\Theta \subset \Sigma \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.18, there exists a nowhere dense closed subscheme  $D$  in  $\Sigma$  such that letting  $\mathfrak{Y}' = \text{Bl}_D(\mathfrak{Y})$  be the blowup of  $\mathfrak{Y}$  in  $D$ , Definition 1.43, and  $\mathfrak{U}' = \mathfrak{Y}' \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U}$  and  $\Sigma'$  (resp.  $\Xi'$ ) be the proper transform of  $\Sigma$  in  $\mathfrak{Y}'$  (resp.  $\Xi$  in  $\mathfrak{U}'$ ), we have a decomposition  $\Sigma' \times_{\mathfrak{Y}'} \mathfrak{U}' = \Xi' \sqcup \Theta'$  for a clopen subscheme  $\Theta'$ . Since  $\mathfrak{Y}'$  is in  $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{Y})$ , it suffices to show that  $\text{Bl}_{\Xi'}(\mathfrak{U}') \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}$  factors through  $\text{Bl}_{\Xi}(\mathfrak{U})$ . Since blowups commute with flat base changes,  $\mathfrak{U}' = \text{Bl}_D(\mathfrak{Y}) \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U} = \text{Bl}_{\pi^{-1}(D)}(\mathfrak{U})$ . Let  $h : \mathfrak{U}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}$  be the natural map and  $E = h^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(D))$ . By the universality of blowups, there is a natural map  $\text{Bl}_{\Xi'}(\mathfrak{U}') = \text{Bl}_{h^{-1}(\Xi)}(\mathfrak{U}') \rightarrow \text{Bl}_{\Xi}(\mathfrak{U})$ , where the equality holds since the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_{\Xi'}$  is equal to  $\mathcal{I}_{h^{-1}(\Xi)} \cdot (\mathcal{I}_E)^{-1}$ . This proves the claim.  $\square$

Let  $f : \widetilde{\mathfrak{Y}} = \text{Bl}_{\Sigma}(\mathfrak{Y}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{U}} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{Y}} \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U}$ . Using Claim 3.15, we get a map

$$\phi : \widetilde{\mathfrak{U}} = \text{Bl}_{\Sigma}(\mathfrak{Y}) \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U} = \text{Bl}_{\Sigma \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U}}(\mathfrak{U}) = \text{Bl}_{\Xi \sqcup \Theta}(\mathfrak{U}) = \text{Bl}_{\Theta}(\text{Bl}_{\Xi}(\mathfrak{U})) \rightarrow \text{Bl}_{\Xi}(\mathfrak{U}),$$

which factors through the projection  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{U}} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{Y}} \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}$ . Let  $\widetilde{Y}_0 \subset \widetilde{\mathfrak{Y}}$  be the proper transform of  $Y_0$  in  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{Y}}$ , Definition 1.45. Then,  $\widetilde{U}_0 := \widetilde{Y}_0 \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U}$  is the proper transform of  $U_0$  in  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{U}} = \text{Bl}_{\Xi \sqcup \Theta}(\mathfrak{U})$  so that  $\phi(\widetilde{U}_0) \subset W_0$ , where  $W_0$  is the proper transform of  $U_0$  in  $\mathfrak{W} = \text{Bl}_{\Xi}(\mathfrak{U})$ . Hence,  $\phi$  induces a map

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}|_{\widetilde{Y}_0} \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U} = \widehat{\mathfrak{U}}|_{\widetilde{U}_0} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{W}}|_{W_0},$$

where  $\widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}_{|\widetilde{Y}_0}$  (resp.  $\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}_{|\widetilde{U}_0}$ ) is the formal completion of  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{Y}}$  along  $\widetilde{Y}_0$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{U}$  along  $\widetilde{U}_0$ ). Thus,  $\mathfrak{Y}' = \widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}_{|\widetilde{Y}_0} \in \text{Mod}(\mathfrak{Y})$  satisfies the desired condition as in the beginning of Case 2.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.16.** *Let  $S$  be a Noetherian scheme. Then, the functor (31)*

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{X}}^* : \text{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}(\text{fSch}_S^{\text{fp}}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(\text{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}}))$$

*preserves colimits and finite limits, [SGA41, III.2.3], [Sta18, 00XL].*

Here are some lemmas that were used above.

**Lemma 3.17.** *Let  $\mathfrak{Y}$  be a locally Noetherian formal scheme and  $\pi : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  be an étale morphism. Assume that  $|\mathfrak{U}|$  has only finitely many irreducible components. Then, there exists a morphism  $\mathfrak{Y}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  in  $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{Y})$  such that there exists a decomposition in  $\text{fSch}$*

$$\mathfrak{Y}' \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U} = \bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq r} \mathfrak{U}'_i$$

*such that  $|\mathfrak{U}'_i|$  is irreducible.*

*Proof.* Let  $Y = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}/\mathcal{I})$  for an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of definition of  $\mathfrak{Y}$  and  $U = Y \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U}$ . By the assumption,  $U$  has only finitely many irreducible components  $U_1, \dots, U_r$ . Applying [KS23, Lem.4.17], there exists a nowhere dense closed subscheme  $D \subset Y$  such that letting  $Y' = \text{Bl}_D(Y)$  and  $U' = Y' \times_Y U$ , there exist a decomposition  $U' = \bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq r} U'_i$ , where  $U'_i$  are clopen in  $U'$ . Let  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{Y}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  be the blowup of  $\mathfrak{Y}$  in  $D$ ,

Definition 1.43. Note that  $Y'$  is the proper transform of  $Y$  in  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{Y}}$ , Definition 1.45. Let  $\mathfrak{Y}'$  be the formal completion of  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{Y}}$  along  $Y'$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{Y}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  is in  $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{Y})$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}' \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U}$  is the formal completion of  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{Y}} \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U}$  along  $Y' \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U}$  by (16). Since

$$Y' \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U} = Y' \times_Y U = \bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq r} U'_i,$$

this implies that  $\mathfrak{Y}' \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U}$  is a disjoint sum of the formal completions of  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{Y}} \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U}$  along  $U'_i$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.18.** *Let  $\mathfrak{Y}$  be a locally Noetherian formal scheme and  $\pi : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  be an étale morphism. Let  $Y = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}/\mathcal{I})$  for an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of definition of  $\mathfrak{Y}$  and  $U = Y \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U}$ . Let  $\Xi \subset U$  be a closed subscheme and  $\Sigma$  be the closure of the image of  $\Xi$  in  $Y$ . Then, there exists a nowhere dense closed subscheme  $D$  in  $\Sigma$  such that the blowup  $\mathfrak{Y}' = \text{Bl}_D(\mathfrak{Y})$  of  $\mathfrak{Y}$  in  $D$ , Definition 1.43, satisfies the following condition: Let  $\mathfrak{U}' = \mathfrak{Y}' \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U}$  and  $\Sigma'$  (resp.  $\Xi'$ ) be the proper transform of  $\Sigma$  in  $\mathfrak{Y}'$  (resp.  $\Xi$  in  $\mathfrak{U}'$ ). Then, we have a decomposition  $\Sigma' \times_{\mathfrak{Y}'} \mathfrak{U}' = \Xi' \sqcup \Theta'$  for a clopen subscheme  $\Theta'$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f : U \rightarrow Y$  is étale, we have  $f^{-1}(\Sigma) = \Xi \sqcup \Theta$  for a closed subscheme  $\Theta$  and  $\Xi \sqcup \Theta \rightarrow \Sigma$  is generically étale. By Raynaud-Gruson platification, [Sta18, 081R], we find a blowup  $\Sigma' = \text{Bl}_D(\Sigma)$  of  $\Sigma$  in a nowhere dense closed subscheme  $D \subset \Sigma$  such that the proper transform  $\Xi' \sqcup \Theta' \rightarrow \Sigma'$  of  $\Xi \sqcup \Theta \rightarrow \Sigma$  is flat. By [KS23, Lem. 4.15] this implies  $\Xi' \sqcup \Theta' \rightarrow \Sigma' \times_Y U$  is also flat. It is proper and an isomorphism over a dense open subset by construction, so it is in fact an isomorphism by [KS23, Lem. 4.16]. Let  $\mathfrak{Y}' = \text{Bl}_D(\mathfrak{Y})$  be the blowup of  $\mathfrak{Y}$  in  $D$  and  $\mathfrak{U}' = \mathfrak{Y}' \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U}$ . By the construction,  $\Sigma' \times_{\mathfrak{Y}'} \mathfrak{U}' = \Sigma' \times_Y U \simeq \Xi' \sqcup \Theta'$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3.3 Finiteness of homotopy dimension

In this subsection, we complete the proof of Theorem 3.1. We need some preliminaries.

**Proposition 3.19** (cf.[EHIK21, Prop.2.4.3]). *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a locally Noetherian formal scheme with finite Krull dimension  $d$ . Then the  $\infty$ -topos  $\text{Shv}(\text{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}), \mathcal{S})$  has homotopy dimension  $\leq d$ .*

*Proof.* By (28), we have

$$\text{Shv}(\text{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}}), \mathcal{S}) = \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{Y} \in \text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X})} \text{Shv}(\mathfrak{Y}_{\text{Nis}}, \mathcal{S}).$$

By (18) and [CM21, 3.18],  $\text{Shv}(\mathfrak{Y}_{\text{Nis}}, \mathcal{S})$  has homotopy dimension  $\leq d_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ , where  $d_{\mathfrak{Y}}$  is the Krull dimension of  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . By Remark 3.4, the system of those  $\mathfrak{Y} \in \text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X})$  with  $d_{\mathfrak{Y}} \leq d$  is cofinal. Hence, the assertion follows from [CM21, Cor.3.11],  $\square$

We now start proving Theorem 3.1. We follow the proof of [EHIK21, Th.2.4.15]. The proof proceeds by induction on  $d$ . Let  $F \in \text{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}(\text{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}/\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{S})$  be a  $d$ -connective object. We want to show that  $F(\mathfrak{X})$  is nonempty. If  $d < 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{X}$  is empty and the assertion is trivial. Assume  $d \geq 0$ . By Corollary 3.16, the functor (30)

$$\rho^* : \text{PSh}(\text{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}/\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{PSh}(\text{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}}), \mathcal{S})$$

induced by  $\rho : \text{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}}) \rightarrow \text{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}/\mathfrak{X}; (\mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{Y}) \mapsto \mathfrak{U}$ , preserves colimits and finite limits. Hence, by [Lur09, Prop. 6.5.1.16(4)] (see also [KS23, §6.2]),  $\rho^*$  sends  $d$ -connective objects to  $d$ -connective objects. Since  $\text{Shv}(\text{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Nis}}), \mathcal{S})$  has homotopy dimension  $\leq d$  by Proposition 3.19,  $\rho^*F$  has a global section. We have

$$(\rho^*F)(\mathfrak{X}/\mathfrak{X}) = a(F \circ \rho)(\mathfrak{X}/\mathfrak{X}) = \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{Y} \in \text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X})} F(\mathfrak{Y}),$$

where  $a : \mathrm{PSh}(\mathrm{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Nis}}), \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{RZ}(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Nis}}), \mathcal{S})$  is the sheafification functor and the second equality follows from Lemma 3.11. Hence, there is  $f : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \in \mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{X})$  such that  $F(\mathfrak{Y}) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $i : Z \subset \mathfrak{X}$  be a nowhere-dense closed subscheme such that  $f$  belongs to  $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathfrak{X} \setminus Z}(\mathfrak{X})$ , Definition 3.2. Note  $\dim(Z) < d$ . By Remark 3.4, we may assume  $\dim(E) < d$  for  $E = Z \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{Y}$ . By Lemma 3.5, we have

$$F(\mathfrak{X}) \cong F(\mathfrak{Y}) \times_{F(\widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}|_E)} F(\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}|_Z).$$

By the induction hypothesis,  $\mathrm{fSch}_S^{\mathrm{fft}}/\mathfrak{M}$  with  $\mathfrak{M} = \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}|_Z$  or  $\widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}|_E$  has homotopy dimension  $< d$ . Since the restrictions of  $F$  to  $\mathrm{fSch}_S^{\mathrm{fft}}/\mathfrak{M}$  remains  $d$ -connective by [Lur09, Prop. 6.5.1.16(4)] (see also [KS23, Example 6.4]), we conclude that  $F(\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}|_Z)$  and  $F(\widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}|_E)$  are connected by [Lur09, Def.7.2.1.6, Lem.7.2.1.7] (see also [KS23, Rem. 7.6]). Hence  $F(\mathfrak{X})$  is non-empty and the proof is complete.

**Corollary 3.20.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a Noetherian formal scheme of Krull dimension  $d \geq 0$ . For any sheaf of abelian groups  $F \in \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{procdh}}(\mathrm{fSch}_{\mathfrak{X}}^{\mathrm{fft}}, \mathrm{Ab})$  we have*

$$H_{\mathrm{procdh}}^n(\mathfrak{X}, F) = 0; \quad n > d.$$

*Proof.* This is [Lur09, Cor.7.2.2.30]. Cf. also [Lur09, Def.7.2.2.14, Rem.7.2.2.17].  $\square$

## 4 Fibre functors

In this section, we fix a Noetherian adic ring  $S$  and characterise fibre functors of the 1-topos  $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{procdh}}(\mathrm{fSch}_S^{\mathrm{fft}}) = \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{procdh}}(\mathrm{fSch}_S^{\mathrm{fft}}, \mathrm{Set})$ . First, we recall the following.

**Definition 4.1.** *Let  $(C, \tau)$  be a site admitting finite limits. Recall that a fibre functor of an 1-topos  $\mathrm{Shv}_{\tau}(C)$  of sheaves of sets, is a continuous morphism of topoi  $\phi^* : \mathrm{Shv}_{\tau}(C) \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Set} : \phi_*$ , or equivalently, a functor  $\phi^* : \mathrm{Shv}_{\tau}(C) \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}$  which preserves colimits and finite limits. Let  $\mathrm{Fib}(\mathrm{Shv}_{\tau}(C))$  denote the category of fiber functors of  $\mathrm{Shv}_{\tau}(C)$ .*

Let  $\mathrm{AffSch}_S^{\mathrm{fft}} \subset \mathrm{fSch}_S^{\mathrm{fft}}$  be the full subcategory of affine formal schemes  $\mathrm{Spf}(A)$  for  $A \in \mathfrak{aRing}_S^{\mathrm{fft}}$ , Definition 1.10. Let  $\tau$  be a topology on  $\mathrm{fSch}_S^{\mathrm{fft}}$  which is finer than the Zariski topology. Then, the canonical functor  $\mathrm{Shv}_{\tau}(\mathrm{fSch}_S^{\mathrm{fft}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}_{\tau\text{-aff}}(\mathrm{AffSch}_S^{\mathrm{fft}})$  is an equivalences, where  $\tau^{\mathrm{aff}}$  is the topology on  $\mathrm{AffSch}_S^{\mathrm{fft}}$  induced by  $\tau$ , i.e. the finest topology such that the image in  $\mathrm{fSch}_S^{\mathrm{fft}}$  of any  $\tau^{\mathrm{aff}}$ -covering family is a  $\tau$ -covering family. So, there is an equivalence of categories of fiber functors

$$\mathrm{Fib}(\mathrm{Shv}_{\tau}(\mathrm{fSch}_S^{\mathrm{fft}})) \simeq \mathrm{Fib}(\mathrm{Shv}_{\tau\text{-aff}}(\mathrm{AffSch}_S^{\mathrm{fft}})). \quad (34)$$

Hence, by [Joh77, Pro.7.13], there is a bijection between fibre functors of  $\text{Shv}_\tau(\text{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}})$  and cofiltered pro-objects

$$P_\bullet = \varprojlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda^{\text{op}}} P_\lambda \text{ with } P_\lambda = \text{Spf}(R_\lambda) \in \text{AffSch}_S^{\text{fft}} \quad (35)$$

indexed by the opposite category of a filtered category  $\Lambda$ , which satisfies the  $\tau$ -locality condition: For every  $\tau$ -covering  $\{\mathfrak{Y}_i \xrightarrow{u_i} \mathfrak{X}\}_{i \in I}$ , the morphism of sets

$$\prod_{i \in I} \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \text{Hom}_{\text{fSch}_S}(P_\lambda, \mathfrak{Y}_i) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \text{Hom}_{\text{fSch}_S}(P_\lambda, \mathfrak{X}) \quad (36)$$

is surjective. Let

$$R_\bullet = \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_\lambda \in \text{Ind}(\text{aRing}_S^{\text{fft}}) \quad (37)$$

be the corresponding ind-objects and  $(R_\infty, \mathfrak{n}) = \Psi(R_\bullet)$ , Definition 1.13. The fibre functor associated to a pro-object  $P_\bullet$  (35) is given by

$$\phi_{R_\bullet} : \text{PSh}(\text{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}}) \rightarrow \text{Set} ; F \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F(P_\lambda),$$

which factors through  $\text{Shv}_\tau(\text{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}})$  by the  $\tau$ -locality.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $R_\bullet, R'_\bullet \in \text{Ind}(\text{aRing}_S^{\text{fft}})$ . If  $\Psi(R_\bullet) = \Psi(R'_\bullet)$ , there is a natural equivalence  $\phi_{R_\bullet} \simeq \phi_{R'_\bullet}$  of functors.*

*Proof.* We want to define a bijection  $\xi_F : \phi_{R_\bullet}(F) \simeq \phi_{R'_\bullet}(F)$  functorial in  $F \in \text{PSh}(\text{fSch}_S^{\text{fft}})$ . Since  $\phi_{R_\bullet}$  commutes with colimits, it is enough to construct  $\xi_F$  for representable objects  $F = \text{Hom}_{\text{aRing}_S^{\text{fft}}}(A, -)$  for  $A \in \text{aRing}_S^{\text{fft}}$ . This follows from Corollary 1.18.  $\square$

By the above proposition, Theorem 0.5 follows from the following.

**Theorem 4.3** (Characterisation of fibre functors). *A cofiltered pro-object  $P_\bullet$  (35) is procdh local if and only if  $R_\infty/\mathfrak{n}$  is a henselian valuation ring and*

$$R_\infty \simeq R_\infty/\mathfrak{n} \times_{\kappa(\mathfrak{n})} R_{\infty, \mathfrak{n}}, \quad (38)$$

where  $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$  is the residue field of  $\mathfrak{n}$  and  $R_{\infty, \mathfrak{n}}$  is the localization of  $R_\infty$  at  $\mathfrak{n}$ . In other words,  $R_\infty \subseteq R_{\infty, \mathfrak{n}}$  is the set of elements whose residue classes mod  $\mathfrak{n}$  lie in  $R_\infty/\mathfrak{n}$ .

**Remark 4.4.** Since  $(R_\infty, \mathfrak{n})$  is a henselian pair by Lemma 1.14, the condition of the theorem implies that  $R_\infty$  is henselian local.



**Remark 4.5.** By Deligne's completeness theorem, [SGA42, Prop.VI.9.0] or [Joh77, Thm.7.44, 7.17], those fibre functor of  $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{procdh}}(\mathrm{fSch}_S^{\mathrm{fft}})$  satisfying the condition of Theorem 4.3 form a conservative family, i.e. a morphism  $f$  in  $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{procdh}}(\mathrm{fSch}_S^{\mathrm{fft}})$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $\phi_{P_\bullet}(f)$  is an isomorphism for all such  $\phi_{P_\bullet}$ . Equivalently, a family  $\{\mathfrak{Y}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}\}_{i \in I}$  in  $\mathrm{fSch}_S^{\mathrm{fft}}$  is a procdh covering if and only if  $\sqcup_{i \in I} \phi_{P_\bullet}(\mathfrak{Y}_i) \rightarrow \phi_{P_\bullet}(\mathfrak{X})$  is surjective for all such  $\phi_{P_\bullet}$ , [SGA41, Exposé IV, Prop.6.5(a)],

We need a preliminary for the proof of Theorem 4.3. Let

$$\widehat{\mathbb{A}}_S^2 = \mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_S\{x, y\}) = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2 \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})} S$$

with  $\mathcal{O}_S\{x, y\} = \varprojlim_m \mathcal{O}_S/I^m[x, y]$  and  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2 = \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$  and the fiber product is taken in  $\mathrm{fSch}$  (cf. Definition (6)). Let  $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}_{S|0}^2$  be the formal completion of  $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}_S^2$  along the origin  $0 = \{x = y = 0\}$ , Definition 1.23. Note  $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}_{S|0}^2 = \mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_S[[x, y]])$ , where

$$\mathcal{O}_S[[x, y]] = \varprojlim_{m, l} \mathcal{O}_S/I^m[x, y]/(x, y)^l,$$

is the  $I\mathcal{O}_S\{x, y\} + (x, y)$ -adic completion of  $\mathcal{O}_S[x, y]$ . Let  $\mathrm{Bl}_0(\mathbb{A}^2)$  is the blowup of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2$  at 0 and  $\widehat{\mathrm{Bl}}_0(\mathbb{A}_S^2) = \mathrm{Bl}_0(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2) \times_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2} \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_S^2$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $\tau$  be the topology on  $\mathrm{fSch}_S^{\mathrm{fft}}$  generated by Nisnevich topology and the following covering families:*

(SmB) *Smooth blow up covering*

$$\left\{ \widehat{\mathrm{Bl}}_0(\mathbb{A}_S^2) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_S^2, \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_{S|0}^2 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_S^2 \right\}.$$

(Axe) *Letting  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_S\{x, y\}/(xy)$ ,*

$$\left\{ \mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{A}/(y)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{A}), \mathrm{Spf}(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_x) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{A}) \right\}$$

*where  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_x$  is the  $(x)$ -adic completion of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Note  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_x = \mathcal{O}_S\{y\}[[x]]/(xy)$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_S\{y\}[[x]]$  is the  $I\mathcal{O}_S\{x, y\} + (x)$ -adic completion of  $\mathcal{O}_S\{x, y\}$ .*

*A cofiltered pro-object (35) is  $\tau$ -local if and only if  $R_\infty$  satisfies the condition of Theorem 4.3.*

**Remark 4.7.** Clearly, the Nisnevich topology and (SmB) generate the same topology as the Nisnevich topology and (SmB') do, where

(SmB')

$$\left\{ \mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_S\{x, y/x\}) \sqcup \mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_S\{y, x/y\}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_S^2, \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_{S|0}^2 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_S^2 \right\}.$$

*Proof of Lemma 4.6:* Using Remark 1.16, we compute

$$\varinjlim_{\lambda} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{fSch}_S}(P_{\lambda}, \mathrm{Spf}(A)) = \varinjlim_{\lambda} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{paRing}_S}(A, R_{\lambda})$$

for  $A = S\{x, y\}$ ,  $S[[x, y]]$ ,  $\mathcal{A} = S\{x, y\}/(xy)$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_x = S\{y}[[x]]/(xy)$  as follows:

$$\varinjlim_{\lambda} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{fSch}_S}(P_{\lambda}, \mathrm{Spf}(S\{x, y\})) \simeq R_{\infty} \times R_{\infty}. \quad (39)$$

$$\varinjlim_{\lambda} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{fSch}_S}(P_{\lambda}, \mathrm{Spf}(S[[x, y]])) \simeq \mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{n}, \quad (40)$$

$$\varinjlim_{\lambda} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{fSch}_S}(P_{\lambda}, \mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{A})) \simeq \{(a, b) \in R_{\infty} \times R_{\infty} \mid ab = 0\}, \quad (41)$$

$$\varinjlim_{\lambda} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{fSch}_S}(P_{\lambda}, \mathrm{Spf}(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_x)) \simeq \{(a, b) \in \mathfrak{n} \times R_{\infty} \mid ab = 0\}. \quad (42)$$

In view of (39) and (40), the surjectivity of (36) for the covering (SmB') in Remark 4.7 is equivalent to that

(\*)  $\forall a, b \in R_{\infty}$ , we have  $a|b$  or  $b|a$  or  $a$  and  $b$  are both in  $\mathfrak{n}$ .

In view of (41) and (42), the surjectivity of (36) for the covering (Axe) is equivalent to the condition

(\*\*) all zero divisors of  $R_{\infty}$  are in  $\mathfrak{n}$ .

(\*) implies that  $\forall a, b \in R_{\infty}/\mathfrak{n}$ , we have  $a|b$  or  $b|a$  so that  $R_{\infty}/\mathfrak{n}$  is a valuation ring.

(\*\*) implies that  $R_{\infty} \rightarrow R_{\infty\mathfrak{n}}$  is injective, proving the injectivity of (38). To show its surjectivity, consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{n} & \longrightarrow & R_{\infty} & \longrightarrow & R_{\infty}/\mathfrak{n} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{n}R_{\infty\mathfrak{n}} & \longrightarrow & R_{\infty\mathfrak{n}} & \longrightarrow & \kappa(\mathfrak{n}) \end{array}$$

where the rows are exact. So, it suffices to show the surjectivity of  $\mathfrak{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}R_{\infty\mathfrak{n}}$ . Take  $a/r \in \mathfrak{n}R_{\infty\mathfrak{n}}$  with  $a \in \mathfrak{n}$  and  $r \in R_{\infty} \setminus \mathfrak{n}$ . By (\*), either  $a|r$  or  $r|a$ . In the former case, we have  $r \in \mathfrak{n}$  contradicting  $r \notin \mathfrak{n}$ . In the latter case, we can write  $a = qr \in \mathfrak{n}$  for some  $q \in R_{\infty}$  and then  $q \in \mathfrak{n}$  since  $\mathfrak{n}$  is prime and  $r \notin \mathfrak{n}$ . Since  $q$  maps to  $a/r$  under  $\mathfrak{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}R_{\infty\mathfrak{n}}$ , this proves the desired surjectivity, proving the isomorphism(38). Conversely, it is easy to check that (38) implies the conditions (\*\*) and (\*\*).

Next, we prove that  $R_{\infty}/\mathfrak{n}$  is henselian local. It suffices to prove that  $R_{\infty}$  is henselian local. By what we have shown above,  $R_{\infty}$  is local. By [Sta18, 10.153.1], it suffices to prove the following.

**Claim 4.8.** Let  $\mathfrak{m} \subset R_\infty$  be the maximal ideal. Assume given a monic  $f \in R_\infty[t]$  and  $\bar{\alpha} \in R_\infty/\mathfrak{m}$  such that  $\bar{f}(\bar{\alpha}) = 0$  and  $\bar{f}'(\bar{\alpha}) \neq 0$ , where  $\bar{f}$  is the image of  $f$  in  $R_\infty/\mathfrak{m}[t]$ . Then, there exists  $\alpha \in R_\infty$  such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$  and  $\alpha$  maps to  $\bar{\alpha}$ .

For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , let  $\mathfrak{m}_\lambda$  be the inverse image of  $\mathfrak{m}$  in  $R_\lambda$ . The assumption implies that there exists  $\lambda$  such that  $f$  (resp.  $\bar{\alpha}$ ) is the image of  $f_\lambda \in R_\lambda[t]$  (resp.  $\bar{\alpha}_\lambda \in R_\lambda/\mathfrak{m}_\lambda$ ) such that  $\bar{f}_\lambda(\bar{\alpha}_\lambda) = 0$  and  $\bar{f}'_\lambda(\bar{\alpha}_\lambda) \in (R_\lambda/\mathfrak{m}_\lambda)^\times$ . Letting  $B_\lambda = R_\lambda[t]/(f_\lambda)$ , we have a decomposition  $B_\lambda/\mathfrak{m}_\lambda \simeq R_\lambda/\mathfrak{m}_\lambda \times Q$  as a ring, where the projection  $\pi : B_\lambda/\mathfrak{m}_\lambda = R_\lambda/\mathfrak{m}_\lambda[t]/(\bar{f}_\lambda) \rightarrow R_\lambda/\mathfrak{m}_\lambda$  is given by the substitution of  $\bar{\alpha}_\lambda$ . By [Sta18, 10.144.2(1)], there exists  $h \in B_\lambda \setminus \text{Ker}(\pi)$  such that  $\xi : R_\lambda \rightarrow C := B_\lambda[h^{-1}]$  is étale and  $\xi \otimes R_\lambda/\mathfrak{m}_\lambda$  is an isomorphism. Letting  $\hat{C}$  be the  $\mathfrak{n}_\lambda C$ -adic completion of  $C$ ,

$$\hat{V} \sqcup (\text{Spf}(R_\lambda) \setminus \text{Spec}(R_\lambda/\mathfrak{m}_\lambda)) \rightarrow \text{Spf}(R_\lambda) \text{ with } \hat{V} = \text{Spf}(\hat{C})$$

is a Nisnevich covering from Definition 1.39. Let  $\Lambda_{\lambda/} \subset \Lambda$  be the subcategory of objects under  $\lambda$ . Noting that  $\Lambda_{\lambda/}$  is cofinal in  $\Lambda$ , the surjectivity of (36) for this covering implies that the canonical element of  $\varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda/}} \text{Hom}(R_\lambda, R_\alpha)$  lifts to  $\varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda/}} \text{Hom}(\hat{C}, R_\alpha)$ , which implies that the natural map  $\iota_\lambda : R_\lambda \rightarrow R_\infty$  lifts to a map  $g : \hat{C} \rightarrow R_\infty$ . Composing  $g$  with  $B_\lambda \rightarrow C \rightarrow \hat{C}$ , we get a map  $\psi : B_\lambda \rightarrow R_\infty$  whose composite with  $R_\lambda \rightarrow B_\lambda$  is  $\iota$ . Then,  $\alpha = \psi(t) \in R_\infty$  satisfies the desired condition of the claim.

To complete the proof of Lemma 4.6, it remains to show that for a cofiltered pro-object (35) satisfying the condition of Theorems 4.3, (36) is surjective for the Nisnevich coverings. By Proposition 1.42, it suffices to treat the Nisnevich covering  $\mathfrak{U} \sqcup \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ , where  $\mathfrak{X} = \text{Spf}(A)$  and  $\mathfrak{Y} = \text{Spf}(B)$  are affine,  $j : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  is an open immersion and  $f : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  is étale such that writing  $X_0 = \text{Spec}(A/I)$  and  $Y_0 = \text{Spec}(B/IB)$  for an ideal  $I \subset A$  of definition, the induced morphism  $Y_0 \rightarrow X_0$  of schemes is an isomorphism over a closed subscheme  $Z \subset X_0$  such that  $|Z| = |\mathfrak{X}| \setminus |\mathfrak{U}|$ . Letting  $U = \text{Spec}(A) \setminus Z$ ,  $\mathfrak{U}$  is the formal completion of  $U$  along  $U_0 = U \times_{\text{Spec}(A)} X_0$ . By Theorem 1.38, we may further assume that there is an étale  $A$ -algebra  $B'$  whose  $IB'$ -adic completion  $\hat{B}'$  is isomorphic to  $B$ .

Choose  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{fSch}_S}(P_\lambda, \mathfrak{X}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{aRing}_S}(A, R_\lambda)$ , and let

$$\tilde{\phi} \in \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda/}} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{aRing}_S}(A, R_\alpha) = \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda/}} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{fSch}_S}(P_\alpha, \mathfrak{X}) \quad (43)$$

be the image of  $\phi$ . It suffices to show  $\tilde{\phi}$  lifts to  $\varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda/}} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{fSch}_S}(P_\alpha, \mathfrak{U} \sqcup \mathfrak{Y})$ . Let  $\phi_\infty \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{paRing}_S}(A, R_\infty)$  be the image of  $\phi$ . Let  $\phi_\infty$  also denote the induced map  $\text{Spec}(R_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ .

Assume that the image of  $\phi_\infty$  is contained in  $U$ . Since  $R_\infty$  is local,  $\phi_\infty$  factors through an affine open  $\text{Spec}(C)$  of  $U$ , where  $C$  is an algebra of finite type over  $A$ . Let  $\psi : C \rightarrow R_\infty$  be the corresponding map of rings which lifts  $\phi_\infty$ . By Lemma 1.8, we have  $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{paRing}_A}(C, R_\infty)$ , where  $C$  is equipped with the  $IC$ -adic topology. By Proposition 1.20, this means that  $\tilde{\phi}$  lifts to

$$\varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \text{Hom}_{\text{aRing}_S}(\hat{C}, R_\alpha) = \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \text{Hom}_{\text{fSch}_S}(P_\alpha, \text{Spf}(\hat{C})).$$

Since  $\text{Spf}(\hat{C})$  is an affine formal open of  $\mathfrak{U}$  by the construction, we conclude that  $\tilde{\phi}$  lifts to  $\varinjlim_{\alpha} \text{Hom}_{\text{fSch}_S}(P_\alpha, \mathfrak{U})$ .

If the image of  $\phi_\infty$  is not contained in  $U$ , then the image of  $\bar{\phi}_\infty : \text{Spec}(R_\infty/\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  is contained in  $Z \subset X_0$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the maximal ideal of  $R_\infty$ . So,  $\bar{\phi}_\infty$  lifts to a map  $\bar{\psi} : \text{Spec}(R_\infty/\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow Y_0 \rightarrow \text{Spec}(B')$ . Since  $R_\infty$  is henselian (cf. Remark 4.4) and  $A \rightarrow B'$  is étale,  $\bar{\psi}$  lifts to a map  $\psi : B' \rightarrow R_\infty$  which lifts  $\phi_\infty$ . By Lemma 1.8, we have  $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{paRing}_A}(B', R_\infty)$ , where  $B'$  is equipped with the  $IB'$ -adic topology. By Proposition 1.20, this means that  $\tilde{\phi}$  lifts to

$$\varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \text{Hom}_{\text{aRing}_S}(B, R_\alpha) = \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \text{Hom}_{\text{fSch}_S}(P_\alpha, \mathfrak{Y}).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.6.

Now, we prove Theorem 4.3. It suffices to prove that every procdh covering is a  $\tau$ -covering. By Lemma 4.6 and Remark 4.5 (applied to the  $\tau$ -topology), it is enough to show that for a cofiltered pro-object (35) satisfying the condition of Theorems 4.3, (36) is surjective for the covering  $\hat{\mathfrak{X}}|_Z \sqcup \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  from (FAB) in Definition 2.4. By (34), we may assume that  $\mathfrak{X} = \text{Spf}(A)$  is affine and  $Z \subset \mathfrak{X}$  is defined by an ideal  $K \subset A$  containing an ideal  $I$  of definition of  $A$ . Moreover, by Definition 2.1, we may further assume that there is a morphism  $g : Y \rightarrow X = \text{Spec}(A)$  of schemes which is proper of finite type such that  $\mathfrak{Y} = Y \times_X \mathfrak{X}$  and that  $g$  is an isomorphism over  $\text{Spec}(A) \setminus V(J)$  for some ideal  $J \subset A$  such that  $K \subset J + \sqrt{I}$ .

Choose  $\lambda, \phi, \tilde{\phi}$  as in (43). It suffices to show that  $\tilde{\phi}$  lifts to

$$\varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \text{Hom}_{\text{fSch}_S}(P_\alpha, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}|_Z \sqcup \mathfrak{Y}).$$

Let  $\phi_\infty \in \text{Hom}_{\text{paRing}_S}(A, R_\infty)$  denote the image of  $\phi$ . By (38), we have  $R_\infty \simeq R_\infty/\mathfrak{n} \times_{\kappa(\mathfrak{n})} R_{\infty\mathfrak{n}}$ . Let  $\phi_1 : A \rightarrow R_\infty/\mathfrak{n}$  and  $\phi_2 : A \rightarrow R_{\infty\mathfrak{n}}$  be the composite maps of  $\phi_\infty$  and the projections.

If  $\phi_\infty(J) \not\subset \mathfrak{n}$ ,  $\phi_2$  factors through  $A[h^{-1}] \rightarrow R_{\infty\mathfrak{n}}$  for some  $h \in J$  since  $R_{\infty\mathfrak{n}}$  is local. Thus, the induced map  $\text{Spec}(R_{\infty\mathfrak{n}}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  factors through  $\text{Spec}(A) \setminus V(J)$

so that it lifts to  $\psi_2 : \text{Spec}(R_{\infty/\mathfrak{n}}) \rightarrow Y$ . Noting that  $R_{\infty/\mathfrak{n}}$  is a valuation ring of  $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$  and  $Y \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  is proper, the composite  $\text{Spec}(\kappa(\mathfrak{n})) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_{\infty/\mathfrak{n}}) \rightarrow Y$  factors through  $\psi_1 : \text{Spec}(R_{\infty/\mathfrak{n}}) \rightarrow Y$  which lifts the map  $\text{Spec}(R_{\infty/\mathfrak{n}}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  induced by  $\phi_1$ . By (38), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_S}(\text{Spec}(R_{\infty}), -) &= \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_S}(\text{Spec}(R_{\infty/\mathfrak{n}}, -) \sqcup_{\text{Spec}(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))} \text{Spec}(R_{\infty/\mathfrak{n}}), -) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_S}(\text{Spec}(R_{\infty/\mathfrak{n}}), -) \times_{\text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_S}(\text{Spec}(\kappa(\mathfrak{n})), -)} \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_S}(\text{Spec}(R_{\infty/\mathfrak{n}}), -). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  give rise to a map  $\psi : \text{Spec}(R_{\infty}) \rightarrow Y$  which lifts the map  $\text{Spec}(R_{\infty}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  induced by  $\phi_{\infty}$ . Since  $R_{\infty}$  is local,  $\psi$  factors through an affine open  $\text{Spec}(B)$  of  $Y$ , where  $B$  is an algebra of finite type over  $A$ . Let  $\chi : B \rightarrow R_{\infty}$  be the corresponding map of rings which lifts  $\phi_{\infty}$ . By Lemma 1.8, we have  $\chi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{paRing}_S}(B, R_{\infty})$ , where  $B$  is equipped with the  $IB$ -adic topology, and  $\chi$  lifts  $\phi_{\infty}$ . By Proposition 1.20, this means that  $\tilde{\phi}$  from (43) lifts to

$$\varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \text{Hom}_{\text{aRing}_S}(\hat{B}, R_{\alpha}) = \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \text{Hom}_{\text{fSch}_S}(P_{\alpha}, \text{Spf}(\hat{B})).$$

where  $\hat{B}$  is the  $IB$ -adic completion  $\hat{B}$  of  $B$ . Since  $\text{Spf}(\hat{B})$  is an affine formal open of  $\mathfrak{Y}$  by the construction, we conclude that  $\tilde{\phi}$  lifts to  $\varinjlim_{\alpha} \text{Hom}_{\text{fSch}_S}(P_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{Y})$ .

Next assume  $\phi_{\infty}(J) \subset \mathfrak{n}$ . By Lemma 1.14, we have  $\phi_{\infty}(\sqrt{I}) \subset \mathfrak{n}$  so that  $\phi_{\infty}(K) \subset \phi_{\infty}(J + \sqrt{I}) \subset \mathfrak{n}$ . Let  $A_{\{K\}} \in \text{paRing}_S$  be the ring  $A$  equipped with  $K$ -adic topology. By Lemma 1.19, we have a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \text{Hom}_{\text{paRing}_S}(A_{\{K\}}, R_{\alpha}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\text{paRing}_S}(A_{\{K\}}, R_{\infty}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \text{Hom}_{\text{Ring}_S}(A, R_{\alpha}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\text{Ring}_S}(A, R_{\infty}) \end{array}$$

By (5), we have a bijection

$$\varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \text{Hom}_{\text{paRing}_S}(A_{\{K\}}, R_{\alpha}) = \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \text{Hom}_{\text{aRing}_S}(\hat{A}_{|K}, R_{\alpha}) = \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \text{Hom}_{\text{fSch}_S}(P_{\alpha}, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_{|Z}).$$

Hence,  $\phi_{\infty}(K) \subset \mathfrak{n}$  implies that  $\tilde{\phi}$  lifts to  $\varinjlim_{\alpha} \text{Hom}_{\text{fSch}_S}(P_{\alpha}, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_{|Z})$ . This completes the proof of Theorems 4.3.

**Corollary 4.9.** *The procdh topology on  $\text{fSch}_S^{\text{ft}}$  is generated by the Nisnevich topology and the covering families  $(\text{Sm}B)$  and  $(\text{Axe})$  from Lemma 4.6.*

## 5 A topos-theoretic interpretation of Weibel's vanishing

We set  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Spt}$  or  $D(\mathbb{Z})$ . For an integer  $N$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{\geq N}$  denotes the full subcategory of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  supported in homological degree  $i \geq N$ . Let  $\text{Sch}^{\text{qcqs}}$  (resp.  $\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{qcqs}}$ ) be the category of qcqs schemes (resp. qcqs schemes over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ ).

**Theorem 5.1.** *For  $F \in \text{PSh}(\text{Sch}^{\text{qcqs}}, \mathcal{C})$  and integer  $N$ , consider the conditions.*

- (Desc) *For every Noetherian scheme  $X$ , the restriction  $F$  to the category of schemes of finite type over  $X$  is a procdh sheaf from Definition 0.1.*
- (Fin)  *$F$  is finitary, in the sense that it preserves filtered colimits of rings.*
- (Rig) $_N$   *$\text{fib}(F(A) \rightarrow F(A/I)) \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq -N}$  for every Noetherian ring  $A$  and nilpotent ideal  $I \subset A$ .*
- (Val) $_N$   *$F(R) \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq -N}$  for every henselian valuation ring  $R$ .*

*If  $F$  satisfies (Desc), (Fin), (Rig) $_N$ , and (Val) $_N$ , then for every Noetherian scheme  $X$  of finite Krull dimension  $d$ , we have*

$$\pi_i F(X) = 0 \quad \text{for } i < -N - d.$$

We note that the essentially same statement as above is proved by Elmanto-Morrow [EM23, Prop.8.10] using an argument used in the proof of Weibel's conjecture [KST18, Th.B] and also an idea used in the proofs of Weibel and Vost's conjectures for finite type schemes over fields of characteristic zero [CHSW08], [CHW08]. We will give a new proof using the main results of this paper, Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 3.20.

*Proof.* For  $X$  as above, let  $\text{fSch}_X^{\text{fft}}$  be the category of formal schemes formally of finite type over  $X$  (viewed as a discrete formal scheme). Let  $F^{\text{cont}}$  be the presheaf on  $\text{fSch}_X^{\text{fft}}$  defined by

$$F^{\text{cont}}(\mathfrak{Y}) = \varprojlim_{\mathcal{I}} F(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathcal{I}}) \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{Y} \in \text{fSch}_X^{\text{fft}}, \quad (44)$$

where  $\mathcal{I}$  ranges over ideals of definition of  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . By (23), (Desc) implies  $F^{\text{cont}} \in \text{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}(\text{fSch}_X^{\text{fft}})$ . Hence, we have the descent spectral sequences

$$E_2^{p,q} = H_{\text{procdh}}^p(X, a_{\text{procdh}} \pi_{-q} F^{\text{cont}}) \Rightarrow \pi_{-p-q} F(X), \quad (45)$$

where  $a_{\text{procdh}} \pi_i F^{\text{cont}}$  is the procdh sheafification of the presheaf  $\pi_i F^{\text{cont}}$  of abelian groups on  $\text{fSch}_X^{\text{fft}}$ . By Corollary 3.20, we have  $E_2^{p,q} = 0$  for  $p > d$ . So, it suffices to show that  $a_{\text{procdh}} \pi_i F^{\text{cont}} = 0$  for  $i < -N$ . By Theorem 4.3, it is enough to show

$$\varinjlim_{\lambda} \pi_i F^{\text{cont}}(R_{\lambda}) = 0 \quad \text{for } i < -N$$

for every ind-system “ $\varinjlim_\lambda$ ”  $R_\lambda$  of adic rings formally of finite type over  $X$  such that  $R_\infty = \varinjlim_\lambda R_\lambda$  satisfies the condition of the theorem. Let  $\mathfrak{n}_\lambda \subset R_\lambda$  be the ideal of topologically nilpotent elements and  $\mathfrak{n} = \varinjlim_\lambda \mathfrak{n}_\lambda$ . For  $i < -N$ , we have

$$\varinjlim_\lambda \pi_i F^{\text{cont}}(R_\lambda) \stackrel{(44)}{=} \varinjlim_\lambda \pi_i \varprojlim_n F(R_\lambda/\mathfrak{n}_\lambda^n) = \varinjlim_\lambda \pi_i F(R_\lambda/\mathfrak{n}_\lambda) = \pi_i F(R_\infty/\mathfrak{n}) = 0$$

where the second equality follows from  $(\text{Rig})_N$  in view of Milnor’s exact sequence<sup>2</sup>

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 \varprojlim_n \pi_{i+1} F(R_\lambda/\mathfrak{n}_\lambda^n) \rightarrow \pi_i \varprojlim_n F(R_\lambda/\mathfrak{n}_\lambda^n) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \pi_i F(R_\lambda/\mathfrak{n}_\lambda^n) \rightarrow 0,$$

the third from  $(\text{Fin})$ , and the last from  $(\text{Val})_N$  since  $R_\infty/\mathfrak{n}$  is a valuation ring. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 5.2.** The proof of Theorem 5.1 shows  $E_2^{p,q} = 0$  for  $p > d$  and  $q > N$  in (45). This implies a natural isomorphism

$$\pi_{-N-d} F(X) \simeq H_{\text{procdh}}^d(X, a_{\text{procdh}} \pi_{-N} F^{\text{cont}}). \quad (46)$$

In the rest of this section, we will produce a number of presheaves that satisfy the conditions of Theorem 5.1, see (47), (52), (53), (55), (56), (57). These vanishing results are not new and known from [KST18] and [EM23]. Theorem 5.1 gives a new proof of these results.

## 5.1 Algebraic $K$ -theory

Non-connective  $K$ -theory is viewed as an object of  $\text{PSh}(\text{fSch}_S^{\text{ft}}, \text{Sp})$  using the equivalence (23).

**Lemma 5.3.** *Non-connective  $K$ -theory satisfies  $(\text{Desc})$ ,  $(\text{Fin})$ ,  $(\text{Rig})_0$  and  $(\text{Val})_0$ .*

*Proof.*  $(\text{Desc})$  follows from [KST18, Th.A],  $(\text{Fin})$  is well-known,  $(\text{Rig})_0$  follows from the nil-invariance of negative  $K$ -theory and  $(\text{Val})_0$  follows from [KM21, Th. 1.3(iii)].  $\square$

As a corollary of Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 5.3, we conclude that for a Noetherian scheme  $X$  of finite Krull dimension  $d$ , we have

$$K_i(X) = 0 \quad \text{for } i < -d, \quad (47)$$

<sup>2</sup>For  $i = -N - 1$ ,  $(\text{Rig})_N$  implies  $\pi_{i+1} F(R_\lambda/\mathfrak{n}_\lambda^{n+1}) \rightarrow \pi_{i+1} F(R_\lambda/\mathfrak{n}_\lambda^n)$  is surjective so that  $R^1 \varprojlim_n$  vanishes.

which is the celebrated theorem [KST18, Th.B]. Moreover, (46) implies

$$K_{-d}(X) \simeq H_{\text{procdh}}^d(X, a_{\text{procdh}}\pi_0 K^{\text{cont}}),$$

where  $K^{\text{cont}}$  is the presheaf on  $\mathbf{fSch}_X^{\text{fft}}$  associated to  $K$  by the formula (44). Now, we prove that there is a natural isomorphism

$$H_{\text{procdh}}^i(X, a_{\text{procdh}}\pi_0 K^{\text{cont}}) \simeq H_{\text{cdh}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{for } i \geq 0 \quad (48)$$

to get a natural isomorphism  $K_{-d}(X) \simeq H_{\text{cdh}}^d(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , which is [KST18, Cor.D]. Letting  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$ , we have a pair of adjoint functors (cf. (20))

$$\text{cont} : \text{PSh}(\text{Sch}_X^{\text{ft}}, \mathcal{C}) \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \text{PSh}(\mathbf{fSch}_X^{\text{fft}}, \mathcal{C}) : \iota^*$$

inducing an equivalence (cf. (23))

$$\text{cont} : \text{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}(\text{Sch}_X^{\text{ft}}, \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}^{\text{cont}}(\mathbf{fSch}_X^{\text{fft}}, \mathcal{C}).$$

So, it is enough to show that there is a natural equivalence in  $\text{PSh}(\mathbf{fSch}_X^{\text{fft}}, \mathcal{C})$ :

$$\theta : a_{\text{procdh}}\pi_0 K^{\text{cont}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} (a_{\text{cdh}}\pi_0 K)^{\text{cont}} = (a_{\text{cdh}}\mathbb{Z})^{\text{cont}}.$$

To construct a functor  $\theta$ , it is enough to define  $\pi_0 K^{\text{cont}} \rightarrow (a_{\text{cdh}}\pi_0 K)^{\text{cont}}$  since  $(a_{\text{cdh}}\pi_0 K)^{\text{cont}} \in \text{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}^{\text{cont}}(\mathbf{fSch}_X^{\text{fft}}, \mathcal{C})$ . By the above adjunction, it is enough to define  $\iota^*\pi_0 K^{\text{cont}} \rightarrow a_{\text{cdh}}\pi_0 K$ , which follows from the fact that  $\iota^*\pi_0 K^{\text{cont}} = \pi_0 K$ . To show that  $\theta$  is an equivalence, note that both domain and target of  $\theta$  are in  $\text{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}(\mathbf{fSch}_X^{\text{fft}}, \mathcal{C})$ . By Theorem 4.3, it is enough to show  $\varinjlim_{\lambda} \theta(R_{\lambda})$  is an equivalence for every ind-system “ $\varinjlim_{\lambda} R_{\lambda}$ ” as in the proof of Theorem 5.1. We have

$$\varinjlim_{\lambda} (a_{\text{procdh}}\pi_0 K^{\text{cont}})(R_{\lambda}) = \varinjlim_{\lambda} (\pi_0 K^{\text{cont}})(R_{\lambda}) \stackrel{(44)}{=} \varinjlim_{\lambda} \pi_0 \varprojlim_n K(R_{\lambda}/\mathfrak{n}_{\lambda}^n) = \mathbb{Z},$$

where the last equality follows from Milnor’s exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 \varprojlim_n K_1(R_{\lambda}/\mathfrak{n}_{\lambda}^n) \rightarrow \pi_0 \varprojlim_n K(R_{\lambda}/\mathfrak{n}_{\lambda}^n) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n K_0(R_{\lambda}/\mathfrak{n}_{\lambda}^n) \rightarrow 0,$$

and the nil-invariance (resp. nil-surjectivity) of  $K_0$  (resp.  $K_1$ ) and also from the fact that  $\varinjlim_{\lambda} R_{\lambda}/\mathfrak{n}_{\lambda}$  is local. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varinjlim_{\lambda} (a_{\text{cdh}}\pi_0 K)^{\text{cont}}(R_{\lambda}) &\stackrel{(44)}{=} \varinjlim_{\lambda} \varprojlim_n (a_{\text{cdh}}\pi_0 K)(R_{\lambda}/\mathfrak{n}_{\lambda}^n) = \varinjlim_{\lambda} (a_{\text{cdh}}\pi_0 K)(R_{\lambda}/\mathfrak{n}_{\lambda}) \\ &= (a_{\text{cdh}}\pi_0 K)(\varinjlim_{\lambda} R_{\lambda}/\mathfrak{n}_{\lambda}) = \mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from the nil-invariance of cdh sheaves, the third from the finitariness of  $a_{\text{cdh}}\mathbb{Z}$  and the last from the fact that  $\varinjlim_{\lambda} R_{\lambda}/\mathfrak{n}_{\lambda}$  is a valuation ring. These imply the desired equivalence and completes the proof of (48).



## 5.2 Negative cyclic homology

**Definition 5.4.** For  $\mathcal{E} \in \text{PSh}(\text{Sch}^{\text{qcqs}}, \mathcal{C})$ , define

$$\text{Nil}\mathcal{E} := \text{fib}(\mathcal{E} \rightarrow a_{\text{cdh}}\mathcal{E}) \in \text{PSh}(\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{qcqs}}, \mathcal{C}), \quad (49)$$

where  $a_{\text{cdh}} : \text{PSh}(\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{qcqs}}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\text{cdh}}(\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{qcqs}}, \mathcal{C})$  is the cdh sheafification functor.

**Remark 5.5.** Since  $\mathcal{E}(R) = (a_{\text{cdh}}\mathcal{E})(R)$  for any valuation ring  $R$ ,  $\text{Nil}\mathcal{E}$  satisfies the following stronger condition than  $(\text{Val})_N$  from Theorem 5.1:

(Val) For any valuation ring  $R$ ,  $F(R) = 0$ .

Recall that for a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra  $R$ , one defines  $\text{HC}^-(R/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{HH}(R/\mathbb{Q})^{hS^1}$  as the homotopy fixed points of the Hochschild homology and extends it to  $X \in \text{Sch}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{qcqs}}$  using Zariski descent. In [An19, Thm.1.1], Antieau defines a functorial complete decreasing multiplicative  $\mathbb{Z}$ -indexed filtration

$$\left\{ \text{Fil}_{\text{HKR}}^n \text{HC}^-(X/\mathbb{Q}) \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \quad \text{on } \text{HC}^-(X/\mathbb{Q}) \quad (50)$$

and natural equivalences

$$\text{gr}_{\text{Fil}_{\text{HKR}}}^n \text{HC}^-(X/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \widehat{L}\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{\geq n}[2n].$$

Here,  $\widehat{L}\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}$  is the Hodge-completed derived de Rham complex equipped with the Hodge filtration  $\{\widehat{L}\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{\geq n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . We have an equivalence in  $\text{PSh}(\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{qcqs}}, D(\mathbb{Q}))$ :

$$\text{Nil}\text{Fil}_{\text{HKR}}^0 \text{HC}^-(\!/ \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Nil}\text{HC}^-(\!/ \mathbb{Q})$$

since the cofibre  $\text{cofib}(\text{Fil}_{\text{HKR}}^0 \text{HC}^-(\!/ \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{HC}^-(\!/ \mathbb{Q}))$  is a cdh sheaf on  $\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{qcqs}}$  by [EM23, Lem.4.5]. Therefore, applying Nil to (50) produces a complete and exhaustive  $\mathbb{N}$ -indexed filtration

$$\left\{ \text{Fil}_{\text{HKR}}^n \text{Nil}\text{HC}^-(\!/ \mathbb{Q}) \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \text{on } \text{Nil}\text{HC}^-(\!/ \mathbb{Q}) \quad (51)$$

with identifications

$$\text{gr}_{\text{Fil}_{\text{HKR}}}^n \text{Nil}\text{HC}^-(\!/ \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \text{Nil}\widehat{L}\Omega_{\!/ \mathbb{Q}}^{\geq n}[2n].$$

**Lemma 5.6.**  $\text{Nil}\widehat{L}\Omega_{\!/ \mathbb{Q}}^{\geq n} \in \text{PSh}(\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{qcqs}}, D(\mathbb{Q}))$  satisfy  $(\text{Desc})$ ,  $(\text{Fin})$ ,  $(\text{Rig})_n$ .

*Proof.* This follows from [EM23, Prop.8.12], see also [KS23, Lem.8.7] for a proof of the conditions (Desc) and (Fin). Here, we include a proof of (Rig)<sub>n</sub>. Let  $A$  be a Noetherian  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra and  $I \subset A$  be a nilpotent ideal. By [EM23, Lem.4.5],  $\widehat{L\Omega}_{-/Q}$  is a cdh sheaf so that  $\text{Nil}\widehat{L\Omega}_{-/Q} = 0$  leading to an equivalence

$$\text{Nil}\widehat{L\Omega}_{-/Q}^{\geq n} \cong \text{Nil}L\Omega_{-/Q}^{< n}[-1].$$

So it suffices to show that the fibre of  $\text{Nil}L\Omega_{A/Q}^{< n} \rightarrow \text{Nil}L\Omega_{(A/I)/Q}^{< n}$  is supported in cohomological degrees  $\leq n - 1$ . Since  $G(A) \simeq G(A/I)$  for any cdh sheaf  $G$ , it is enough to show that the fibre of  $L\Omega_{A/Q}^{< n} \rightarrow L\Omega_{(A/I)/Q}^{< n}$  is supported in cohomological degrees  $\leq n - 1$ . Since  $L\Omega_{B/Q}^{< n}$  for any  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra  $B$  is supported in degrees  $\leq n - 1$ , we are reduced to showing the surjectivity of the map

$$H^{n-1}(L\Omega_{A/Q}^{< n}) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(L\Omega_{(A/I)/Q}^{< n}).$$

This holds since the map is identified with  $\Omega_{A/Q}^{n-1} \rightarrow \Omega_{(A/I)/Q}^{n-1}$ .  $\square$

As a corollary of Theorem 5.1, Lemma 5.6 and Remark 5.5, we conclude that for a Noetherian  $\mathbb{Q}$ -scheme  $X$  of finite Krull dimension  $d$ , we have

$$H^i(\widehat{L\Omega}_{X/Q}^{\geq n}) = 0 \quad \text{for } i > d + n, \quad (52)$$

from which we deduce

$$\pi_i \text{Nil HC}^-(X/Q) = 0 \quad \text{for } i < -d \quad (53)$$

using the spectral sequence induced by (51):

$$E_2^{i,j} = H^{i-j}(\text{Nil}\widehat{L\Omega}_{X/Q}^{\geq -j}) \Rightarrow H^{i+j} \text{Nil HC}^-(X/Q).$$

### 5.3 Integral topological cyclic homology

For  $X \in \text{Sch}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{qcqs}}$ , write  $\text{TC}(X)$  for the integral topological cyclic homology of  $X$ . By [BMS19], there exists a functorial complete decreasing  $\mathbb{N}$ -indexed filtration

$$\left\{ \text{Fil}_{\text{BMS}}^n \text{TC}(X) \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \text{on } \text{TC}(X) \quad (54)$$

with associated graded quotients

$$\text{gr}_{\text{Fil}_{\text{BMS}}}^n \text{TC}(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p(n)^{\text{syn}}(X)[2n]$$

for a natural object  $\mathbb{Z}_p(n)^{\text{syn}} \in \text{PSh}(\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{qcqs}}, D(\mathbb{Z}_p))$  called the syntomic complex.

**Lemma 5.7.**  $\mathrm{Nil}\mathbb{Z}_p(n)^{\mathrm{syn}} \in \mathrm{PSh}(\mathrm{Sch}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\mathrm{qcqs}}, D(\mathbb{Z}_p))$  satisfy  $(\mathrm{Desc})$ ,  $(\mathrm{Fin})$ ,  $(\mathrm{Rig})_n$ .

*Proof.* This follows from [EM23, Prop.8.12], see also [KS23, Lem.8.9] for a proof of the conditions  $(\mathrm{Desc})$  and  $(\mathrm{Fin})$ . Here, we include a proof of  $(\mathrm{Rig})_n$ . Let  $A$  be a Noetherian  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra and  $I \subset A$  be a nilpotent ideal. Since  $G(A) \simeq G(A/I)$  for any cdh sheaf  $G$ , we have

$$\mathrm{fib}(\mathrm{Nil}\mathbb{Z}_p(n)^{\mathrm{syn}}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Nil}\mathbb{Z}_p(n)^{\mathrm{syn}}(A/I)) = \mathrm{fib}(\mathbb{Z}_p(n)^{\mathrm{syn}}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p(n)^{\mathrm{syn}}(A/I)).$$

Hence,  $(\mathrm{Rig})_n$  follows from [AMMN20, Th.5.2].  $\square$

As a corollary of Theorem 5.1, Lemma 5.7 and Remark 5.5, we conclude that for a Noetherian  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -scheme  $X$  of finite Krull dimension  $d$ , we have

$$H^i(\mathrm{Nil}\mathbb{Z}_p(n)^{\mathrm{syn}}(X)) = 0 \quad \text{for } i > d + n. \quad (55)$$

from which we deduce

$$\pi_i \mathrm{Nil} \mathrm{TC}(X) = 0 \quad \text{for } i < -d. \quad (56)$$

using the spectral sequence induced by (54):

$$E_2^{i,j} = H^{i-j}(\mathrm{Nil}\mathbb{Z}_p(-j)^{\mathrm{syn}}(X)) \Rightarrow \pi_{-i-j} \mathrm{Nil} \mathrm{TC}(X).$$

## 5.4 Motivic cohomology

In what follows,  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and let  $\mathrm{Sm}_{\mathbb{F}}$  denote the category of smooth schemes separated of finite type over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\mathbb{Z}(n)^{\mathrm{sm}}$  be Voevodsky's  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant motivic complex defined in [SV00] as

$$\mathbb{Z}(n)^{\mathrm{sm}}(X) = \underline{C}_*(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n}))(X)[-n] \quad \text{for } X \in \mathrm{Sm}_{\mathbb{F}}.$$

This is strictly functorial in  $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_{\mathbb{F}}$ . In [Voe02, Cor.2], this is shown to be quasi-isomorphic scheme-wise to Bloch's cycle complex. Recently, Elmanto-Morrow [EM23] introduced a new motivic complex defined for all  $X \in \mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{qcqs}}$ :

$$\mathbb{Z}(n)^{\mathrm{EM}} \in \mathrm{PSh}(\mathrm{Sch}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathrm{qcqs}}, D(\mathbb{Z})).$$

They construct  $\mathbb{Z}(n)^{\mathrm{EM}}$  by modifying the cdh sheafification  $\mathbb{Z}(n)^{\mathrm{cdh}} = a_{\mathrm{cdh}} L^{\mathrm{sm}} \mathbb{Z}(n)^{\mathrm{sm}}$  of the left Kan extension  $L^{\mathrm{sm}} \mathbb{Z}(n)^{\mathrm{sm}}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}(n)^{\mathrm{sm}}$  along  $\mathrm{Sm}_{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sch}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathrm{qcqs}}$  by using Hodge-completed derived de Rham complexes in case  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$  and syntomic complexes in case  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ . The construction is motivated by trace methods in algebraic  $K$ -theory

using the cyclotomic trace map. In [KS23, §9], we gave a different construction of a motivic complex:

$$\mathbb{Z}(n)^{\text{procdh}} := a_{\text{procdh}} L^{\text{sm}} \mathbb{Z}(n)^{\text{sm}} \in \text{Shv}_{\text{procdh}}(\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{qcqs}}, D(\mathbb{Z})),$$

as the sheafification of  $L^{\text{sm}} \mathbb{Z}(n)^{\text{sm}}$  with respect to the procdh topology [KS23, Def.1.1]. It is shown [KS23, Cor.1.11] that for any Noetherian  $\mathbb{F}$ -scheme  $X$  with  $\dim(X) < \infty$ , there are equivalences

$$\mathbb{Z}(n)^{\text{procdh}}(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}(n)^{\text{EM}}(X)$$

functorial in  $X$ . We then define a motivic cohomology of such  $X$  as

$$H_{\text{mot}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) = H^i(\mathbb{Z}(n)^{\text{EM}}(X)) = H^i(\mathbb{Z}(n)^{\text{procdh}}(X)).$$

**Lemma 5.8.**  $\mathbb{Z}(n)^{\text{EM}} \in \text{PSh}(\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{qcqs}}, D(\mathbb{Z}))$  satisfy (Desc), (Fin), (Rig) $_n$ , (Val) $_n$ .

*Proof.* This follows from [EM23, Prop.8.12]. Here, we include a proof. By [EM23, Th.4.10(2), Th.4.24.(2)], there are fiber sequences in  $\text{PSh}(\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{qcqs}}, D(\mathbb{Z}))$

$$\text{Nil} \widehat{L\Omega}_{-/Q}^{\geq n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(n)^{\text{EM}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(n)^{\text{cdh}} \quad \text{if } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q},$$

$$\text{Nil} \mathbb{Z}_p(n)^{\text{syn}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(n)^{\text{EM}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(n)^{\text{cdh}} \quad \text{if } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p.$$

By Lemmas 5.6 and 5.7, it suffices to show that  $\mathbb{Z}(n)^{\text{cdh}}$  satisfy (Desc), (Fin), (Rig) $_n$ , (Val) $_n$ . (Desc) follows from the fact that the cdh topology is finer than the procdh topology, (Fin) from that the cdh sheafification of a finitary sheaf is finitary, (Rig) $_n$  from that any cdh sheaf is invariant with respect to nilpotent ideals, and (Val) $_n$  from that we have  $\mathbb{Z}(n)^{\text{cdh}}(R) = L^{\text{sm}} \mathbb{Z}(n)^{\text{sm}}(R)$  for a valuation ring  $R$  and the latter is supported in degree  $\leq n$  (see [KS23, Rem.9.6]).  $\square$

As a corollary of Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 5.8, we conclude that for a Noetherian  $\mathbb{F}$ -scheme  $X$  of finite Krull dimension  $d$ , we get

$$H_{\text{mot}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) = 0 \quad \text{for } i > d + n \tag{57}$$

which is [EM23, Th.1.10].

## References

- [Ab10] A. Abbes, *Éléments de Géométrie Rigide, I; Construction et Étude Géométrique des Espaces Rigides*, Progress in Math. **286** (2010), Birkhäuser.

- [An19] B. Antieau, *Periodic cyclic homology and derived de Rham cohomology*, Ann. K-Theory **4** (2019) no. 3, 505–519.
- [AHW17] A. Asok, M. Hoyois and M. Wendt, *Affine representability results in  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory, I: vector bundles*, Duke Math. J. **166** no. 10 (2017), 1923–1953.
- [AMMN20] B. Antieau, A. Mathew, M. Morrow and T. Nikolaus, *On the Beilinson fiber sequence*, Duke Math. J. (2020).
- [BMS19] B. Bhatt, M. Morrow and P. Scholze, *Topological Hochschild homology and integral  $p$ -adic Hodge theory*, Publ. Math. IHES **128** (2019), 219–397.
- [CM21] D. Clausen and A. Mathew, *Hyperdescent and étale  $K$ -theory*, Invent. Math. (2021), 1–96.
- [CHSW08] G. Cortiñas, C. Haesemeyer, M. Schlichting and C. Weibel, *Cyclic homology,  $cdh$ -cohomology and negative  $K$ -theory*, Ann. of Math. **167** (2008), 549–573.
- [CHW08] G. Cortiñas, C. Haesemeyer and C. Weibel,  *$K$ -regularity,  $cdh$ -fibrant Hochschild homology, and a conjecture of Vorst*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (2008), 547–561.
- [CLNS] A. Chambert-Loir, J. Nicaise, J. Sebag, *Motivic Integration*, Progress in Math. **325** (2010), Birkäuser.
- [EHIK21] E. Elmanto, M. Hoyois, R. Iwasa and S. Kelly,  *$Cdh$  descent,  $cdarc$  descent, and Milnor excision*, Math. Annalen **379**, no. 3 (2021), 1011–1045.
- [El73] R. Elkik, *Solutions d'équations à coefficients dans un anneau hensélien*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **8** (1976) 553–603.
- [EM23] E. Elmanto and M. Morrow, *Motivic cohomology of equicharacteristic schemes*, arXiv:2309.08463 (2023).
- [FK] K. Fujiwara and F. Kato, *Foundations of rigid geometry I*. Monographs in Mathematics, European Mathematical Society Publishing House.
- [Gre69] S. Greco, *Henselization of a ring with respect to an ideal*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (1969), 43–65.
- [Joh77] P. T. Johnstone, *Topos theory*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, **10** (1977), Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], London-New York.
- [KM21] S. Kelly and M. Morrow,  *$K$ -theory of valuation rings*, Compositio Math. **157** (2021) 11-21-1142.

- [KST18] M. Kerz, F. Strunk, and G. Tamme, *Algebraic K-theory and descent for blow-ups*, *Invent. Math.* **211** (2018), no. 2, 523–577.
- [KS23] S. Kelly and S. Saito, *A pro-cdh topology*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.02699>
- [Lur09] J. Lurie, *Higher topos theory*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, vol. 170, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.
- [RG71] M. Raynaud and L. Gruson, *Critères de platitude et de projectivité*, *Invent. Math.* **13** (1971), 1–89.
- [SV00] A. Suslin and V. Voevodsky, *Bloch-Kato conjecture and motivic cohomology with finite coefficients*, *The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles*, Springer, 2000, 117–189.
- [TLP07] L.A. Tarrío, A.L. López and M.P. Rodríguez, *Infinitesimal lifting and Jacobi criterion for smoothness on formal schemes*, *Commun. Algebra* **35**(4) (2007), 1341–1367.
- [Tem08] M. Temkin, *Desingularization of quasi-excellent schemes in characteristic zero*, *Adv. Math.* **219** (2008), no. 2, 488–522.
- [Voe02] V. Voevodsky, *Motivic cohomology groups are isomorphic to higher Chow groups in any characteristic*, *Int. Math. Research Notices*, **7** (2002), 351–355.
- [Voe10] V. Voevodsky, *Homotopy theory of simplicial sheaves in completely decomposable topologies*, *Journal of pure and applied algebra* **214** no. 8 (2010), 1384–1398.
- [EGA1] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique*, *Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen*, **166** (1971), Springer-Verlag.
- [EGAIV] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique : IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Troisième partie*, *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS, Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques*, **20** (1964), **24** (1965), **28** (1966), **32** (1967).
- [SGA41] M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, J. L. Verdier, P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat, *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 1: Théorie des topos*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **269** (1972), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York.
- [SGA42] M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, J. L. Verdier, P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat, *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 2: Théorie des topos*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **270** (1972), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York.

[Sta18] *Stacks Project*, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu> (2018).