RELATIVE CYCLES WITH MODULI AND REGULATOR MAPS

FEDERICO BINDA AND SHUJI SAITO

Abstract. Let $\overline{X}$ be a separated scheme of finite type over a field k and D a non-reduced effective Cartier divisor on it. We attach to the pair $(\overline{X}, D)$ a cycle complex with modulus, those homotopy groups - called higher Chow groups with modulus - generalize additive higher Chow groups of Bloch-Esnault, Rülling, Park and Krishna-Levine, and that sheafified on $\overline{X}_{Zar}$ gives a candidate definition for a relative motivic complex of the pair, that we compute in weight 1.

When $\overline{X}$ is smooth over k and D is such that D_{red} is a normal crossing divisor, we construct a fundamental class in the cohomology of relative differentials for a cycle satisfying the modulus condition, refining El-Zein's explicit construction of the fundamental class of a cycle. This is used to define a natural regulator map from the relative motivic complex of $(\overline{X}, D)$ to the relative de Rham complex. When $\overline{X}$ is defined over $\mathbb{C}$, the same method leads to the construction of a regulator map to a relative version of Deligne cohomology, generalizing Bloch's regulator from higher Chow groups.

Finally, when $\overline{X}$ is moreover connected and proper over $\mathbb{C}$, we use relative Deligne cohomology to define relative intermediate Jacobians with modulus $J^r_{\overline{X}|D}$ of the pair $(\overline{X}, D)$. For $r = \dim \overline{X}$, we show that $J^r_{\overline{X}|D}$ is the universal regular quotient of the Chow group of 0-cycles with modulus.

Contents

1. Introduction

1.1. A quest for a geometrically defined cohomology theory for an algebraic variety, playing in algebraic geometry the rôle of ordinary cohomology of a topological space, dates back to the work of A.Grothendieck and early days of algebraic geometry. In [3], A.Beilinson gave a precise conjectural framework for such hoped-for theory, foreseeing the existence of an Atiyah-Hirzebruch type spectral sequence for any scheme S (arbitrary singular)

$$E_2^{p,q} = \mathrm{H}^{p-q}_{\mathcal{M}}(S, \mathbb{Z}(-q)) \Rightarrow K_{-p-q}(S) \tag{1.1}$$

converging to $K_\bullet(S)$, Quillen's algebraic K-theory of S. Narrowing the context a little, fix a perfect field k and consider the category $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ of separated schemes of finite type over k. When X is smooth and quasi-projective, S.Bloch's apparently naïve definition of Higher Chow groups, given in terms of algebraic cycles, provides the right answer, as established in [17] and [32]. In larger generality, motivic

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14C25; Secondary 14C30, 14F42, 14F43.

cohomology groups have been defined by V.Voevodsky [41] and M.Levine [31] as Zariski hypercohomology of certain complexes of sheaves, and they are known to agree with Bloch's definition in the smooth case [42]. So, if X is any scheme of finite type over k, we are now able to consider the motivic cohomology groups

$$X \mapsto \mathrm{H}^*_{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(*)) = \mathrm{H}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

having a number of good properties, including the existence of the spectral sequence (1.1) for smooth X.

While the smooth case is thus established, the conjecture in the general form proposed by Beilinson is still widely open. As motivating example consider, for a smooth variety X, the K-theory of its m-th thickening X_m, $K_\bullet(X \times_k \mathrm{Spec} k[t]/t^m)$. These groups behave very differently from the corresponding motivic cohomology groups, since according to the current definitions one has

$$\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(*)) = \mathrm{H}^*_{\mathcal{M}}(X \times_k \mathrm{Spec} k[t]/t^m, \mathbb{Z}(*)),$$

and this quite obviously prevents the existence of the desired spectral sequence. The insensibility of motivic cohomology to nilpotent thickening is manifesting the fact that, in Voevodsky's triangulated category $\mathbf{DM}(k, \mathbb{Z})$, one has $M(X) = M(X_m)$. From this point of view, the available definitions are not completely satisfactory, as they fail to encompass this kind of non-homotopy invariant phenomena.

1.2. Without an appropriate categorical framework, such as the one provided by $\mathbf{DM}(k, \mathbb{Z})$, the quest starts again from algebraic cycles. The first attempt was made by S.Bloch and H.Esnault, that in [2] introduced additive higher Chow groups of 0-cycles over a field in order to describe the K-theory of the ring $k[t]/(t^2)$ and gave the first evidence in this direction, showing that these groups are isomorphic to the absolute differentials Ω^n_k agreeing with Hasselholt-Madsen description of the K-groups of a truncated polynomial algebra. Their work was refined in [1] and extended by K.Rülling to higher modulus in [36], where the additive higher Chow groups of 0-cycles were actually shown to be isomorphic to the generalized deRham-Witt complex of Hesselholt-Madsen.

The generalization to schemes was firstly given by J.Park in [35], that defined additive higher Chow groups for any variety X. Park's groups were then further studied by A.Krishna and M.Levine in [25], that proved a number of structural properties for smooth projective varieties, such as a projective bundle formula, a blow-up formula and some basic functorialities.

1.2.1. Additive higher Chow groups are a modified version of Bloch's higher Chow groups, defined by imposing some extra condition, commonly called "Modulus Condition", on admissible cycles and are conjectured to describe the relative K-groups $K^{nil}_\bullet(X, m)$, where $K^{nil}(X, m)$ denotes the homotopy fiber

$$K(X \times_k \mathbb{A}^1_k) \to K(X \times_k k[t]/t^m).$$

From this point of view, additive higher Chow groups are a candidate definition for the relative motivic cohomology of the pair

$$(X \times_k \mathbb{A}^1_k, X \times_k k[t]/t^m = X_m).$$

One of the goals of this paper is to generalize this construction, defining for every pair $(\overline{X}, D)$ consisting of a scheme $\overline{X}$ (separated and of finite type over k) together with a (non reduced) effective Cartier divisor $D \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, cubical abelian groups

$$z^r(\overline{X}|D, \bullet) \subset z^r(\overline{X}, \bullet), \quad \text{(Bloch's cubical cycle complex)}$$

those n-th homotopy groups will be called *higher Chow groups of $\overline{X}$ with modulus D*

$$\mathrm{CH}^r(\overline{X}|D, n) = \pi_n(z^r(\overline{X}|D, \bullet)) = \mathrm{H}_n(z^r(\overline{X}|D, *)). \tag{1.2}$$

These groups are controvariantly functorial for flat maps of pairs and covariantly functorial for proper maps of pairs. Sheafifying this construction on $\overline{X}_{Zar}$ we obtain, for every $r \geq 0$, complexes of sheaves

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\overline{X}|D}(r) \to \mathbb{Z}_{\overline{X}}(r)$$

called *relative motivic complexes*, naturally mapping to $\mathbb{Z}_{\overline{X}}(r)$, the complexes of sheaves computing Bloch's higher Chow groups $\mathrm{CH}^r(\overline{X};n)$. We call the hypercohomology groups of $\mathbb{Z}_{\overline{X}|D}(r)$ the *motivic cohomology groups of the pair* $(\overline{X},D)$,

$$\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathcal{M}}(\overline{X}|D,\mathbb{Z}(r)) = \mathbb{H}^*(\overline{X}_{Zar},\mathbb{Z}_{\overline{X}|D}(r)).$$

The choice of the words is quite optimistic, since at this moment only few properties of our relative motivic cohomology groups and of higher Chow groups with modulus are established. A significant issue is represented by the contravariant functoriality for all maps, for which an appropriate moving lemma has to be established.

1.3. When $\overline{X}=C$ is a smooth projective curve over k and D is an effective divisor on it, the Chow group of 0-cycles with modulus is indeed a classical object. In [40], J-P.Serre introduced and studied the equivalence relation on the set of divisors on C defined by the "modulus" D (this explains the choice of the terminology), describing in terms of divisors the relative Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(C,D)$, that is the group of equivalence classes of pairs $(\mathcal{L},\sigma)$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle on C and σ is a fixed trivialization of $\mathcal{L}$ on D. When the base field k is finite and C is geometrically connected, the group

$$\varprojlim_D \mathrm{CH}_0(C|D)$$

is isomorphic to the idèle class group of the function field $k(C)$ of C.

In [28], M.Kerz and S.Saito introduced Chow groups of 0-cycles with modulus for varieties over finite fields and used it to prove their main theorem on wildly ramified Class Field Theory. If X is smooth over k, take a compactification $X\hookrightarrow\overline{X}$, with $\overline{X}$ integral and proper over k, and a (possibly non reduced) closed subscheme D supported on $\overline{X}-X$. Then the group $\mathrm{CH}_0(\overline{X}|D)$ is defined as the quotient of the group of 0-cycles $z_0(X)$ modulo rational equivalence with modulus D (see [28] and 3.1), and it is used to describe the abelian fundamental group $\pi_1^{ab}(X)$. This work is one of the main sources of motivations for the present paper, and explains our choice of generalizing addivite higher Chow groups to the case of an arbitrary pair. Higher Chow groups with modulus (1.2) recover (for $n=0$ and $r=\dim\overline{X}$) Kerz-Saito definition (see Theorem 3.3).

1.4. Motivated by 1.3, we can use our relative motivic complexes to give a definition of higher Chow groups with compact support. Let X be a separated scheme of finite type over k and let $\overline{X}$ be a proper compactification of X such that the complement of X in $\overline{X}$ is the support of an effective Cartier divisor D. Define for $r,n\geq 0$

$$\mathrm{CH}^r(X,n)_c = \{\mathrm{CH}^r(\overline{X}|mD,n)\}_m \in pro-\mathcal{A}b$$

where $pro-\mathcal{A}b$ denotes the category of pro-Abelian groups. This definition does not depend on the choice of the compactification $\overline{X}$, and it is consistent with the definition of K-theory with compact support proposed by M.Morrow in [34].

We give an overview of the content of the different sections.

1.5. Section 2 contains the definitions of our objects of interest, namely higher Chow groups with moduli and relative motivic cohomology groups, together with some basic properties. We define relative Chow groups with modulus, generalizing Kerz-Saito's definition, in Section 3, where they are also shown to be isomorphic to higher Chow groups with modulus for $n=0$. In Section 4 we compute the relative motivic cohomology groups in codimension 1, showing that

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\overline{X}|D}(1)\cong\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{\overline{X}|D}[-1]=\mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{\overline{X}}\to\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{D})[-1]\quad\text{(quasi-isomorphism)}$$

generalizing Bloch's computation in weight 1, $\mathbb{Z}_{\overline{X}}(1)\cong\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{\overline{X}}[-1]$, and proving the first of the expected properties of the relative motivic cohomology groups.

1.6. Suppose that D is an effective Cartier divisor on $\overline{X}$ such that its reduced part D_{red} is a normal crossing divisor on $\overline{X}$. Our first main result, presented in Section 5, is the construction of a fundamental class in the cohomology of relative differentials for a cycle satisfying the modulus condition. More precisely, consider the sheaves

$$\Omega^r_{\overline{X}|D} = \Omega^r_{\overline{X}}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(-D), \quad r \geq 0 \tag{1.3}$$

where $\Omega^r_{\overline{X}}(\log D)$ denotes the sheaf of absolute Kähler differential r-forms on $\overline{X}$ with logarithmic poles along $|D_{red}|$. Using El-Zein's explicit construction of the fundamental class of a cycle given in [16], we can show that if an admissible cycle satisfies the Modulus Condition, then its fundamental class in Hodge cohomology with support appears as restriction of a unique class in the cohomology with support of sheaves constructed out of (1.3) (Theorem 5.9). The refined fundamental class is then shown to be compatible with proper push forward (Lemma 5.14). Some further technical lemmas are proved in Section 6.

1.7. Let $(\overline{X}, D)$ be as in 1.6. The second main technical result of this paper, presented in Section 7, is the construction, using the fundamental class in relative differentials, of regulator maps from the relative motivic complex $\mathbb{Z}_{\overline{X}|D}(r)$ to a the relative de Rham complex of $\overline{X}$

$$\phi_{dR} \colon \mathbb{Z}_{\overline{X}|D}(r) \to \Omega^{\geq r}_{\overline{X}|D} = \Omega^{\geq r}_{\overline{X}}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(-D) \quad \text{ in } D^-(\overline{X}_{Zar})$$

where $\Omega^{\geq r}_{\overline{X}}(\log D)$ denotes the r-th truncation of the complex $\Omega^\bullet_{\overline{X}}(\log D)$. The map ϕ_{dR} is compatible with flat pullbacks and proper push forwards of pairs.

When $\overline{X}$ is a smooth algebraic variety over the field of complex numbers, we can use the same technique to define regulator maps to a relative version of Deligne cohomology (see (8.10)) and to Betti cohomology with compact support

$$\phi_{\mathcal{D}} \colon \epsilon^*\mathbb{Z}_{\overline{X}|D}(r) \to \mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{X}|D}(r); \quad \phi_B \colon \epsilon^*\mathbb{Z}_{\overline{X}|D}(r) \to j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X \quad \text{ in } D^-(\overline{X}_{an}),$$

where ϵ is the morphism of sites and $j \colon X \to \overline{X}$ is the open embedding of the complement of D in $\overline{X}$, generalizing Bloch's regulator from higher Chow groups to Deligne cohomology, constructed in [4].

1.8. Suppose that $\overline{X}$ is moreover connected and proper over $\mathbb{C}$, and consider the induced maps in cohomology in degree $2r$. We have a commutative diagram (see 9.1.1)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & \mathrm{H}^{2r}_{\mathcal{M}}(\overline{X}|D, \mathbb{Z}(r)) & & & \\ & & & \downarrow \phi^{2r,r}_{\mathcal{D}} & \searrow \phi^{2r,r}_B & & \\ 0 \longrightarrow & J^r_{\overline{X}|D} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{2r}_{\mathcal{D}}(\overline{X}|D, \mathbb{Z}(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{2r}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X) & \end{array}$$

and in analogy with the classical situation, we call the kernel $J^r_{\overline{X}|D}$ the r-th *relative intermediate Jacobian of the pair* $(\overline{X}, D)$. We note that they admit a description in terms of extensions groups Ext^1 in the abelian category of enriched Hodge structures defined by S.Bloch and V.Srinivas in [6].

One can show that $J^r_{\overline{X}|D}$ fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \to U_{\overline{X}|D} \to J^r_{\overline{X}|D} \to J^r_{\overline{X}|D_{red}} \to 0,$$

where $U_{\overline{X}|D}$ is a unipotent group (i.e. a finite product of $\mathbb{G}_a$) and $J^r_{\overline{X}|D_{red}}$ (constructed as $J^r_{\overline{X}|D}$ with D_{red} in place of D) is an extension of a complex torus by a finite product of $\mathbb{G}_m$. If we compose with the canonical map

$$\mathrm{CH}^r(\overline{X}|D) \to \mathrm{H}^{2r}_{\mathcal{M}}(\overline{X}|D, \mathbb{Z}(r))$$

we get an induced map

$$\rho_{\overline{X}|D} \colon \mathrm{CH}^r(\overline{X}|D)_{hom} \to J^r_{\overline{X}|D} \tag{1.4}$$

that we may view as the Abel-Jacobi map with $\mathbb{G}_a$-part, where $\mathrm{CH}^r(\overline{X}|D)_{hom}$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{CH}^r(\overline{X}|D)$ consisting of the classes of cycles homologically trivial.

The problem of considering a suitable equivalence relation with modulus for algebraic cycles in order to define a $\mathbb{G}_a$-valued Abel-Jacobi map was already sketched by S.Bloch in [5], with reference to his joint work with H.Esnault. In case $r = d := \dim \overline{X}$, the Jacobian (or Albanese) $J^d_{\overline{X}|D}$ is actually a commutative algebraic group and the map (1.4) becomes

$$\rho_{\overline{X}|D} \colon \mathrm{CH}_0(\overline{X}|D)^0 \to J^d_{\overline{X}|D},$$

where $\mathrm{CH}_0(C|D)^0$ denotes the degree 0 part of the Chow gorup $\mathrm{CH}_0(C|D)$ of zero-cycles with modulus. A different construction of Albanese variety with modulus was given by H.Russell in [37] and (in characteristic zero) by K.Kato and H.Russell in [27] using duality theory for 1-motives with unipotent part.

In Section 9 we prove, using transcendental arguments, that $J^d_{\overline{X}|D}$ with $d = \dim \overline{X}$ is the universal regular quotient of $\mathrm{CH}_0(C|D)^0$, in analogy with the results of H.Esnault, V.Srinivas and E.Viehweg [12] and L.Barbieri-Viale and V.Srinivas [7] for singular varieties (Theorem 9.5).

Acknowledgments. The first author wishes to thank heartily Marc Levine for many friendly conversations and much advice on these topics, for providing an excellent working environment at the University of Duisburg-Essen and for the support via the Alexander von Humboldt foundation. The second author wishes to thank heartily Moritz Kerz for inspiring discussions from which many ideas of this work arose. He is also very grateful to the department of mathematics of the university of Regensburg for the financial support via the SFB 1085 "Higher Invariants" (Regensburg).

2. Cycle complex with modulus

2.0.1. We fix a base field k. Let $\mathbb{P}^1_k = \mathrm{Proj}k[Y_0, Y_1]$ be the projective line over k and denote by y the rational coordinate function Y_1/Y_0 on $\mathbb{P}^1_k$. Let $p^n_i \colon (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^{n-1}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ be the projection onto the i-th component. We use on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ the rational coordinate system $(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$, where $t_i = t \circ p_i$. Let

$$\square^n = (\mathbb{P}^1_k \setminus \{1\})^n$$

and let $\iota^n_{i,\epsilon} \colon \square^n \to \square^{n+1}$ with

$$\iota^n_{i,\epsilon}(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = (t_1, \ldots, t_{i-1}, \epsilon, t_i, \ldots, t_n), \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq n+1, \epsilon \in \{0, \infty\},$$

be the inclusion of the codimension one face given by $t_i = \epsilon, \epsilon \in \{0, \infty\}$. The assignment $n \mapsto \square^n$ defines a cocubical object $\square^\bullet$. Note that this is an extended cocubical object in the sense of [33, 1.5]. We conventionally set $\square^0 = \mathrm{Spec}k$.

A face of $\square^n$ is a closed subscheme F defined by equations of the form

$$y_{i_1} = \epsilon_1, \ldots, y_{i_r} = \epsilon_r \; ; \;\; \epsilon_j \in \{0, \infty\}.$$

For a face F, we write $\iota_F : F \hookrightarrow \square^n$ for the inclusion. Finally, we write $F^n_i \subset (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ for the Cartier divisor on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ defined by $\{y_i = 1\}$ and put $F_n = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} F^n_i$.

2.0.2. Let Y be a scheme of finite type over k, equidimensional over k, D an effective Cartier divisor and F a simple normal crossing divisor on Y. Assume that D and F have no common components. Let X be the open complement $X = Y - (F + D)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let W be an integral closed subscheme of X and let $V \subset W$ be an integral closed subscheme of W. Let $\overline{W}$ (resp. $\overline{V}$) be the closure of W (resp. of V) in Y. Let $\phi_{\overline{W}} \colon \overline{W}^N \to Y$ (resp. $\phi_{\overline{V}} \colon \overline{V}^N \to Y$) be the normalization morphism. Then the inequality $\phi^*_{\overline{W}}(D) \leq \phi^*_{\overline{W}}(F)$ as Cartier divisors on $\overline{W}^N$ implies the inequality $\phi^*_{\overline{V}}(D) \leq \phi^*_{\overline{V}}(F)$ as Cartier divisors on $\overline{V}^N$.*

Proof We use the same argument as [26, Proposition 2.4]. Let $Z = \overline{W}^N \times_{\overline{W}} \overline{V} \hookrightarrow \overline{W}^N$ and let Z^N be its normalization. By the universal property of the normalization, there exists a unique surjective morphism h making the diagram



commutative. Note that all the schemes are of finite type over the base field k, so the normalization morphisms are finite and hence h is finite too. Note that $\overline{V}$ is not contained in D_{red} nor F and hence intersects properly both D and F. We can therefore apply [26, Lemma 2.1] to get from $\phi^*_{\overline{W}}(D) \leq \phi^*_{\overline{W}}(F)$ the inequality

$$f^*\phi^*_{\overline{W}}(D) \leq f^*\phi^*_{\overline{W}}(F) \text{ on } Z^N.$$

By the commutativity of the above diagram, we get then $h^*(\phi^*_{\overline{V}}(F) - \phi^*_{\overline{V}}(D)) \geq 0$. Since h is a finite surjective morphism between normal varieties, we have that the pullback along h of a Cartier divisor E is effective only if E was already effective (see [26, Lemma 2.2]). In particular, we have $\phi^*_{\overline{V}}(F) - \phi^*_{\overline{V}}(D) \geq 0$, proving the lemma.

2.1. Cycle complexes.

2.1.1. Let $\overline{X}$ be a scheme of finite type over k, equidimensional over k, and let D be an effective Cartier divisor on $\overline{X}$. Let X be the open complement of D in $\overline{X}$. We define the *cycle complex of X with modulus D* as follows.

Definition 2.2. Let $C^r(\overline{X}|D, n)$ be the set of all integral closed subschemes V of codimension r on $X \times \square^n$ which satisfy the following conditions:

(1) V has proper intersection with $X \times F$ for all faces F of $\square^n$.
(2) For $n = 0$, $C^r(\overline{X}|D, 0)$ is the set of all integral closed subschemes V of codimension r on X such that the closure of V in $\overline{X}$ does not meet D.
(3) For $n > 0$, let $\overline{V}$ be the closure of V in $\overline{X} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ and $\overline{V}^N$ be its normalization and $\phi_{\overline{V}} : \overline{V}^N \to \overline{X} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ be the natural map. If $(D \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n) \cap \overline{V} \neq \emptyset$, then the following inequality as Cartier divisors holds:

$$\phi^*_{\overline{V}}(D \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n) \leq \phi^*_{\overline{V}}(\overline{X} \times F_n). \tag{2.1}$$

An element of $C^r(\overline{X}|D, n)$ is called a *relative cycle of codimension r for $(\overline{X}, D)$*.

Remark 2.3. The condition 2.2(3) implies $\overline{V} \cap (D \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n) \subset \overline{X} \times F_n$ as closed subsets of $\overline{X} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$, and hence $\overline{V} \cap (D \times \square^n) = \emptyset$ and V is closed in $\overline{X} \times \square^n$. This implies that $C^r(\overline{X}|D, n)$ is viewed as a subset of the set of all integral closed subschemes W of codimension r on $\overline{X} \times \square^n$ which intersects properly with $\overline{X} \times F$ for all faces F of $\square^n$.

Let $V \subset W$ be integral closed subschemes of $X \times \square^n$ which are closed in $\overline{X} \times \square^n$. Lemma 2.1 shows that if the inequality (2.1) holds for $\overline{W}$, then it also holds for $\overline{V}$. This implies then the following

Lemma 2.4. *Let $V \in C^r(\overline{X}|D, n)$. For a face F of $\square^n$ of dimension m, the cycle $(id_X \times \iota_F)^*(V)$ on $X \times F \simeq X \times \square^m$ is in $C^r(\overline{X}|D, m)$.*

Definition 2.5. Let $\underline{z}^r(\overline{X}|D, n)$ be the free abelian group on the set $C^r(\overline{X}|D, n)$. By Lemma 2.4, the cocubical object of schemes $n \to \square^n$ gives rises to a cubical object of abelian groups:

$$\underline{n} \to \underline{z}^r(\overline{X}|D, n) \quad (\underline{n} = \{0, \infty\}^n,\ n = 0, 1, 2, 3...).$$

The associated non-degenerate complex is called the cycle complex $z^r(\overline{X}|D,\bullet)$ of X with modulus D:

$$z^r(\overline{X}|D,n) = \frac{\underline{z}^r(\overline{X}|D,n)}{\underline{z}^r(\overline{X}|D,n)_{degn}}.$$

The boundary map of the complex $z^r(\overline{X}|D,\bullet)$ is given by

$$\partial = \sum_{1\leq i\leq n} (-1)^i(\partial_i^\infty - \partial_i^0),$$

where $\partial_i^\epsilon : z^r(\overline{X}|D,n) \to z^r(\overline{X}|D,n-1)$ is the pullback along $\iota_{i,\epsilon}^n$, well defined by Lemma 2.4. The q-th homology group of the complex will be denoted by

$$CH^r(\overline{X}|D,q) = \mathrm{H}_q(z^r(\overline{X}|D,\bullet)).$$

We call it the *higher Chow group of X with modulus D.*

Remark 2.6. (1) By Remark 2.3, $z^r(\overline{X}|D,n)$ can be naturally viewed as a subcomplex of $z^r(\overline{X},n)$, the (cubical version) of Bloch's cycle complex, so that we have a natural map

$$CH^r(\overline{X}|D,q) \to CH^r(\overline{X},q).$$

(2) The above definition generalizes the additive higher Chow groups defined by Bloch and Esnault [2], Park [35], Krishna and Levine [25]. In case $\overline{X} = Y \times \mathbb{A}^1_k$ with Y of finite type over k and $D = n\cdot Y \times \{0\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $CH^r(\overline{X}|D,q)$ coincides with $TCH^r(Y,q+1;m)$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\overline{X}$ and D be as above. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(1) *Let $f : \overline{Y} \to \overline{X}$ be a proper morphism of schemes of finite type over k, equidimensional over k. Assume that f^*D is defined as effective Cartier divisor on $\overline{Y}$. Then the push-forward of cycles induces a map of complexes:*

$$f_* : z^{r+\dim(\overline{Y})-\dim(\overline{X})}(\overline{Y}|f^*D,\bullet) \to z^r(\overline{X}|D,\bullet).$$

(2) *Let $f : \overline{Y} \to \overline{X}$ be a flat morphism of schemes of finite type over k, equidimensional over k. Then the pull-back of cycles induces a map of complexes:*

$$f^* : z^r(\overline{X}|D,\bullet) \to z^r(\overline{Y}|f^*D,\bullet).$$

Proof The proof of the Lemma uses the same argument of [26], Theorem 3.1 (1) and (2).

2.1.2. In 1.4, we introduced the notion of higher Chow group with compact support for a scheme of finite type over k as the cohomology of the pro-complex $\{z^r(\overline{X}|D,\bullet)\}_{D\subset\overline{X}}$ for a chosen compactification $\overline{X}$ of X with complement an effective Cartier divisor. The following Lemma shows that this object is well defined and does not depend on the choice of $\overline{X}$.

Lemma 2.8. *Let X be an integral scheme of finite type over k and choose a compactification $\tau : X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, where $\overline{X}$ is a proper integral scheme over k, τ is an open immersion such that $\overline{X} - X$ is the support of a Cartier divisor. The pro-complex*

$$\{z^r(\overline{X}|D,\bullet)\}_{D\subset\overline{X}}$$

where D ranges over all effective Cartier divisors with $|D| = \overline{X} - X$, does not depend on the compactification $X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$.

It is indeed enough to show the following

Lemma 2.9. *Let $X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ and $X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}'$ be two compactifications as above. Let $f : \overline{X}' \to \overline{X}$ be a proper surjective morphism which is the identity on X. Let $D \subset \overline{X}$ be an effective Cartier divisor supported on $\overline{X} - X$ and put $D' = f^*D$. Then we have the equality (cf. Definition 1.2)*

$$C^r(\overline{X}|D,n) = C^r(\overline{X}'|D',n)$$

as subsets of the set of integral closed subschemes of $X \times \square^n$.

Proof This follows immediately from the definition of the modulus condition and [25, Lemma 3.2]

2.1.3. Let $\overline{X}$ and D be as in 2.1.1. For U étale over $\overline{X}$, we let D denote $D \times_{\overline{X}} U$ for simplicity. As for Bloch's cycle complex, the presheaves

$$z^r(-|D,n):\ U \to z^r(U|D,n)$$

are sheaves for the étale topology on $\overline{X}$. We define

$$\mathbb{Z}(r)_{\overline{X}|D} \quad (\text{resp. } \mathbb{Z}(r)^{\text{ét}}_{\overline{X}|D})) \tag{2.2}$$

as the cohomological complex of sheaves $z^r(-|D,2r-i)$ in degree i on X_{zar} (resp. $X_{\text{ét}}$).

Definition 2.10. We introduce the *motivic cohomology of the pair* $(\overline{X},D)$ as the hypercohomology of the complex of sheaves $\mathbb{Z}(r)_{\overline{X}|D}$.

$$\mathrm{H}^q_{\mathcal{M}}(\overline{X}|D,\mathbb{Z}(r)) = \mathbb{H}^q(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{zar}},\mathbb{Z}(r)_{\overline{X}|D}).$$

2.1.4. In the following Sections we will prove some properties of these groups and relate them to various cohomology theories, namely a relative version of de Rham cohomology and Deligne cohomology, constructing the corresponding Regulator maps.

3. Relative Chow groups with modulus

Let $\overline{X}$ and D be again a pair consisting of an integral scheme $\overline{X}$ of finite type over k and an effective Cartier divisor D on it. Fix an integer $r \geq 1$. In this Section we give a description of the groups $CH^r(\overline{X}|D,0)$ in terms of the relative Chow groups $CH^r(\overline{X}|D)$ defined below.

Definition 3.1. Let $Z^r(X)$ (resp. $Z^r(\overline{X})_D$) be the free abelian group on the set $C^r(X)$ (resp. $C^r(\overline{X})_D$) of integral closed subschemes $W \subset X$ of codimension r (resp. integral closed subschemes $W \subset \overline{X}$ of codimension r such that $W \cap D = \emptyset$). For an integral scheme Z and an effective Cartier divisor E on Z, we set

$$G(Z,E) = \varinjlim_{U} \Gamma(U, \mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_U^\times \to \mathcal{O}_E^\times)), \tag{3.1}$$

where U ranges over all open subscheme of Z containing $|E|$. We then put

$$\Phi^r(\overline{X},D) = \bigoplus_{W \in C^{r-1}(X)} G(\overline{W}^N, \gamma_W^* D), \tag{3.2}$$

where $\overline{W}^N$ denotes the normalization of the closure $\overline{W}$ of W in $\overline{X}$ and $\gamma_W^* D$ is the pullback of the Cartier divisor D via the natural map $\gamma_W : \overline{W}^N \to \overline{X}$. We set

$$CH^r(\overline{X}|D) = \mathrm{Coker}\big(\Phi^r(\overline{X},D) \xrightarrow{\delta} Z^r(\overline{X})_D\big), \tag{3.3}$$

where δ is induced by the composite of the divisor map on $\overline{W}^N$ and the pushforward map of cycles via γ_W for $W \in C^{r-1}(X)$. The groups $CH^r(\overline{X}|D)$ are called *Chow groups of* $\overline{X}$ *with modulus* D.

Remark 3.2. The notations of Definition 3.1 should be compared with the one in [30, 1.1 and 2.9]. Note that in the definition of a modulus pair $(\overline{X},Y)$ in *loc. cit.*, $X = \overline{X} - |Y|$ is required to be quasi-affine over k. In this paper we don't need this condition.

The main result of this section is the following

Theorem 3.3. *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$CH^r(\overline{X}|D,0) \xrightarrow{\simeq} CH^r(\overline{X}|D).$$

3.1. **A description of relative cycles.** For the proof of Theorem 3.3 we need to give an alternative and more concrete presentation of the modulus condition of Definition 2.2. This is the content of Lemma 3.4 and 3.7 below.

3.1.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $1 \le i \le n$, we denote by $\overline{\square}_i^n$ the closure of the n-th dimensional box $\square^n$ in the i-th direction, i.e.

$$(\mathbb{P}^1)^n \supset \overline{\square}_i^n = \square \times \cdots \times \overset{\overset{i}{\vee}}{\mathbb{P}^1} \times \cdots \times \square = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \sum_{j \neq i} F_j^n \simeq \square^{n-1} \times \mathbb{P}^1.$$

We let F_i^n denote $F_i^n \cap \overline{\square}_i^n$ for simplicity and write $pr_i : \overline{X} \times \overline{\square}_i^n \to \overline{X} \times \square^{n-1}$ for the projections removing the i-th factor $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $V \in C^r(X \times \square^n)$ be an integral cycle, and $\overline{V}$ the closure of V in $\overline{X} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$. For $1 \le i \le n$, let $\overline{V}_i$ be the closure of V in $\overline{X} \times \overline{\square}_i^n$, $\overline{V}_i^N$ be its normalization. Let $\phi_{\overline{V}_i} : \overline{V}_i^N \to \overline{X} \times \overline{\square}_i^n$ be the natural map. Then the condition (3) of Definition 2.2 implies the following condition:*

(3)' *The following inequality as Cartier divisors holds for all $1 \le i \le n$:*

$$\phi_{\overline{V}_i}^*(D \times \overline{\square}_i^n) \le \phi_{\overline{V}_i}^*(\overline{X} \times F_i^n). \tag{3.4}$$

The converse implication holds if either $n = 1$ or none of the components of $\overline{V} \cap (D \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n)$ is contained in $\bigcap_{1 \le i \le n} \overline{X} \times F_i^n$.

Proof The condition (3)' follows from Definition 2.2(3) by base change via the open immersion $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \sum_{j \neq i} F_j^n \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$. The converse implication holds if the generic points of $\overline{V} \cap (D \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n)$ are all in

$$\bigcup_{1 \le i \le n} ((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \sum_{j \neq i} F_j^n) = \begin{cases} (\mathbb{P}^1)^n & \text{if } n = 1,, \\ (\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \bigcap_{1 \le i \le n} F_j^n & \text{if } n > 1, \end{cases}$$

proving the last assertion.

Remark 3.5. The condition (3)' of Lemma 3.4 implies $\overline{V}_i \cap (D \times \overline{\square}_i^n) \subset \overline{X} \times F_i^n$ as closed subsets of $\overline{X} \times \overline{\square}_i^n$, and this in turn implies that V is closed in $\overline{X} \times \square^n$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $n \ge 1$ and let $V \in C^r(\overline{X} \times \square^n)_{D \times \square^n}$. Suppose that V intersects properly $\iota_{i,\infty}^n(X \times \square^{n-1})$. Write*

$$\partial_i^\infty V = (\iota_{i,\infty}^n)^{-1}(V) \subset \overline{X} \times \square^{n-1}.$$

For $1 \le i \le n$, let $\overline{V}_i$ be the closure of V in $\overline{X} \times \overline{\square}_i^n$ and put

$$\overline{W}_i = pr_i(\overline{V}_i) \subset \overline{X} \times \square^{n-1}, \quad \overline{W}_i^o = \overline{W}_i \backslash \partial_i^\infty V, \quad \overline{V}_i^o = \overline{V}_i \times_{\overline{W}_i} \overline{W}_i^o.$$

Then $\overline{V}_i^o$ is finite over $\overline{W}_i^o$ and

$$\overline{V}_i \cap (D \times \overline{\square}_i^n) \subset \overline{V}_i^o \subset \overline{W}_i^o[y],$$

where $\overline{W}_i^o[y]$ denotes $\overline{W}_i^o \times (\mathbb{P}^1 - \{\infty\})$.

Proof By definition, $\overline{V}_i^o$ is proper over $\overline{W}_i^o$ and closed in $\overline{W}_i^o[y] = \overline{W}_i^o \times (\mathbb{P}^1 - \{\infty\})$. Since $\overline{W}_i^o[y]$ is affine over $\overline{W}_i^o$, we have immediately that $\overline{V}_i^o$ is finite over $\overline{W}_i^o$. By assumption, $V \cap (D \times \square^n) = \emptyset$, so that we have $\partial_i^\infty V \cap (D \times \square^{n-1}) = \emptyset$. Hence $D \times \overline{\square}_i^n = pr_i^{-1}(D \times \square^{n-1})$ does not intersect $pr_i^{-1}(\partial_i^\infty V)$, and therefore $\overline{V}_i \cap (D \times \overline{\square}_i^n) \subset \overline{V}_i^o = \overline{V} \backslash pr_i^{-1}(\partial_i^\infty V)$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let V be as in Lemma 3.6. Then the condition (3)' of Lemma 3.4 for V is equivalent to the following condition:*

(3)" *Let $\overline{W}_i^N$ be the normalization of $\overline{W}_i$ and $\overline{W}_i^{N,o} = \overline{W}_i^N \times_{\overline{W}_i} \overline{W}_i^o$. Then there exists an integer $\nu \ge 1$ such that*

$$\overline{V}_i^o \times_{\overline{W}_i} \overline{W}_i^N \subset \overline{W}_i^{N,o}[y] := \overline{W}_i^{N,o} \times (\mathbb{P}^1 - \{\infty\})$$

is the divisor of a function of the form

$$f = (1-y)^m + \sum_{1\le\nu\le m} a_\nu (1-y)^{m-\nu} \quad \textit{with } a_\nu \in \Gamma(\overline{W}_i^{N,o}, I^\nu_{\overline{W}_i^N}),$$

where $I_{\overline{W}_i^N} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\overline{W}_i^N}$ *is the ideal sheaf for the divisor* $D \times_{\overline{X}} \overline{W}_i^N$ *in* $\overline{W}_i^N$ *and* $I^\nu_{\overline{W}_i^N}$ *denotes its* ν*-th power.*

Proof Let $\overline{y} \in \Gamma(\overline{V}_i^o, \mathcal{O})$ be the image of y. By Lemma 3.6, $\overline{V}_i^o$ is finite over $\overline{W}_i^o$ and the minimal polynomial of $\overline{y}$ over the function field K of $\overline{W}_i$ can be written as:

$$f(T) = (1-T)^m + \sum_{1\le\nu\le m} a_\nu(1-T)^{m-\nu} \in \Gamma(\overline{W}_i^{N,o}, \mathcal{O})[T].$$

We claim that $\overline{V}_i^o \times_{\overline{W}_i} \overline{W}_i^N \subset \overline{W}_i^{N,o}[y]$ coincides with the divisor of the function

$$h = (1-y)^m + \sum_{1\le\nu\le m} a_\nu(1-y)^{m-\nu} \in \Gamma(\overline{W}_i^{N,o}[y], \mathcal{O}).$$

Indeed, since $\mathrm{div}(h) \subseteq \overline{V}_i^o \times_{\overline{W}_i} \overline{W}_i^N$, it is enough to show that it is irreducible. But this is clear as $\mathrm{div}(h)$ is finite over $\overline{W}_i^{N,o}$ and its generic fiber over $\overline{W}_i^{N,o}$ is irreducible. The last assertion of Lemma 3.6 follows then from the following.

Claim 3.8. The condition 3.4(3)' holds if and only if $a_\nu \in \Gamma(\overline{W}_i^{N,o}, I^\nu_{\overline{W}_i^N})$ for all $\nu \ge 1$.

The question is local on $\overline{X}$ and we may assume that the ideal sheaf $I_D \subset \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}$ is generated by a regular function $\pi \in \Gamma(\overline{X}, \mathcal{O})$. Note that, by Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\overline{V}_i \cap (D \times \overline{\square}_i^n) \subset \overline{V}_i^o,$$

so that we can actually remove $\partial_i^\infty V$ to check the modulus condition. If we still denote by π the image of π in $\Gamma(\overline{V}_i^o, \mathcal{O})$, we see then that the condition 3.4(3)' is equivalent to require that

$$\theta := \frac{1-\overline{y}}{\pi} \in \Gamma(\overline{V}_i^N \times_{\overline{W}_i} \overline{W}_i^o, \mathcal{O}), \tag{3.5}$$

for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $\overline{V}_i^N$ is the normalization of $\overline{V}_i$. Since π does not vanish identically on $\overline{W}_i$, we have $\pi \in K$ and thus the minimal polynomial of θ over K is

$$g(T) = T^m + \sum_{1\le\nu\le m} \frac{a_\nu}{\pi^\nu} T^{m-\nu}.$$

Since $\overline{V}_i^o$ is finite over $\overline{W}_i^o$, (3.5) is equivalent to the condition that θ is finite over $\Gamma(\overline{W}_i^{N,o}, \mathcal{O})$, which is equivalent to

$$\frac{a_\nu}{\pi^\nu} \in \Gamma(\overline{W}_i^{N,o}, \mathcal{O}) \quad \text{for all } \nu,$$

completing the proof of Claim 3.8.

3.2. **Proof of theorem 3.3.** By definition, the groups $CH^r(\overline{X}|D,0)$ and $CH^r(\overline{X}|D)$ have the same set of generators $\underline{z}^r(\overline{X}|D,0) = Z^r(\overline{X})_D$ and to prove the theorem it suffices to construct a surjective homomorphism $\phi\colon \underline{z}^r(\overline{X}|D,1) \to \Phi^r(\overline{X}, D)$ which fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{z}^r(\overline{X}|D,1) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \underline{z}^r(\overline{X}|D,0) \\ \downarrow \phi & & \| \\ \Phi^r(\overline{X},D) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & Z^r(\overline{X})_D \end{array} \tag{3.6}$$

Let $V \in C^r(\overline{X}|D,1)$ be an integral cycle of codimension r, $V \subset X \times \square^1$ satisfying the modulus condition of Definition 2.2. By Remark 2.3, we note that V is actually closed in $\overline{X} \times \square^1$. Let $\overline{V}$ be the closure of V in $\overline{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1$, $\overline{W} \subset \overline{X}$ its image along the projection $\overline{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \overline{X}$ and $\overline{W}^N$ the normalization of $\overline{W}$. We write γ_W for the natural map $\overline{W}^N \to \overline{X}$. Let $\partial^\infty V$ denote $\iota_\infty^{-1}(V)$ (resp. $\partial^0 V$

denote $\iota_0^{-1}(V)$), where ι_∞ and ι_0 are the two closed immersions $\overline{X} \to \overline{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ induced by $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ respectively. The restriction to V of the projection $X \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ induces a rational function $g_V \in k(\overline{V})^\times$, and by [18, Prop.1.4 and §1.6] we have

$$\partial V = \partial^\infty V - \partial^0 V = \gamma_{W*}(\mathrm{div}_{\overline{W}^N}(N_{k(\overline{V})/k(\overline{W})} g_V)), \tag{3.7}$$

where $N_{k(\overline{V})/k(\overline{W})} \colon k(\overline{V})^\times \to k(\overline{W})^\times$ denotes the norm map induced by $\overline{V} \to \overline{W}$, which is generically finite by Lemma 3.6. We claim that

$$N_{k(\overline{V})/k(\overline{W})} g_V \in G(\overline{W}^N, \gamma_W^* D) \tag{3.8}$$

Indeed, let $\overline{W}^o = \overline{W} \backslash \partial^\infty V$ and $\overline{W}^{N,o} = \overline{W}^N \times_{\overline{W}} \overline{W}^o$. By Lemma 3.6 we have that

$$\overline{V} \times_{\overline{W}} \overline{W}^{N,o} \subset \overline{W}^{N,o}[y] = \overline{W}^{N,o} \times (\mathbb{P}^1 - \{\infty\})$$

is the divisor of a function of the form

$$f(y) = (1-y)^m + \sum_{1 \le \nu \le m} a_\nu (1-y)^{m-\nu}$$

with $a_\nu \in \Gamma(\overline{W}^{N,o}, I^\nu_{\overline{W}^N}(D))$ and where $I_{\overline{W}^N}(D) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\overline{W}^N}$ denotes the ideal sheaf of the pullback $\gamma_W^* D$ of D to $\overline{W}^N$. Since $\partial^\infty V \cap D = \emptyset$, (3.8) follows from the equality:

$$N_{k(\overline{V})/k(\overline{W})} g_V = f(0) = 1 + \sum_{1 \le \nu \le m} a_\nu.$$

We define now $\phi \colon \underline{z}^r(\overline{X}|D, 1) \to \Phi^r(\overline{X}, D)$ by the assignment

$$\phi(V) = N_{k(\overline{V})/k(\overline{W})} g_V \in G(\overline{W}^N, \gamma_W^* D) \subset \Phi^r(\overline{X}, D) \quad (V \in C^r(\overline{X}|D, 1)).$$

The commutativity of (3.6) then follows from (3.7).

To complete the proof of Theorem 3.3, it remains to show the surjectivity of ϕ. Take $W \in C^{r-1}(X)$ and $g \in G(\overline{W}^N, \gamma_W^* D)$. Let $\Sigma \subset \overline{W}^N$ be the closure of the union of points $x \in \overline{W}^N$ of codimension one such that $v_x(g) < 0$, where v_T is the valuation associated to x. Since $\overline{W}^N$ is normal, we have $g \in \Gamma(\overline{W}^N - \Sigma, \mathcal{O})$ and the assumption $g \in G(\overline{W}^N, \gamma_W^* D)$ implies

$$g - 1 \in \Gamma(\overline{W}^N - \Sigma, I_{\overline{W}^N}(D)). \tag{3.9}$$

Now we identify g with a morphism $\psi_g : \overline{W}^N - \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1 - \{\infty\}$. Let $\Gamma \subset \overline{W}^N \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be the closure of the graph of ψ_g, $\overline{V} \subset \overline{W} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ its image along $\overline{W}^N \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \overline{W} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $V = \overline{V} \cap (\overline{W} \times \square^1) \subset \overline{X} \times \square^1$. It suffices to show that the cycle V defined in this way belongs to $\underline{z}^r(\overline{X}|D, 1)$, i.e. that it satisfies the modulus condition, and that $\phi(V) = g$. Note that once the first assertion is proven, the second follows from the very construction of V.

We have the following diagram of schemes

(3.10)



where the horizontal arrows denoted ι_∞ are induced by the inclusion $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and where the squares are cartesians. Indeed this is obvious for the left one, and for the right one we notice that the natural map

$\Gamma \to \overline{V} \times_{\overline{W}} \overline{W}^N$ is an isomorphism, since it is both birational and closed (both are closed subschemes of $\overline{W}^N \times \mathbb{P}^1$).

Moreover, we note that

$$\Sigma \subset pr_\Gamma\big((\overline{W}^N \times \infty) \cap \Gamma\big). \tag{3.11}$$

Indeed, let η be a generic point $\eta \in \Sigma$. Then there exists a unique $\xi \in \Gamma$, of codimension 1, such that $\eta = pr_\Gamma(\xi)$ and we have $v_\xi(g) = v_\eta(g) < 0$ (note that $g \in k(\Gamma) = k(\overline{W}^N)$, as Γ is birational to $\overline{W}^N$). Such point ξ is actually in $(\overline{W}^N \times \infty) \cap \Gamma$: the projection $\overline{W}^N \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ induces a well-defined morphism

$$\Gamma \backslash (\overline{W}^N \times \infty) \to \mathbb{P}^1 - \{\infty\}$$

that correspondes to g, so that the point ξ where g is not regular is forced to belong to $(\overline{W}^N \times \infty) \cap \Gamma$. From the diagram (3.10), one sees that (3.11) is equivalent to

$$\Sigma \subset \pi_{\overline{W}}^{-1}(\iota_\infty^{-1}(\overline{V})) = \iota_\infty^{-1}(\Gamma),$$

so that $\overline{W}^{N,o} := \overline{W}^N \times_{\overline{W}} (\overline{W} \backslash \iota_\infty^{-1}(\overline{V})) \subset \overline{W}^N - \Sigma$, and hence

$$\overline{V} \times_{\overline{W}} \overline{W}^{N,o} = \Gamma \times_{\overline{W}^N} \overline{W}^{N,o} \subset \overline{W}^{N,o} \times (\mathbb{P}^1 - \{\infty\})$$

is given by $\Gamma \cap \big((\overline{W}^N - \Sigma) \times (\mathbb{P}^1 - \{\infty\})\big)$. This is, by definition, the graph of ψ_g and hence it is the divisor of $y - g$ where y is the standard coordinate of $\mathbb{P}^1$. By the equivalent condition given by Lemma 3.7, this proves that V satisfies the modulus condition of Definition 2.2 (and in particular it is closed in $X \times \square^1$). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.

4. Relative cycles of codimension 1

Let $\overline{X}$ and D be again as in Section 2, with D an effective Cartier divisor on $\overline{X}$. The purpose of this Section is to investigate the relative motivic cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathcal{M}}(\overline{X}|D, \mathbb{Z}(1))$ in weight 1.

4.0.1. Assume in what follows that $\overline{X} = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ is the spectrum of a normal local domain A. We write I_D or I for the invertible ideal of $D \subset \overline{X}$. Let $A[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ be the polynomial ring in the variables t_i on A and write $f \in A[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ as

$$f = \sum_{\underline{\lambda} \in \Lambda} a_{\underline{\lambda}} (1 - \underline{t})^{\underline{\lambda}} \quad (a_{\underline{\lambda}} \in A),$$

for the multi-index

$$\Lambda = \{\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}, \quad (1 - \underline{t})^{\underline{\lambda}} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq} (1 - t_i)^{\lambda_i}.$$

We say that f is *admissible for* I_D if $a_{(0,\cdots,0)} \in A^\times$ and

$$a_{\underline{\lambda}} \in I_D^{|\lambda|} \quad \text{for } \underline{\lambda} \neq (0, \cdots, 0),$$

where $|\underline{\lambda}| = \max\{\lambda_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ for $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)$. We let $\tilde{P}_n(A|I)$ denote the set of $f \in A[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ which are admissible for I_D. It's easy to check that that $\tilde{P}_n(A|I)$ forms a monoid under multiplication.

4.0.2. Let $y = Y_0/Y_1$ be the rational coordinate function on $\mathbb{P}^1$ of 2.0.1. We fix the affine coordinate $t = 1 - \dfrac{1}{1-y}$ on $\square = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{1\}$, so that $\square = \mathrm{Spec}(k[t])$. Similarly, we choose a coordinate system $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ on $\square^n$ so that $X \times \square^n = \mathrm{Spec} A[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$.

Lemma 4.1. *We keep the notations of 4.0.1 and 4.0.2. Let $V \subset X \times \square^n$ be an integral closed subscheme of codimension 1. Then $V \in \underline{z}^1(\overline{X}|D, n)$ if and only if there exists $f \in \tilde{P}_n(A|I)$ such that $V = \mathrm{div}(f)$ on $X \times \square^n$.*

Proof Assume $V \in \underline{z}^1(\overline{X}|D,n)$. Then V satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.6, and in particular it has proper intersection with $\iota^n_{i,\infty}(X \times \square^{n-1})$ for every $i = 1,\dots,n$. By induction on n, we may assume that there exists $g \in \tilde{P}_{n-1}(A|I)$ such that

$$\partial_i^\infty V = \mathrm{div}(g(t_1,\dots,t_{i-1},t_{i+1},\dots,t_n)) \subset X \times \square^{n-1}.$$

Since $\overline{V}_i$ is of codimension 1 in $\overline{X} \times \overline{\square}^n_i$, the restriction to $\overline{V}_i$ of the projection

$$X \times \overline{\square}^n_i \to X \times \square^{n-1}$$

is surjective (see Lemma 3.6). Then, by Lemma 3.7, the Modulus condition for V is equivalent to the condition that $V = \mathrm{div}(f)$ with $f \in A[t_1,\dots,t_n]$ of the form

$$f = \big(1 + \sum_{1\le\nu\le m} a_\nu(1-t_i)^\nu\big)\cdot g^N,$$

where $N \ge 0$ is some integer and

$$a_\nu \in \Gamma(X \times \square^{n-1} - \partial_i^\infty V, I^\nu\mathcal{O}) = I^\nu \cdot A[t_1,\dots,\overset{\vee}{t_i},\dots,t_n][g^{-1}] \quad \text{for } \nu \ge 1.$$

It is easy to see that this implies $f \in \tilde{P}_n(A|I)$.

Conversely assume $V = \mathrm{div}(f)$ for $f \in \tilde{P}_n(A|I)$. It is easy to see that V and $\partial_i^\infty V$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.7. One can also check that none of the components of $\overline{V} \cap (D \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n)$ is contained in $\bigcap_{1\le i\le n} X \times F^n_i$. Hence, by Lemma 3.4, V satisfies the condition (3) of 2.2. The good position condition with respect to the faces is clear for every cycle of the form $\mathrm{div}(f)$ and we conclude that $V \in \underline{z}^1(\overline{X}|D,n)$.

Corollary 4.2. *We keep the notations of 4.0.1 and 4.0.2. Let $\underline{z}^r(\overline{X}|D,n)_{\mathrm{eff}} \subset \underline{z}^r(\overline{X}|D,n)$ be the submonoid of effective relative cycles and let $P_n(A|I) = (\tilde{P}_n(A|I))/A^\times$. For $n \ge 1$ there is an isomorphism of monoids*

$$V : P_n(A|I) \xrightarrow{\cong} \underline{z}^1(\overline{X}|D,n)_{\mathrm{eff}} \ ;\ f \to V(f) := \mathrm{div}(f),$$

and an isomorphism of groups

$$V : P_n(A|I)^{\mathrm{gr}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \underline{z}^1(\overline{X}|D,n) \ ;\ f/g \to V(f) - V(g),$$

where

$$P_n(A|I)^{\mathrm{gr}} = \{f/g \mid f,g \in P_n(A|I)\}.$$

4.0.3. We follow the notation of [25, §1.1]). The assignment

$$\underline{n} \mapsto P_n(A|I) \quad (\underline{n} = \{0,\infty\}^n,\ n = 0,1,2,3...)$$

defines an extended cubical object of monoids (see [33, 1.5]) in the following way. For the inclusions $\eta_{n,i,\epsilon} : \underline{n-1} \to \underline{n}$ ($\epsilon = 0,\infty,\ i = 1,...,n$), we define boundary maps

$$\eta^*_{n,i,\epsilon} : A[t_1,\dots,t_n] \to A[t_1,\dots,t_{n-1}] \text{ for } \epsilon \in \{0,\infty\} \tag{4.1}$$

by

$$\eta^*_{n,i,0}(f(t_1,\dots,t_n)) = f(t_1,\dots,t_{i-1},0,t_i,\dots,t_{n-1}),$$
$$\eta^*_{n,i,\infty}(f(t_1,\dots,t_n)) = f(t_1,\dots,t_{i-1},1,t_i,\dots,t_{n-1}).$$

For projections $pr_i : \underline{n} \to \underline{n-1}$ ($i = 1,...,n$), we define

$$pr^*_{n,i} : A[t_1,\dots,t_{n-1}] \to A[t_1,\dots,t_n]$$

by

$$pr^*_{n,i}(f(t_1,\dots,t_{n-1})) = f(t_1,\dots,t_{i-1},t_{i+1},\dots,t_n).$$

They all induce corresponding maps on $P_n(A|I)$, denoted with the same letters. Permutation of factors are defined in an obvious way and involutions $\tau^*_{n,i}$ are defined as the maps $P_n(A|I) \to P_n(A|I)$ induced by $t_i \to 1 - t_i$. For the multiplications

$$\mu : \{0,\infty\}^2 \to \{0,\infty\} \ ;\ \mu(\infty,\infty) = \infty; \mu(a,b) = 0 \text{ for } (a,b) \ne (\infty,\infty),$$

we define $\mu^* : P_1(A|I) \to P_2(A|I)$ as the map induced by $1 - t \to (1 - t_1)(1 - t_2)$. The isomorphisms in Corollary 4.2 are compatible with cubical structure.

Theorem 4.3. *Under the above assumptions, we have $CH^1(\overline{X}|D, n) = 0$ for $n \neq 1$ and there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\delta : CH^1(\overline{X}|D, 1) \xrightarrow{\cong} (1 + I)^\times,$$

where $(1 + I)^\times = (1 + I) \cap A^\times$ viewed as a subgroup of $A^\times$.

Proof The vanishing of $CH^1(\overline{X}|D, n)$ for $n = 0$ is a direct consequence of the definition, since X is local. In what follows we show the assertion for $n \geq 1$. By 4.0.3 we are reduced to compute the homotopy groups $H_i(P_\bullet(A|I)^{\rm gr})$ of the cubical objects of abelian group

$$\underline{n} \to P_n(A|I)^{\rm gr}.$$

Recall that these are homology groups of the complex

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial} P_n(A|I)^{\rm gr}/P_n(A|I)^{\rm gr}_{deg} \xrightarrow{\partial} P_{n-1}(A|I)^{\rm gr}/P_{n-1}(A|I)^{\rm gr}_{deg} \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial} P_1(A|I)^{\rm gr}/P_1(A|I)^{\rm gr}_{deg},$$

where

$$P_n(A|I)^{\rm gr}_{deg} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} pr^*_{n,i}(P_{n-1}(A|I)^{\rm gr}), \quad \partial = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^i (\eta^*_{n,i,0} - \eta^*_{n,i,\infty}).$$

Let

$$NP_n(A|I)^{\rm gr} = \underset{2\leq i\leq n}{\cap} \mathrm{Ker}(\eta^*_{n,i,0}) \cap \underset{1\leq i\leq n}{\cap} \mathrm{Ker}(\eta^*_{n,i,\infty})$$

and consider the complex

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\eta^*_{n+1,1,0}} NP_n(A|I)^{\rm gr} \xrightarrow{\eta^*_{n,1,0}} NP_{n-1}(A|I)^{\rm gr} \xrightarrow{\eta^*_{n-1,1,0}} \cdots \to NP_1(A|I)^{\rm gr}.$$

By [33, Lemma 1.6], we have a natural isomorphism

$$H_i(NP_\bullet(A|I)^{\rm gr}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_i(P_\bullet(A|I)^{\rm gr}/P_\bullet(A|I)^{\rm gr}_{deg})$$

and we are reduced to show the existence of isomorphisms

$$H_i(NP_\bullet(A|I)^{\rm gr}) \simeq \begin{cases} 1 + I & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

Consider

$$H : \mathrm{Frac}(A[t_1, \ldots, t_n]) \to \mathrm{Frac}(A[t_1, \ldots, t_{n+1}])$$

defined by

$$H(f(t_1, \ldots, t_n)) = 1 + \big(f(\underline{1})^{-1} f(t_2, \ldots, t_{n+1}) - 1\big)(1 - t_1),$$

where $(\underline{1}) = (1, \ldots, 1)$. One easily checks that this induces the maps (of sets)

$$H : P_n(A|I) \to P_{n+1}(A|I), \quad H : P_n(A|I)^{\rm gr} \to P_{n+1}(A|I)^{\rm gr}$$

and we have, for $\phi \in P_n(A|I)^{\rm gr}$

$$\eta^*_{n+1,i,\epsilon}(H(\phi)) = \begin{cases} H(\eta^*_{n,i-1,\epsilon}(\phi)) & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq n + 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = 1 \text{ and } \epsilon = \infty, \\ \phi \pmod{A^\times} & \text{if } i = 1 \text{ and } \epsilon = 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

Hence H induces a map $NP_n(A|I)^{\rm gr} \to NP_{n+1}(A|I)^{\rm gr}$, and if $n > 1$ we have

$$\eta^*_{n+1,1,0}(H(\phi)) = \phi \quad \text{for } \phi \in \mathrm{Ker}(NP_n(A|I)^{\rm gr} \xrightarrow{\eta^*_{n,1,0}} NP_{n-1}(A|I)^{\rm gr}).$$

This proves (4.2) for $n > 1$. To show (4.2) for $n = 1$, we define a map

$$\delta : P_1(A|I)^{\rm gr} \to (1 + I)^\times \ ; \ f/g \to f(0)g(1)/g(0)f(1) \quad (f, g \in P_1(A|I)).$$

It is easy to see that this is a well-defined group homomorphism and that

$$NP_2(A|I)^{\mathrm{gr}} \xrightarrow{\eta^*_{2,1,0}} NP_1(A|I)^{\mathrm{gr}} \xrightarrow{\delta} 1+I \tag{4.4}$$

is a complex (note that $NP_1(A|I)^{\mathrm{gr}} = P_1(A|I)^{\mathrm{gr}}$). To show that it is exact, we compute the boundary for $f \in P_1(A|I)$

$$\eta^*_{2,i,\epsilon}(H(f)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \epsilon = \infty, \\ 1 + (\dfrac{f(0)}{f(1)} - 1)(1-t_1) & \text{if } i = 2 \text{ and } \epsilon = 0, \\ f \pmod{A^\times} & \text{if } i = 1 \text{ and } \epsilon = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence, for $f, g \in P_1(A|I)$ with $f(0)/f(1) = g(0)/g(1)$, we have

$$H(f)/H(g) \in NP_2(A|I)^{\mathrm{gr}} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta^*_{2,1,0}(H(f)/H(g)) = f/g.$$

This proves the exactness of (4.4) and completes the proof of Theorem 4.3.

5. Fundamental class in relative differentials

In [16], El Zein gave an explicit construction of Grothendieck's "fundamental class" [19] of a cycle on a smooth scheme Y/k in Hodge cohomology, defining a morphims from the Chow ring of Y to $\mathrm{H}^*(Y, \Omega^*_{Y/k})$. It turns out that this approach can be partially followed and extended to construct a relative version of El Zein's fundamental class.

In this section, we consider an integral scheme Y of pure dimension n, smooth and separated over a field k. We write $\Omega^1_{Y/k}$ for the Zariski sheaf of relative Kähler differentials on Y and $\Omega^1_Y = \Omega^1_{Y/\mathbb{Z}}$ for the sheaf of absolute differentials. Note that $\Omega^1_{Y/k}$ is a coherent sheaf on Y, while Ω^1_Y is just quasi-coherent, in general. For $r \geq 0$, we let $C^r(Y)$ be the set of integral closed subschemes of codimension r on Y.

5.1. **Review of El Zein's fundamental class.**

5.1.1. Let $K^\bullet_Y$ be the Cousin complex of $\Omega^n_{Y/k}[n]$, namely

$$K^{j-n}_Y = \bigoplus_{y \in Y^{(j)}} i_{y*} \mathrm{H}^j_y(\Omega^n_{Y/k}) \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq n,$$

where $i_y \colon y \to Y$ is the inclusion and $\mathrm{H}^j_y(\Omega^n_{Y/k}) = \varinjlim_{y \in U} \mathrm{H}^j_{\overline{y} \cap U}(U, \Omega^n_{Y/k|U})$, the limit being over all open subsets of Y containing y. Since Y is smooth over k, $\Omega^n_{Y/k}[n]$ is dualizing on Y and therefore $K^\bullet_Y$ is a residual complex on Y [22, VI.1.1]. Take $W \in C^r(Y)$ and let $\iota : W \to Y$ be the inclusion. Let η be the generic point of W. Let $K^\bullet_W = \iota^! K^\bullet_X$ be the residual complex on W constructed in [22, VI.§3]. By [22, VI.§2] one has

$$K^{r-n}_W = i_{\eta*} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,\eta}}(k(\eta), \mathrm{H}^r_\eta(\Omega^n_{Y/k})) \cong i_{\eta*} \operatorname{Ext}^r_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,\eta}}(k(\eta), (\Omega^n_{Y/k})_\eta),$$

where the isomorphism follows from [20, 6.3] since $\mathrm{H}^r_\eta(\Omega^n_{Y/k})$ is dualizing for $\mathcal{O}_{Y,\eta}$. Let $J \subset \mathcal{O}_{Y,\eta}$ be the maximal ideal and write ω_{W/Y_η} for $(\bigwedge^r J/J^2)^\vee$. The fundamental class of W is defined to be at first [16, III.1.i] a morphism of sheaves

$$\begin{aligned} cl_{W,k} \colon \Omega^{n-r}_{W/k} &\xrightarrow{\text{can}} i_{\eta*}(\Omega^{n-r}_{W/k})_\eta \to (\Omega^n_{Y/k})_\eta \otimes \omega_{W/Y_\eta} \\ &\xrightarrow{\rho} i_{\eta*} \operatorname{Ext}^r_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,\eta}}(k(\eta), (\Omega^n_{Y/k})_\eta) \cong K^{r-n}_W \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where ρ is the fundamental local isomorphism of [22, III.7.2].

This map is then extended [16, III.1.ii] to a morphism of complexes

$$cl_{W,k} \colon \Omega^{n-r}_{W/k}[n-r] \to K^\bullet_W.$$

Pushing forward to Y and composing with the Trace morphism [22, VI.4.2]

$$\iota_*\mathcal{O}_W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \Omega^{n-r}_{Y/k} \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \iota_*\Omega^{n-r}_{W/k} \xrightarrow{\iota_* cl_{W,k}} \iota_* K^\bullet_W[-n+r] \xrightarrow{Tr_\iota} K^\bullet_Y[-n+r]$$

we get then an element in

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{O}_Y)}(\iota_*\mathcal{O}_W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \Omega^{n-r}_{Y/k}, K^\bullet_Y[-n+r]) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{O}_Y)}(\iota_*\mathcal{O}_W, K^\bullet_Y[-n+r] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} (\Omega^{n-r}_{Y/k})^\vee).$$

Since $K^\bullet_Y[-n+r] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} (\Omega^{n-r}_{Y/k})^\vee$ is a resolution by quasi-coherent injective modules of the sheaf $\Omega^r_{Y/k}$ (see [22, IV.3]), we finally get an element in relative Hodge cohomology with support by the natural map

$$\mathrm{Ext}^r_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\iota_*\mathcal{O}_W, \Omega^r_{Y/k}) \to \mathrm{H}^r_W(Y, \Omega^r_{Y/k}) = \varinjlim_n \mathrm{Ext}^r_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{O}_{W_n}, \Omega^r_{Y/k}),$$

where $W_n \subset Y$ are the infinitesimal thickenings of $W \hookrightarrow Y$ (see [20, 2.8]). We still call it the fundamental class of W, denoted $cl^r_\Omega(W)_k$.

Remark 5.1. One can show (see again [16, Thm. 3.1]) that $cl^r_\Omega(W)_k$ lies in the image of $\mathrm{H}^r_W(Y, \Omega^r_{Y/k,cl})$, where $\Omega^r_{Y/k,cl} \subset \Omega^r_{Y/k}$ is the subsheaf of closed forms.

5.1.2. Using the technique of [30, A.6], one can construct a cycle class $cl^r_\Omega(W)$ in the absolute Hodge cohomology group with support $\mathrm{H}^r_W(Y, \Omega^r_Y)$ starting from the relative case of 5.1.1. We quickly recall the argument. Let $k_0 \subset k$ be the prime subfield of k and let $\mathcal{I}$ be the set of smooth k_0-subalgebras of k, partially ordered by inclusion: it is a filtered set. For Y and W as in 5.1.1, we fix a k_0-algebra A and a smooth separated A-scheme Y_A together with a closed integral subscheme W_A, flat over A, such that

$$Y_A \otimes_A k = Y \text{ and } W_A \otimes_A k = W.$$

For every $B \in \mathcal{I}$ containing A, we write Y_B (resp. W_B) for the base change $Y_A \otimes_A B$ (resp. $W_A \otimes_A B$). A Čech cohomology computation shows then that

$$\mathrm{H}^r_W(Y, \Omega^r_Y) = \mathrm{H}^r_W(Y, \Omega^r_{Y/k_0}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \varinjlim_{B\in\mathcal{I}} \mathrm{H}^r_{W_B}(Y_B, \Omega^r_{Y_B/k_0}).$$

Note that, by construction, $\mathrm{codim}_Y W = \mathrm{codim}_{Y_B} W_B$ for every $B \in \mathcal{I}$ containing A.

5.1.3. The morphism (5.1) can be made explicit. Suppose we have $f_1, \dots, f_r$ a regular system of parameters of $\mathcal{O}_{Y,\eta}$, $\omega \in \Gamma(W, \Omega^{n-r}_{W/k})$ a differential form on W, $\tilde{\omega}$ a lifting in $\Omega^{n-r}_{Y/k,\eta}$ of the image of ω in $\Omega^{n-r}_{W/k,\eta}$. Then the element $cl_{W,k}(\omega)$ is represented by the element (see [16, I.1])

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\omega} \wedge df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_r \\ f_1 \dots f_r \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{Ext}^r_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,\eta}}(\iota'_*\mathcal{O}_{W,\eta}, (\Omega^n_{Y/k})_\eta).$$

By the Trace formula (see [9, A.2], in particular Lemma A.2.1, and [16, p.37]), we may then represent the fundamental class $cl^r_\Omega(W)_k$ in $\mathrm{H}^r_W(Y, \Omega^r_{Y/k})$ by the symbol

$$\begin{bmatrix} df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_r \\ f_1 \dots f_r \end{bmatrix}$$

that can be computed locally as follows. Let $V \subset Y$ be an affine open neighborhood of the generic point η of W such that $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,\eta}$ extends to a regular sequence $f_1, \dots f_r \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O})$ which defines $W \cap V$ in V. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the covering of $V \setminus W$ given by the open subsets $\{U_i = D(f_i)\}_{i=1,\dots,r}$ and write $\check{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \Omega^r_{V/k})$ for the Čech complex of $\Omega^r_{V/k}$ with respect to the covering $\mathcal{U}$. Then the cohomology class of the Čech cocycle

$$d\log f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\log f_r = \frac{df_1}{f_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{df_r}{f_r} \in \mathrm{H}^0(U_1 \cap \cdots U_r, \Omega^r_{V/k}) \tag{5.2}$$

gives an element in $\mathrm{H}^{r-1}(V \setminus W, \Omega^r_{V/k})$ that maps to the class $cl^r_\Omega(W)_{k,|V}$ in $\mathrm{H}^r_{W\cap V}(V, \Omega^r_{V/k})$ via the boundary morphism.

In view of 5.1.2, the description (5.2) holds also for the absolute fundamental class $cl^r_\Omega(W)_{|V} \in \mathrm{H}^r_{W\cap V}(V,\Omega^r_V)$.

In what follows we give a refinement of the fundamental class in a relative setting with modulus (see Theorem 5.9).

Lemma 5.2. *For a closed subscheme $T \subset Y$ of pure codimension a,*

$$\mathrm{H}^q_T(Y,\Omega^j_Y) = 0 \quad \text{for } q < a \text{ and } j > 0. \tag{5.3}$$

Proof First notice that by 5.1.2 we can reduce to the relative case and replace Ω^j_Y by $\Omega^j_{Y/k}$. Let η be a generic point of T. Since $\mathcal{O}_{Y,\eta}$ is Gorenstein and Ω^j_{Y/k_η} is finite, we can apply local duality [20, Th.6.3] and get

$$\mathrm{H}^q_\eta(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,\eta}),\Omega^j_{Y/k_\eta}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Ext}^{a-q}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,\eta}}(\Omega^j_{Y/k_\eta},\mathcal{O}_{Y,\eta}),I)$$

where I is a dualizing module for $\mathcal{O}_{Y,\eta}$. As Ω^j_{Y/k_η} is free, we deduce that

$$\mathrm{H}^q_\eta(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,\eta}),\Omega^j_{Y/k_\eta}) = 0 \quad \text{for } q \neq a.$$

Note that if $a = \dim Y$, i.e. T is a closed point of Y, cohomology with support in T agrees with local cohomology and we get the lemma by the above argument. The general case is then obtained by (descending) induction on the codimension of T by means of the long exact localization sequence

$$\dots \varinjlim_{W\subset T} \mathrm{H}^q_W(T,\Omega^j_{Y/k}) \to \mathrm{H}^q_T(Y,\Omega^j_{Y/k}) \to \mathrm{H}^q_\eta(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,\eta}),\Omega^j_{Y/k_\eta}) \to \dots$$

the limit being over all closed proper subschemes of T.

Remark 5.3. By the localization exact sequence, (5.3) implies that for $W \in C^r(Y)$ and an open subset $V \subset Y$ contaning the generic point of W, we have

$$\mathrm{H}^r_W(Y,\Omega^r_Y) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^r_{W\cap V}(V,\Omega^r_V). \tag{5.4}$$

In particular, the affine description (5.2) characterizes $cl^r_\Omega(W)$ (as well as $cl^r_\Omega(W)_k$).

5.2. Relative version of El Zein's fundamental class.

5.2.1. Let Y be again a smooth variety over k. We fix now a (reduced) simple normal crossing divisor F and an effective Cartier divisor D on Y. In what follows, we will assume that F and D satisfy the following condition:

(★) There is no common component of D and F, and $D_{red} + F$ is a (reduced) simple normal crossing divisor on Y

Write

$$X = Y - (F + D) \hookrightarrow Y - F \hookrightarrow Y$$

for the open complement of $F + D$ in Y and ι_X for the open immersion $X \hookrightarrow Y$.

Remark 5.4. In section 7.3, we will work in a situation where $(X, Y - F, Y) = (X_n, \overline{X}_n, Y_n)$ with

$$X_n = X \times \square^n \hookrightarrow \overline{X}_n = \overline{X} \times \square^n \hookrightarrow Y_n = \overline{X} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \supset D_n = D \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$$

where $X \subset \overline{X} \supset D$ are as in §2 and $\overline{X}$ is smooth over k and the reduced part of D is a simple normal crossing divisor.

Definition 5.5. [see Definition 2.2] Let X, Y, F, D be as above and let W be an integral closed subscheme of codimension r on X. Let $\overline{W}$ be the closure of W in Y, $\overline{W}^N$ its normalization and $\phi_{\overline{W}} : \overline{W}^N \to Y$ the natural map. We say that W *satisfies the modulus condition (with respect to the divisor D and the face F)* if the following inequality as Cartier divisors on $\overline{W}^N$ holds

$$\phi^*_{\overline{W}}(D) \leq \phi^*_{\overline{W}}(F). \tag{5.5}$$

We denote by $C^r(Y,F,D)$ the set of integral closed subschemes W of codimension r on X that do satisfy the modulus condition.

Note that the condition implies that $\overline{W}\cap(Y-F)\cap D=\emptyset$ and that W is closed in $Y-F$.

Definition 5.6. Let $\Omega^1_Y(\log F+D)$ be the sheaf of logarithimic differentials for the standard log structure on Y defined by the divisor $D_{red}+F$ (see [24, 1.5-1.7]): it is the subsheaf of $(\iota_X)_*\Omega^1_X$ of differential forms with logarithmic poles along $D_{red}+F$. We write $\Omega^r_Y(\log F+D)$ for its r-th external product and set

$$\Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F)=\Omega^r_Y(\log F+D)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y}\mathcal{O}_Y(-D).$$

By the same argument used to prove Lemma 5.2, we have the following

Lemma 5.7. *For a closed subscheme $T\subset Y$,*

$$\mathrm{H}^q_T(Y,\Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F))=0\quad \textit{for } q<\mathrm{codim}_Y(T). \tag{5.6}$$

Remark 5.8. Let $W\in C^r(X)$ and $\overline{W}\subset Y$ be its closure. The long exact localization sequence, together with (5.6), implies the injection

$$\mathrm{H}^r_{\overline{W}}(Y,\Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F))\hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^r_W(X,\Omega^r_X). \tag{5.7}$$

We now come to the main result of this section:

Theorem 5.9. *For $W\in C^r(Y,F,D)$ there is an element*

$$cl^r_\Omega(W)\in \mathrm{H}^r_{\overline{W}}(Y,\Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F))$$

which maps to the fundamental class $cl^r_\Omega(W)\in \mathrm{H}^r_W(X,\Omega^r_X)$ under the map (5.7).

The proof is divided into several steps. We start with the following reduction

Claim 5.10. Let $F_1,\dots,F_n$ be the irreducible components of F and let Z be the reduced part of $\overline{W}\times_Y F$. We may assume the following conditions:

- (♣1) Z is irreducible of pure codimension $r+1$ in Y,
- (♣2) $Y=\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ is affine equipped with $\pi\in A$ and $s_i\in A$ with $1\le i\le n$ such that $D=\mathrm{Spec}(A/(\pi))$ and $F_i=\mathrm{Spec}(A/(s_i))$.

Proof Lemma 5.7 together with the localization sequence implies that we have

$$\mathrm{H}^r_{\overline{W}}(Y,\Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F))\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathrm{H}^r_{\overline{W}-T}(Y-T,\Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F)_{|Y-T}) \tag{5.8}$$

for every closed subscheme $T\subset\overline{W}$ of codimension strictly larger then $r+1$ in Y. Therefore we can disregard the irreducible components Z_i of Z of with $\mathrm{codim}_Y(Z_i)>r+1$ and, by shrinking Y around the generic points of Z of codimension $r+1$ in Y, we can assume the conditions of Claim 5.10 except for the irreducibility of Z.

This last reduction can be shown as follows: take a finite open covering $Y=\bigcup_{i\in I}U_i$ such that each U_i contains at most one irreducible component of Z. Fixing a total order on I, let $I^{(a)}$ for $a\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}$ be the set of tuples $\alpha=(i_0,\dots,i_a)$ in I with $i_0<\dots<i_a$. For $(i_0,\dots,i_a)\in I^{(a)}$, put $U_\alpha=U_{i_0}\cap\dots\cap U_{i_a}$. We have the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence associated to the the covering $\bigcup_{i\in I}U_i$

$$E_1^{a,b}=\bigoplus_{\alpha\in I^{(a)}}\mathrm{H}^b_{\overline{W}\cap U_\alpha}(U_\alpha,\Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F)_{|U_\alpha})\;\Rightarrow\;\mathrm{H}^{a+b}_{\overline{W}}(Y,\Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F)). \tag{5.9}$$

Putting $V_i=U_i\cap X$ we have the induced covering $X=\bigcup_{i\in I}V_i$ and the analogue of (5.9)

$$E_1^{a,b}=\bigoplus_{\alpha\in I^{(a)}}\mathrm{H}^b_{W\cap V_\alpha}(V_\alpha,\Omega^r_{X|V_\alpha})\;\Rightarrow\;\mathrm{H}^{a+b}_W(X,\Omega^r_X). \tag{5.10}$$

By (5.6), (5.9) gives rise to an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^r_{\overline{W}}(Y, \Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F)) \to \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathrm{H}^r_{\overline{W}\cap U_i}(U_i, \Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F)) \to \bigoplus_{(i,j)\in I^{(1)}} \mathrm{H}^r_{\overline{W}\cap U_i \cap U_j}(U_i\cap U_j, \Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F))$$

and (5.10) gives the similar exact sequence for $\mathrm{H}^r_W(X, \Omega^r_X)$. We can therefore replace Y by U_i and assume that Z is irreducible.

5.3. The case $\overline{W}$ is a normal variety.

We first prove the following

Lemma 5.11. *Let $I_{\overline{W}} \subset A$ be the ideal of definition for $\overline{W} \subset Y = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$. There exist $f \in I_{\overline{W}}$ and $a \in A$ such that $f = s_i + \pi a$ for some $1 \le i \le n$.*

Proof Indeed, the modulus condition (5.5) implies that

$$\overline{W} \times_X D \subset \overline{W} \times_X F \subset F.$$

Since $\overline{W} \times_X F$ is assumed to be irreducible, $\overline{W} \times_X D \subset F_i$ for some $1 \le i \le n$ so that $s_i \in I_{\overline{W}} + (\pi)$. This proves the lemma.

Since Z is contained in F_i by the proof of Lemma 5.11, $f = s_i + \pi a$ is a regular parameter of the local rings of Y at points of Z. By the normality of $\overline{W}$, $\overline{W}$ is regular at the generic point of Z and we may assume by (5.8) that, after shrinking Y around the generic point of Z, we can complete f to a regular sequence $f_1 = f, f_2, \dots, f_r$ in A such that $I_{\overline{W}} = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)$. Put $U_j = \mathrm{Spec}(A[1/f_i])$ for $1 \le j \le r$. By the local description (5.2), we have that $cl^r_\Omega(W) \in \mathrm{H}^r_W(X, \Omega^r_X)$ is given by the cohomology class of the Čech cocyle

$$\begin{aligned} \omega' = d\log f \wedge d\log f_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\log f_r \ &\in \mathrm{H}^0(X \cap U_1 \cap \cdots \cap U_r, \Omega^r_X) \\ &= \mathrm{H}^0(X \setminus W, \Omega^r_{X|_{X\setminus W}}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the cohomology class of $d\log s \wedge d\log f_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\log f_r$ vanishes, we see that $cl^r_\Omega(W) \in \mathrm{H}^r_W(X, \Omega^r_X)$ can be also represented by the cocycle

$$\omega = d\log \frac{f}{s} \wedge d\log f_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\log f_r \ \in \mathrm{H}^0(X \cap U_1 \cap \cdots \cap U_r, \Omega^r_X). \tag{5.11}$$

It suffices then to show that ω is a restriction of an element of $\mathrm{H}^0(U_1 \cap \cdots \cap U_r, \Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F))$. Indeed Lemma 5.11 implies

$$d\log \frac{f}{s_i} = d\log\,(1 + \frac{a}{s_i}\pi) = \frac{\pi}{f}\big(-a d\log s_i + da + a d\log \pi\big)$$

which proves the desired assertion.

5.4. The case of an arbitrary $\overline{W}$.

Let $\phi_{\overline{W}} \colon \overline{W}^N \to \overline{W}$ be the normalization morphism. Since it is finite, there exist an integer M and a closed immersion

$$i_{\overline{W}^N} : \overline{W}^N \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^M_Y = Y \times \mathbb{P}^M$$

which fits into the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{W}^N & \xrightarrow{i_{\overline{W}^N}} & \mathbb{P}^M_Y \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_{\overline{W}}} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle p_Y} \\ \overline{W} & \xrightarrow{i_{\overline{W}}} & Y \end{array}$$

where p_Y is the projection (this is an idea due to Bloch, taken from [15, Appendix]). Noting $\phi_{\overline{W}} = i_{\overline{W}} \circ p_{\overline{W}} = p_Y \circ i_{\overline{W}^N}$, the modulus condition (5.5) implies

$$\overline{W}^N \cap \mathbb{P}^M_X \in C^{r+M}(\mathbb{P}^M_Y, \mathbb{P}^M_F, \mathbb{P}^M_D) \quad \text{(cf. Definition 5.5)}.$$

By the normal case 5.3, the fundamental class

$$cl^{r+M}_\Omega(\overline{W}^N \cap \mathbb{P}^M_X) \in \mathrm{H}^{r+M}_{\overline{W}^N \cap \mathbb{P}^M_X}(\mathbb{P}^M_X, \Omega^{r+M}_{\mathbb{P}^M_X})$$

arises from an element of $\mathrm{H}^{r+M}_{\overline{W}^N}(\mathbb{P}^M_Y, \Omega^{r+M}_{\mathbb{P}^M_Y|\mathbb{P}^M_D}(\log \mathbb{P}^M_F))$. Now Theorem 5.9 follows from the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^{r+M}_{\overline{W}^N}(\mathbb{P}^M_Y, \Omega^{r+M}_{\mathbb{P}^M_Y|\mathbb{P}^M_D}(\log \mathbb{P}^M_F)) & \xhookrightarrow{(5.7)} & \mathrm{H}^{r+M}_{\overline{W}^N \cap \mathbb{P}^M_X}(\mathbb{P}^M_X, \Omega^{r+M}_{\mathbb{P}^M_X}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (p_Y)_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (p_X)_*} \\ \mathrm{H}^r_{\overline{W}}(Y, \Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F)) & \xhookrightarrow{(5.7)} & \mathrm{H}^r_W(X, \Omega^r_X) \end{array} \tag{5.12}$$

and from the fact that $(p_X)_*(cl^{r+M}_\Omega(\overline{W}^N \cap \mathbb{P}^M_X)) = cl^r_\Omega(W) \in H^r_W(X, \Omega^r_X)$. Here the vertical maps are induced by the trace maps

$$\mathbb{R}(p_X)_*\Omega^{r+M}_{\mathbb{P}^M_X} \to \Omega^r_X \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{R}(p_Y)_*\Omega^{r+M}_{\mathbb{P}^M_Y|\mathbb{P}^M_D}(\log \mathbb{P}^M_F) \to \Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F). \tag{5.13}$$

which come from Lemma 5.14 below where one takes $(Y', F', D') = (\mathbb{P}^M_Y, \mathbb{P}^M_F, \mathbb{P}^M_D)$. Note that the commutativity of (5.12) follows from the functoriality of the trace maps (5.13), so that the only thing which is possibly left to be checked is the identity

$$(p_X)_*(cl^{r+M}_\Omega(\overline{W}^N \cap \mathbb{P}^M_X)) = cl^r_\Omega(W) \in \mathrm{H}^r_W(X, \Omega^r_X)$$

which follows from the compatibility with proper push forward of El Zein's fundamental class. See [16, III.3.2] and Section 5.5 below.

5.5. **Compatibility with proper push forward.** Let (Y, F, D) and (Y', F', D') be two triples satisfying the condition (★) of 5.2.1 and let $f\colon Y' \to Y$ be a proper morphism. We say that f is *admissible* if the following condition holds:

(♣) The pullback of the Cartier divisors F and D along f are defined and satisfy $f^*(F) = F'$ and $D' \geq f^*(D)$ and $|f^{-1}(D)| = |D|$.

Note that the condition implies that $D' - D'_{red} \geq f^*(D - D_{red})$ and $X' = f^{-1}(X)$ so that the restriction $f_{|X'}$ of f to X' is proper.

Lemma 5.12. *Let $f\colon (Y', F', D') \to (Y, F, D)$ be an admissible proper morphism between the triples (Y', F', D') and (Y, F, D). Let $X = Y - (F + D)$ and $X' = Y' - (F' + D')$. Then the proper pushforward of cycles by $f_{|X'}$ induces a homomorphism*

$$f_*\colon C^{r+\dim Y' - \dim Y}(Y', F', D') \to C^r(Y, F, D) \quad r \geq 0.$$

Proof Let W be a closed integral subscheme of X' satisfying the modulus condition (5.5) with respect to D' and F'. Let $f(W)$ denote the image of W via $f_{|X'}$, endowed with the structure of closed integral subscheme. We need check $f(W)$ satisfies (5.5) with respect to D and F. We may suppose that $\dim W = \dim f(W)$. Let $\overline{W}$ (resp. $\overline{f(W)}$) denote the closure of W in Y' (resp. $f(W)$ in Y) and let $\phi_{\overline{W}}\colon \overline{W}^N \to Y'$ (resp. $\phi_{\overline{f(W)}}\colon \overline{f(W)}^N \to Y$) be the normalization morphism. Note that, by construction, $f(\overline{W}) = \overline{f(W)}$ intersects properly both D and F. Then there exists a finite and surjective map $h : \overline{W}^N \to \overline{f(W)}^N$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{W}^N & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\overline{W}}} & Y' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle h} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ \overline{f(W)}^N & \xrightarrow[\phi_{\overline{f(W)}}]{} & Y \end{array}$$

commutative. The conditon (♣) implies

$$h^*(\phi^*_{\overline{f(W)}}(D)) = \phi^*_{\overline{W}}(f^*(D)) \le \phi^*_{\overline{W}}(D') \le \phi^*_{\overline{W}}(F') = \phi^*_{\overline{W}}(f^*(F)) = h^*(\phi^*_{\overline{f(W)}}(F)).$$

By [26, Lemma 2.2] (see also Lemma 2.1), this implies $\phi^*_{\overline{f(W)}}(D) \le \phi^*_{\overline{f(W)}}(F)$, completing the proof.

Remark 5.13. Noting that the pushforward defined at the level of cycles commutes with the boundary maps as in the case of Bloch's higher Chow groups, Lemma 5.12 proves the covariant functoriality of Lemma 2.7, giving a map of complexes

$$f_* : \mathbb{Z}(s)_{\overline{X}'|D'} \to \mathbb{Z}(r)_{\overline{X}|D} \quad \text{with } s = r + \dim X' - \dim X.$$

5.5.1. Let $g : X' \to X$ be a proper morphism between smooth schemes over k. Put $\delta = \dim X - \dim X'$. Using [22, VI, 4.2; VII, 2.1] or [9, 3.4], for integers $r, s \ge 0$ with $s - r = \delta$, we can construct a trace map in the bounded derived category $D^b(X)$ of complexes of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules

$$Tr_g : Rg_*\Omega^s_{X'}[\delta] \to \Omega^r_X. \tag{5.14}$$

One way to define this is the following. Arguing as in 5.1.2, we may assume that the base field k is finitely generated over its prime subfield k_0. Write $d = t + \dim X$ and $d' = t + \dim X'$ with $t = \mathrm{trdeg}_{k_0}(k)$. We have an isomorphism

$$\Omega^r_X \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{H}om_{D(X)}(\Omega^{d-r}_X, \Omega^d_X), \tag{5.15}$$

given by $\alpha \mapsto (\beta \mapsto \alpha \wedge \beta)$ (note that we are choosing a sign, as we could have set $\alpha \mapsto (\beta \mapsto \beta \wedge \alpha)$). Noting $d' - s = d - r$ we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} Rg_*\Omega^s_{X'}[\delta] \simeq Rg_*R\mathcal{H}om_{D(X')}(\Omega^{d-r}_{X'}, \Omega^{d'}_{X'}[\delta]) &\simeq Rg_*R\mathcal{H}om_{D(X')}(\Omega^{d-r}_{X'}, g^!\Omega^d_X) \\ &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{D(X)}(Rg_*\Omega^{d-r}_{X'}, \Omega^d_X), \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

where the last isomorphism is Grothendieck-Verdier duality and the second isomorphism comes from the isomorphism

$$g^!\Omega^d_X \cong g^!\pi^!_X k_0[-d] \cong \pi^!_{X'} k_0[-d] \cong \Omega^{d'}_{X'}[d' - d],$$

where $\pi_X : X \to \mathrm{Spec} k_0$ and $\pi_{X'} : X' \to \mathrm{Spec} k_0$ are the structural morphisms. By adjunction, the natural map $g^*\Omega^{d-r}_X \to \Omega^{d-r}_{X'}$ induces $\Omega^{d-r}_X \to Rg_*\Omega^{d-r}_{X'}$, which induces the desired map (5.14) via (5.15) and (5.16).

Lemma 5.14. *Let $f : (Y', F', D') \to (Y, F, D)$ be a morphism satisfying the condition (♣). Let $g = X' \to X$ be the induced morphism and $\tau : X \to Y$ and $\tau' : X' \to Y'$ be the open immersions. Then, for integers $r, s \ge 0$ with $s - r = \delta := \dim Y - \dim Y'$, there exists a natural map in $D^b(Y)$:*

$$Tr_f : Rf_*\Omega^s_{Y'|D'}(\log F')[\delta] \to \Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F) \tag{5.17}$$

which fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Rf_*\Omega^s_{Y'|D'}(\log F')[\delta] & \longrightarrow & Rf_*R\tau'_*\Omega^s_{X'}[\delta] & \xrightarrow{\cong} & R\tau_*Rg_*\Omega^s_{X'}[\delta] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle Tr_f} & & & \swarrow{\scriptstyle R\tau_*Tr_g} & \\ \Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F) & \longrightarrow & R\tau_*\Omega^r_X & & \end{array}$$

Proof Let $\Sigma = D_{red} + F$ and $\Sigma' = D'_{red} + F'$. We are ought to construct a natural map

$$Tr_f : Rf_*\Omega^s_{Y'}(\log \Sigma')(-D')[\delta] \to \Omega^r_Y(\log \Sigma)(-D)$$

Let $D'' = f^*(D - D_{red}) + D'_{red}$. By (♣), we have $D'' \leq D'$ and therefore it is enough to show the existence of a natural map

$$Tr_f : Rf_*\Omega^s_{Y'}(\log \Sigma')(-D'')[\delta] \to \Omega^r_Y(\log \Sigma)(-D). \quad \text{in } D^b(Y). \tag{5.18}$$

As before, we may assume that k is finitely generated over its prime subfield k_0 with $t = \mathrm{trdeg}_{k_0}(k)$ and put $d = t + \dim Y$ and $d' = t + \dim X'$. We have isomorphisms (cf. (5.15) and (5.16))

$$\Omega^r_Y(\log \Sigma)(-D) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{H}om_{D(Y)}(\Omega^{d-r}_Y(\log \Sigma)(D)), \Omega^d_Y(\Sigma)), \tag{5.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & Rf_*\Omega^s_{Y'}(\log \Sigma')(-D'')[\delta] \\ &\simeq Rf_*R\mathcal{H}om_{D(Y')}(\Omega^{d-r}_{Y'}(\log \Sigma'), \Omega^{d'}_{Y'}(\Sigma' - D'')[\delta]) \\ &\simeq Rf_*R\mathcal{H}om_{D(Y')}(\Omega^{d-r}_{Y'}(\log \Sigma')(f^*D), \Omega^{d'}_{Y'}(\Sigma' + f^*D_{red} - D'_{red})[\delta]) \\ &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{D(Y)}(Rf_*(\Omega^{d-r}_{Y'}(\log \Sigma')(f^*D)), \Omega^d_Y(\Sigma)) \end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

where the last isomorphism follows from the Verdier duality and the isomorphism

$$f^!(\Omega^d_Y(\Sigma)) \cong f^!\Omega^d_Y(f^*\Sigma) \cong \Omega^{d'}_{Y'}(\Sigma' + f^*D_{red} - D'_{red})[\delta]$$

using the assumption $F' = f^*F$. By adjunction, the natural map

$$f^*\Omega^{d-r}_Y(\log \Sigma)(D) \to \Omega^{d-r}_{Y'}(\log \Sigma')(f^*D)$$

induces

$$\Omega^{d-r}_Y(\log \Sigma)(D) \to Rf_*(\Omega^{d-r}_{Y'}(\log \Sigma')(f^*D)),$$

which induces the desired map (5.18) via (5.19) and (5.20).

Now take $W \in C^s(Y', F', D')$. Under the assumption of Lemma 5.14, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^s_{\overline{W}}(Y', \Omega^s_{Y'|D'}(\log f^*F)) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \mathrm{H}^r_{\overline{f(W)}}(Y, \Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F)) \\ \big\downarrow {\scriptstyle (5.7)} & & \big\downarrow {\scriptstyle (5.7)} \\ \mathrm{H}^s_W(X', \Omega^s_{X'}) & \xrightarrow{g_*} & \mathrm{H}^r_{f(W)}(X, \Omega^r_X) \end{array} \tag{5.21}$$

where f_* (resp. g_*) are induced by Tr_f (resp. Tr_g).

Lemma 5.15. *Let*

$$cl^s_\Omega(W/Y') \in \mathrm{H}^s_{\overline{W}}(Y', \Omega^s_{Y'|D'}(\log f^*F)), \quad cl^r_\Omega(f_*(W)/Y) \in \mathrm{H}^r_{\overline{f(W)}}(Y, \Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F))$$

denote the fundamental classes of $\overline{W}$ and $f_*([W])$ from Theorem 5.9 (the latter makes sense by Lemma 5.12). Then we have

$$cl^r_\Omega(f_*(W)/Y) = f_*cl^s_\Omega(W/Y') \in \mathrm{H}^r_{\overline{f(W)}}(Y, \Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F)). \tag{5.22}$$

Proof Since the relative fundamental classes restrict to El Zein's fundamental classes under the maps (5.7) in (5.21), the lemma follows from the compatibility of El Zein's fundamental class for proper morphisms. See [21, Prop. 4.2.3] for an explicit proof in the case of the crystalline fundamental class. The proof in *loc. cit.* can be easily adapted to our situation.

6. Lemmas on cohomology of relative differentials

In this section we prove two lemmas which will be used in the construction of regulator maps to the relative de Rham cohomology and relative Deligne cohomology in §7 and §8.

6.1. **Independence of relative de Rham complex from the multiplicity of D.** Let (Y, F, D) be as in 5.2.1, $X = Y - (F + D)$ and write D_{red} for the reduced part of D. Let $D_1, \dots, D_n$ be the irreducible components of D and e_i be the multiplicity of D_i in D. We have the relative de Rham complex

$$\Omega^{\bullet}_{Y|D}(\log F) \colon \mathcal{O}_Y(-D) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1_{Y|D}(\log F) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^2_{Y|D}(\log F) \to \cdots \to \Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F) \to \cdots$$

The following lemma shows that, in some cases (notably in characteristic 0), $\Omega^{\bullet}_{Y|D}(\log F)$ does not depend on e_i for $i \in I := \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Lemma 6.1. *In the above setting, assume further that $e_i < p$ for all $i \in I$ if $p = \mathrm{ch}(k) > 0$. Then the natural map*

$$\Omega^{\bullet}_{Y|D}(\log F) = \Omega^{\bullet}_Y(\log F + D)(-D) \to \Omega^{\bullet}_Y(\log F + D)(-D_{red})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism (see Definition 5.6).

Proof We endow $\mathbb{N}^I$ with a semi-order as follows: for $\mathfrak{m} = (m_i)_{i \in I}$ and $\mathfrak{n} = (n_i)_{i \in I}$ in $\mathbb{N}^I$, we say that $\mathfrak{m} \le \mathfrak{n}$ if $m_i \le n_i$ for every $i \in I$. For every multi-index $\mathfrak{m} = (m_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{N}^I$, we set

$$D_{\mathfrak{m}} = \sum_{i \in I} m_i D_i \quad \text{and} \quad I_{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_{\mathfrak{m}}). \tag{6.1}$$

Let $\mathfrak{m}_{max} = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$. The assignment (6.1) gives rise to a filtration on the de Rham complex indexed by $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{N}^I$ with $\mathfrak{m}_{max} \ge \mathfrak{m} \ge (1, \dots, 1)$:

$$\Omega^{\bullet}_Y(\log F + D)(-D_{red}) \supset \Omega^{\bullet}_Y(\log F + D)(-D_{\mathfrak{m}}).$$

Fix now $\nu \in I$, an integer $q \ge 0$ and $\mathfrak{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^I$. We define a sheaf $\omega^q_{\mathfrak{m},\nu}$ on Y_{Zar} as

$$\omega^q_{\mathfrak{m},\nu} = \Omega^{\bullet}_Y(\log F + D)(-D_{\mathfrak{m}}) / \Omega^{\bullet}_Y(\log F + D)(-D_{\mathfrak{m}+\delta_\nu}) (= I_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \Omega^q_Y(\log F + D)_{|D_\nu}),$$

where δ_ν denotes the multi-index (δ^ν_i) with $\delta^\nu_\nu = 1$ and $\delta^\nu_i = 0$ for $i \neq \nu$. The exterior derivative on $\Omega^{\bullet}_Y(\log F + D)$ induces a map

$$d^q_{\mathfrak{m},\nu} : \omega^q_{\mathfrak{m},\nu} \to \omega^{q+1}_{\mathfrak{m},\nu}$$

locally defined by

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{m_i} \otimes \omega \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{m_i} \otimes \left(d\omega + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \cdot d\mathrm{log}(\pi_i) \wedge \omega \right),$$

where $\pi_i \in \mathcal{O}_Y$ denotes a local uniformizer of D_i, for each $i \in I$. Thus we get a complex:

$$\omega^{\bullet}_{\mathfrak{m},\nu} : I_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D_\nu} \xrightarrow{d^0_{\mathfrak{m},\nu}} \omega^1_{\mathfrak{m},\nu} \xrightarrow{d^1_{\mathfrak{m},\nu}} \omega^2_{\mathfrak{m},\nu} \xrightarrow{d^2_{\mathfrak{m},\nu}} \cdots .$$

Lemma 6.1 follows then from a repeated application of the following result:

Lemma 6.2. *Assume $(m_\nu, p) = 1$ if $p = \mathrm{ch}(k) > 0$. Then the complex $\omega^{\bullet}_{\mathfrak{m},\nu}$ is acyclic.*

Proof This is shown in [29, Theorem 3.2]. For the reader's convenience, we include a sketch of the proof here. Let $\nu \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and write

$$\omega^q_{D_\nu} = \Omega^q_{D_\nu}(\log(F + \sum_{i \in I - \{\nu\}} D_i)_{|D_\nu})$$

for the sheaf of q-differential forms on D_ν with logarithmic poles along the restriction of the divisor $F + \sum_{i \neq \nu} D_i$ to D_ν. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \Omega^q_Y(\log(F + \sum_{i \in I - \{\nu\}} D_i)) \to \Omega^q_Y(\log(F + \sum_{i \in I} D_i)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}^q_\nu} \omega^{q-1}_{D_\nu} \to 0,$$

where Res^q_ν is the residue homomorphism along D_ν (see e.g. [14, 2.3]). This induces an exact sequence

$$0 \to I_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes \omega^q_{D_\nu} \to \omega^q_{\mathfrak{m},\nu} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}^q_{\mathfrak{m},\nu}} I_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes \omega^{q-1}_{D_\nu} \to 0, \tag{6.2}$$

where $\mathrm{Res}^q_{\mathfrak{m},\nu} = id_{I_\mathfrak{m}} \otimes \mathrm{Res}^q_\nu$. Now a direct computation shows

$$d^{q-1}_{\mathfrak{m},\nu} \circ \mathrm{Res}^q_{\mathfrak{m},\nu} + \mathrm{Res}^{q+1}_{\mathfrak{m},\nu} \circ d^q_{\mathfrak{m},\nu} = m_\nu \cdot id_{\omega^q_{\mathfrak{m},\nu}},$$

where $I_\mathfrak{m} \otimes \omega^q_{D_\nu}$ is viewed as a subsheaf of $\omega^q_{\mathfrak{m},\nu}$ via (6.2). This gives, under the assumption $(m_\nu, p) = 1$ (if $p = \mathrm{ch}(k) > 0$), the contracting homotopy of the complex $\omega^\bullet_{\mathfrak{m},\nu}$, completing the proof of the lemma.

6.2. **Analogue of homotopy invariance for relative differentials.**

Proposition 6.3. *Let $\overline{X}$ be a smooth variety over a field k and let $D \subset \overline{X}$ be an effective divisor such that D_{red} is simple normal crossing. Let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^m_k$ be the projective space of dimension m with $H \subset \mathbb{P}^m_k$, a hyperplane. Let $\pi : \mathbb{P} \times \overline{X} \to \overline{X}$ be the projection. Then the natural map*

$$\pi^* \colon \Omega^r_{\overline{X}}(\log D)(-D) \to \mathbb{R}\pi_* \Omega^r_{\overline{X}\times\mathbb{P}}(\log \tilde{H} + \tilde{D})(-\tilde{D})$$

is an isomorphism for every $r > 0$ in the bounded derived category $D(\overline{X}_{Zar})$ of Zariski sheaves on $\overline{X}$. Here, in the second term, we let $\tilde{H}$ (resp. $\tilde{D}$) denote $\overline{X} \times_k H$ (resp. $D \times_k \mathbb{P}^m_k$) for simplicity.

Proof By the derived projection formula, it is enough to show that the natural map

$$\pi^* : \Omega^r_{\overline{X}}(\log D) \to \mathbb{R}\pi_* \Omega^r_{\overline{X}\times\mathbb{P}}(\log \tilde{H} + \tilde{D}) \tag{6.3}$$

is an isomorphism for every $r > 0$. Since the logarithmic structure on the left side (resp. on the right side) is taken, by definition, with respect to the reduced structure of D (resp. of $\tilde{D} + \tilde{H}$), we may assume that D is reduced (see Definition 5.6).

Write $D_1, \ldots, D_n$ for the irreducible components of D. We prove (6.3) by induction on the n. If $n = 0$, the assertion is well-known and follows from the projective bundle formula for sheaves of differential forms: we recall the argument. Let ι_H be the closed immersion $\overline{X} \times H \hookrightarrow \overline{X} \times \mathbb{P}$ $\pi_H \colon \overline{X} \times H \to \overline{X}$ be the projection on the first factor. Taking residues along H gives an exact sequence of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{X\times\mathbb{P}}$-modules

$$0 \to \Omega^r_{\overline{X}\times\mathbb{P}} \to \Omega^r_{\overline{X}\times\mathbb{P}}(\log \tilde{H}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}_H} (\iota_H)_* \Omega^{r-1}_{\overline{X}\times H} \to 0, \tag{6.4}$$

and pushing forward (6.4) along π gives rise to a distinguished triangle

$$\mathbb{R}(\pi_H)_* \Omega^{r-1}_{\overline{X}\times H}[-1] \to \mathbb{R}\pi_* \Omega^r_{\overline{X}\times\mathbb{P}} \to \mathbb{R}\pi_* \Omega^r_{\overline{X}\times\mathbb{P}}(\log \tilde{H}) \xrightarrow{+} \tag{6.5}$$

that we write for convenience shifted by 1 on the left. Let ξ be the first Chern class of H in Hodge cohomology

$$\xi = c_1(\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{P}(H)) \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{P}, \Omega^1_\mathbb{P}) = \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{P}, \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}/k}),$$

and view it as a global section of the direct image sheaf $\mathbb{R}^1\pi_*\Omega^1_{\overline{X}\times\mathbb{P}}$. By the projective bundle formula, the cup product with the powers of ξ determines an isomorphism in the derived category of bounded complexes of $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}$-modules:

$$\bigoplus_{0\le j\le m} \Omega^{r-j}_{\overline{X}}[-j] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}\pi_* \Omega^r_{\overline{X}\times\mathbb{P}}\ ;\ (c_0, \ldots, c_m) \mapsto \sum_{0\le j\le m} \pi^*(c_j) \cup \xi^j. \tag{6.6}$$

Similarly, we have an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{0\le j\le m-1} \Omega^{r-1-j}_{\overline{X}}[-j] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}(\pi_H)_* \Omega^{r-1}_{\overline{X}\times H}\ ;\ (c_0, \ldots, c_{m-1}) \mapsto \sum_{0\le j\le m-1} \pi_H^*(c_j) \cup \xi_H^j, \tag{6.7}$$

where $\xi_H \in \mathrm{H}^1(H, \Omega^1_H)$ is the restriction of ξ to H. We have an exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{0\le j\le m-1} \Omega^{r-(1+j)}_{\overline{X}}[-(1+j)] \xrightarrow{\iota} \bigoplus_{0\le k\le m} \Omega^{r-k}_{\overline{X}}[-k] \to \Omega^r_{\overline{X}} \to 0,$$

where ι is the natural inclusion. By (6.5), (6.6) and (6.7) there is then a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{0\le j\le m-1} \Omega^{r-(1+j)}_{\overline{X}}[-(1+j)] & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{R}(\pi_H)_*\Omega^{r-1}_{\overline{X}\times H}[-1] \\ \downarrow{\iota} & & \downarrow \\ \bigoplus_{0\le j\le m} \Omega^{r-j}_{\overline{X}}[-j] & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{R}\pi_*\Omega^r_{\overline{X}\times\mathbb{P}} \end{array}$$

that proves the desired isomorphism $\Omega^r_{\overline{X}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}\pi_*\Omega^r_{\overline{X}\times\mathbb{P}}(\log \tilde{H})$.

Suppose now $n \ge 1$ and write $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Following [38, 2], for each $1 \le a \le n$ we define

$$D^{[a]} = \coprod_{\{i_1,\dots,i_a\}\subset I} D_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap D_{i_a},$$

where $\{i_1, \dots, i_a\} \subset I$ range over all pairwise distinct indices. Note that $D^{[a]}$ is the disjoint union of smooth varieties and that we have a canonical finite morphism

$$i_a\colon D^{[a]} \to \overline{X} \quad \text{for } a \ge 1.$$

On each $D^{[a]}$, we have a divisor with simple normal crossings

$$E_a = \coprod_{1\le i_1<\dots<i_a\le n} \Big((D_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap D_{i_a}) \cap \big(\sum_{j\notin\{i_1,\dots,i_a\}} D_j\big)\Big) \subset D^{[a]}.$$

For example, if $n = 2$ then $D^{[1]}$ is the disjoint union of D_1 and D_2, and E_1 is given by two copies of the divisor $D_1 \cap D_2$, one on each component of $D^{[1]}$. Then there is an exact sequence of sheaves on $\overline{X}$

$$0 \to \Omega^r_{\overline{X}} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{\overline{X}}} \Omega^r_{\overline{X}}(\log D) \xrightarrow{\rho_1} i_*\Omega^{r-1}_{D^{[1]}}(\log E_1) \xrightarrow{\rho_2} i_*\Omega^{r-2}_{D^{[2]}}(\log E_2) \to$$
$$\to \dots \to i_*\Omega^{r-a}_{D^{[a]}}(\log E_a) \xrightarrow{\rho_{a+1}} i_*\Omega^{r-a-1}_{D^{[a+1]}}(\log E_{a+1}) \to \cdots$$

where $\epsilon_{\overline{X}}$ is the canonical inclusion, i_* denote for simplicity the pushforwards by i_a for all $a \ge 1$, and the maps ρ_a are given by the alternating sums of the residues (see [38, Proposition 2.2.1]). Similarly we have an exact sequence of sheaves on $\overline{X} \times \mathbb{P}$

$$0 \to \Omega^r_{\overline{X}\times\mathbb{P}}(\log \tilde{H}) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{X\times\mathbb{P}}} \Omega^r_{\overline{X}\times\mathbb{P}}(\log \tilde{H} + \tilde{D}) \to i_*\Omega^{r-1}_{D^{[1]}\times\mathbb{P}}(\log \tilde{H} + E_1) \to \cdots$$
$$\cdots \to i_*\Omega^{r-a}_{D^{[a]}\times\mathbb{P}}(\log \tilde{H} + E_a) \to i_*\Omega^{r-a-1}_{D^{[a+1]}\times\mathbb{P}}(\log \tilde{H} + E_{a+1}) \to \cdots$$

where for simplicity we write i_* for the pushforwards by $D^{[a]} \times \mathbb{P} \to \overline{X} \times \mathbb{P}$ and E_a for $E_a \times \mathbb{P}$ for all $a \ge 1$. By induction assumption, we have the isomorphisms

$$\Omega^r_{\overline{X}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}\pi_*\Omega^r_{\overline{X}\times\mathbb{P}}(\log \tilde{H}), \quad i_*\Omega^{r-a}_{D^{[a]}}(\log E_a) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}\pi_* i_*\Omega^{r-a}_{D^{[a]}\times\mathbb{P}}(\log \tilde{H} + E_a),$$

which implies the desired assertion (6.3) by a standard argument from homological algebra.

Remark 6.4. In the notations of Proposition 6.3, let U denote the open complement of $\overline{X}\times H$ in $\overline{X}\times\mathbb{P}$. It is isomorphic to a m-dimensional affine space $\mathbb{A}^m_{\overline{X}}$. Let $j: U \to X \times \mathbb{P}$ be the open immersion. Then we have a canonical injective map

$$\Omega^r_{\overline{X}\times\mathbb{P}}(\log \tilde{H}) \to j_*\Omega^r_U$$

that allows us to identify the sheaf of r-differential forms with logarithmic poles along H with a subsheaf of (the push forward of) the sheaf of r-differential forms on an affine space over $\overline{X}$. The isomorphism of Proposition 6.3 induced by the pullback along the projection π can be therefore interpreted as a weak homotopy invariance property, justifying the title of this section.

7. Regulator maps to relative de Rham cohomology

7.1. **Preliminary lemmas.** We resume the assumptions and the notations of 5.2.1.

7.1.1. Let $i\colon Z \hookrightarrow Y$ be a smooth integral closed subscheme of Y which is transversal with $D_{red}+F$. By definition, for any irreducible components $E_1,\dots,E_s$ of $D_{red}+F$, the scheme-theoretic intersection

$$Z\times_Y E_1\times_Y\times\cdots\times_Y E_s$$

is smooth. Letting $D_Z = D\times_Y Z$ and $F_Z = F\times_Y Z$, this means that (★) in 5.2.1 is satisfied for (Z, D_Z, F_Z) instead of (Y, D, F). Let $W\in C^r(Y,F,D)$ and let $W_1,\dots,W_n$ be the irreducible components of the intersection $W\cap(Z\cap X)$, so that each W_i is closed in $Z\cap X$. Suppose that, for $i=1,\dots,n$, W and $Z\cap X$ intersect properly at W_i (i.e. that W_i has codimension r in $Z\cap X$ for every i). As cycle on $Z\cap X$ we can then write the intersection of W and $Z\cap X$ as

$$i^*W=\sum_{1\le i\le n} n_i[W_i]$$

where $n_i\in\mathbb{Z}$ are the intersection multiplicities of W_i for $i=1,\dots,n$. Lemma 2.1 shows then that we have $W_i\in C^r(Z,F_Z,D_Z)$ for all i.

Lemma 7.1. *Let Z and W be as in 7.1.1. Let $cl^r_\Omega(W)\in \mathrm{H}^r_{\overline{W}}(Y,\Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F))$ be the relative fundamental class of W of 5.9. We have*

$$i^*cl^r_\Omega(W)=\sum_{1\le i\le n} n_i cl^r_\Omega(W_i),$$

where $i^*\colon \mathrm{H}^r_{\overline{W}}(Y,\Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F))\to \mathrm{H}^r_{\overline{W}\cap Z}(Z,\Omega^r_{Z|D_Z}(\log F_Z))$ is the pullback along i and $cl^r_\Omega(W_i)$ for $i=1,\dots,n$ are the relative fundamental classes of W_i with respect to (Z,D_Z,F_Z).

Proof We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{H}^r_{\overline{W}}(Y,\Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F)) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \mathrm{H}^r_{\overline{W}\cap Z}(Z,\Omega^r_{Z|D_Z}(\log F_Z)) \\
\big\downarrow{\scriptstyle (5.7)} & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle (5.7)} \\
\mathrm{H}^r_W(X,\Omega^r_X) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \mathrm{H}^r_{W\cap(Z\cap X)}(Z\cap X,\Omega^r_{Z_{|Z\cap X}}).
\end{array}$$

Since the relative fundamental class of W restricts to El Zein's fundamental class under the map (5.7), the assertion may be shown after restriction to X. We are therefore reduced to the case $Y=X$, i.e. $D=\emptyset$, with W integral closed subscheme of codimension r in Y and Z smooth integral closed subscheme of codimension p in Y, properly intersecting W.

Let $cl_Y(W)\in \mathrm{H}^r_W(Y,\Omega^r_Y)$ be the fundamental class of W in Y and let $cl_Z(i^*W)=cl_Z(W\cdot Z)$ denote the element

$$\sum_{1\le i\le n} n_i cl_Z(W_i)\in \mathrm{H}^r_{W\cap Z}(Z,\Omega^r_Z)$$

where we let again $\{W_i\}_i$ be the irreducible components of the intersection $W\cap Z$ and $n_i\in\mathbb{Z}$ be the intersection multiplicities. If $i^*\colon \mathrm{H}^r_W(Y,\Omega^r_Y)\to \mathrm{H}^r_{W\cap Z}(Z,\Omega^r_Z)$ is the pullback along $i\colon Z\to Y$, we have then to show the following identity

$$i^*cl_Y(W)=cl_Z(i^*W) \text{ in } \mathrm{H}^r_{W\cap Z}(Z,\Omega^r_Z). \tag{7.1}$$

By [16, III.3, Lemme 1], the cup product with the fundamental class of the smooth subvariety Z defines an injective Gysin map

$$\iota\colon \mathrm{H}^r_{W\cap Z}(Z,\Omega^r_Z)\to \mathrm{H}^{r+p}_{W\cap Z}(Y,\Omega^{r+p}_Y)\ ;\ \alpha\to\alpha\cup cl_Y(Z). \tag{7.2}$$

that maps, for every $i=1,\dots,n$, the fundamental class of W_i in Z to the fundamental class of W_i in Y. Hence we have

$$\iota(cl_Z(i^*W))=cl_Z(i^*W)\cup cl_Y(Z)=cl_Y(i^*W).$$

By [16, III Theorem 1] (see also [21, II, 4.2.12]), we have the compatibility with the intersection product

$$cl_Y(W\cdot Z)=cl_Y(W)\cup cl_Y(Z) \text{ in } \mathrm{H}^{r+p}_{W\cap Z}(Y,\Omega^{r+p}_Y).$$

Finally, since the composite map

$$\mathrm{H}^r_W(Y,\Omega^r_Y) \xrightarrow{i^*} \mathrm{H}^r_{W\cap Z}(Z,\Omega^r_Z) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathrm{H}^{r+p}_W(Y,\Omega^{r+p}_Y)$$

is also given by the cup product with $cl_Y(Z)$, we get

$$\iota(i^* cl_Y(W)) = cl_Y(W) \cup cl_Y(Z) = cl_Y(W\cdot Z) = \iota(cl_Z(i^*W)).$$

Hence the identity (7.1) follows from the injectivity of the Gysin map (7.2).

7.2. **Relative de Rham cohomology.**

7.2.1. We resume again the assumptions of 5.2.1 and write $\Omega^\bullet_{Y|D}(\log F)$ for the relative de Rham complex

$$\Omega^\bullet_{Y|D}(\log F)\colon \mathcal{O}_Y(-D) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1_{Y|D}(\log F) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^2_{Y|D}(\log F) \to \cdots \to \Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F) \to \cdots \tag{7.3}$$

It is canonically a subcomplex of the de Rham complex $(\iota_{X,*}\Omega^\bullet_X, d)$. For every $r \geq 0$, we can consider the (brutal) truncated complex $\Omega^{\geq r}_{Y|D}(\log F) = \sigma_{\geq r}(\Omega^\bullet_{Y|D}(\log F))$, i.e. the subcomplex of $\Omega^\bullet_{Y|D}(\log F)$ defined by

$$0 \to \cdots \to 0 \to \Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^{r+1}_{Y|D}(\log F) \to \cdots \tag{7.4}$$

as well as the truncated de Rham complex $\Omega^{\geq r}_X = \sigma_{\geq r}(\Omega^\bullet_X) \subset \Omega^\bullet_X$.

Let T be an integral closed subscheme of Y of codimension c. For $r \geq 0$ we define the relative de Rham cohomology of Y with support on T as the Zariski hypercohomology with support

$$\mathbb{H}^*_T(Y, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y|D}(\log F)).$$

There is a strongly convergent spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{p+q}_T(Y, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y|D}(\log F)), \tag{7.5}$$

where, for $p, q \geq 0$, we let

$$E_1^{p,q} = \begin{cases} \mathrm{H}^q_T(Y, \Omega^p_{Y|D}(\log F)) & \text{if } p \geq r, \\ 0 & \text{if } p < r. \end{cases}$$

We see that, by Lemma 5.7, $E_1^{p,q} = 0$ for $q < c$. Thus we have

$$\mathbb{H}^{p+q}_T(Y, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y|D}(\log F)) = 0 \quad \text{for } p+q < r+c. \tag{7.6}$$

Assume now that $\mathrm{codim}_Y(T) = r$. Lemma 5.7, together with the definition of the E_1-terms of the spectral sequence, gives us that $E_1^{p,q} \neq 0$ for $p+q = 2r$ if and only if $p = q = r$. We can see similarly that $E_\infty^{r,r} \simeq E_1^{r,r}$, so that we finally get

$$\mathbb{H}^{2r}_T(Y, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y|D}(\log F)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ker}\big(\mathrm{H}^r_T(Y, \Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F)) \xrightarrow{d} \mathrm{H}^r_T(Y, \Omega^{r+1}_{Y|D}(\log F))\big) \tag{7.7}$$

where d is the map induced by the exterior derivative.

Remark 7.2. Let V be any open subset $V \subset Y$ containing the generic point of T. By (7.7), the localization exact sequence (together with (5.6)) implies the injection

$$\mathbb{H}^{2r}_T(Y, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y|D}(\log F)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{T\cap V}(V, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y|D}(\log F)_{|V}). \tag{7.8}$$

In particular, for $V = X$ we get from (7.8) the injection

$$\iota_X^*\colon \mathbb{H}^{2r}_T(Y, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y|D}(\log F)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{T\cap X}(X, \Omega^{\geq r}_X).$$

We can now give a refinement of Theorem 5.9.

Theorem 7.3. *For $W \in C^r(Y, F, D)$, there is a unique element, called the fundamental class of W in the relative de Rham cohomology,*

$$cl^r_{DR}(W) \in \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{\overline{W}}(Y, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y|D}(\log F))$$

which maps under the map (7.7) to the fundamental class $cl^r_\Omega(W) \in \mathrm{H}^r_{\overline{W}}(Y, \Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F))$ defined in Theorem 5.9.

Proof The spectral sequence (7.5) has an analogue in the non relative setting

$$E_1^{p,q} \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{p+q}_W(X, \Omega^{\geq r}_X)$$

for $E_1^{p,q} = \mathrm{H}^q_W(X, \Omega^p)$ if $p \geq r$ and 0 otherwise. Using 5.2 instead of (5.6) we have the analogue of (7.7), namely

$$\mathbb{H}^{2r}_W(X, \Omega^{\geq r}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ker}\big(\mathrm{H}^r_W(X, \Omega^r_X) \xrightarrow{d} \mathrm{H}^r_W(X, \Omega^{r+1}_X)\big). \tag{7.9}$$

By [16, Thm. 3.1] (see Remark 5.1), the fundamental class $cl^r_\Omega(W) \in \mathrm{H}^r_W(X, \Omega^r_X)$ is in the kernel of the map induced by the exterior derivative d. Therefore by (7.9), the absolute class $cl^r_\Omega(W)$ gives rise to an element of $\mathbb{H}^{2r}_W(X, \Omega^{\geq r}_X)$. By (5.7), Theorem 5.9 and (7.7), the same holds for the relative fundamental class $cl^r_\Omega(W) \in \mathrm{H}^r_{\overline{W}}(Y, \Omega^r_{Y|D}(\log F))$, giving rise to $cl^r_{DR}(W) \in \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{\overline{W}}(Y, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y|D}(\log F))$ as required. The last stated property is then clear by construction.

Lemma 7.4. *Let Z and W be as in 7.1.1. Let $cl^r_{DR}(W) \in \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{\overline{W}}(Y, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y|D}(\log F))$ be the fundamental class of W in relative de Rham cohomology of Theorem 7.3. Then we have*

$$i^* cl^r_{DR}(W) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} n_i cl^r_{DR}(W_i),$$

where $i^*\colon \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{\overline{W}}(Y, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y|D}(\log F)) \to \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{\overline{W}\cap Z}(Z, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Z|D_Z}(\log F_Z))$ is the pullback along i and $cl^r_{DR}(W_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ are the relative fundamental classes of W_i in de Rham cohomology.

Proof By the construction of cl^r_{DR} (cf. (7.7)), the lemma follows from the same assertion for cl^r_Ω, that is proven in Lemma 7.1.

7.3. **The construction of the regulator map.**

7.3.1. Let $\overline{X}$ be a smooth variety over a field k and let $D \subset \overline{X}$ be an effective Cartier divisor on $\overline{X}$ such that the reduced part D_{red} is simple normal crossing. Let $X = \overline{X} - D$ be the open complement.

Let $\Omega^1_{\overline{X}}(\log D)$ be the Zariski sheaf on $\overline{X}$ of absolute Kähler differentials on X with logarithmic poles along D_{red} (see Definition 5.6). We write $\Omega^i_{\overline{X}}(\log D)$ for its i-th external power and set

$$\Omega^i_{\overline{X}|D} = \Omega^i_{\overline{X}}(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(-D).$$

The exterior derivative gives rise to the *relative de Rham complex*

$$\Omega^\bullet_{\overline{X}|D}: \quad \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(-D) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1_{\overline{X}|D} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^2_{\overline{X}|D} \to \cdots \to \Omega^r_{\overline{X}|D} \to \cdots$$

For every $r \geq 0$, we have the (brutally) truncated complex

$$\Omega^{\geq r}_{\overline{X}|D}: \quad 0 \to \cdots \to \Omega^r_{\overline{X}|D} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^{r+1}_{\overline{X}|D} \to \cdots$$

In this section we show that Theorems 5.9 and 7.3 can be used to construct a cycle map in the derived category $D^-(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{zar}})$ of bounded above complexes of Zariski sheaves on $\overline{X}$

$$\phi_{DR}\colon \mathbb{Z}(r)_{\overline{X}|D} \to \Omega^{\geq r}_{\overline{X}|D}, \tag{7.10}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}(r)_{\overline{X}|D}$ is the relative motivic complex introduced in (2.2). The induced maps

$$\phi^{q,r}_{DR}: \mathrm{H}^q_{\mathcal{M}}(\overline{X}|D, \mathbb{Z}(r)) \to \mathbb{H}^q(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{zar}}, \Omega^{\geq r}_{\overline{X}|D}) \tag{7.11}$$

are called the *regulator maps to relative de Rham cohomology.*

7.3.2. In what follows all the cohomology groups are taken over the Zariski site. We use the notation $A_\star$ to denote a cubical object $A\colon \square^{op} \to \mathcal{C}$ in an abelian category $\mathcal{C}$. The associated chain complex is denoted A_* and we write $A_*/(A_*)_{degn} = (A_*)_{non-degn}$ for the non-degenerate quotient. In the notations of Section 2, we write

$$X_n = X \times \square^n \hookrightarrow \overline{X}_n = \overline{X} \times \square^n \hookrightarrow Y_n = \overline{X} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \supset D_n = D \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n.$$

Write F_n for the divisor $\overline{X} \times ((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \square^n)$, D_n for the divisor $D \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ on Y_n and $\pi_n : Y_n \to \overline{X}$ for the projection. The triple (Y_n, F_n, D_n) satisfies the condition (★) of 5.2.1 and we can consider the complex $\Omega^{\geq r}_{Y_n|D_n}(\log F_n)$ on $(Y_n)_{\mathrm{zar}}$.

7.3.3. For a Zariski open subset $\overline{U}$ of $\overline{X}$, we write U for the intersection $\overline{U} \cap X$, $\overline{U}_n$ for $\pi_n^{-1}(\overline{U}) \subset Y_n$ and U_n for $\overline{U}_n \cap X_n$. Let $\mathcal{S}^{r,n}_U$ be the set of (Zariski) closed subsets of U_n of pure codimension r whose irreducible components are in $C^r(\overline{U}|D \cap \overline{U}, n)$ (cf. Definition 2.2). In particular, for every $V \in C^r(\overline{U}|D \cap \overline{U}, n)$ we have

$$\phi^*_{\overline{V}}(D \cap \overline{U} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n) \leq \phi^*_{\overline{V}}(\overline{U} \times F_n)$$

where $\overline{V}$ denotes the closure of V in $\overline{U}_n \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$. We can therefore apply Theorem 7.3 to get a natural map

$$cl^{r,n}_U : \underline{z}^r(\overline{U}|D \cap \overline{U}, n) \to \varinjlim_{W \in \mathcal{S}^{r,n}_U} \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{\overline{W}}(\overline{U}_n, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y_n|D_n}(\log F_n))$$

sending a cycle $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} m_i[W_i]$ with $W_i \in C^r(\overline{U}|D \cap \overline{U}, n)$ and $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ to

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} m_i \cdot cl^r_{DR} W_i \in \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{\overline{W}}(U_n, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y_n|D_n}(\log F_n)),$$

where $\overline{W}$ is the Zariski closure of $W = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq r} W_i$ in $\overline{U}_n$.

7.3.4. For $i = 1, \ldots, n$, $\epsilon \in \{0, \infty\}$, let $\iota^n_{i,\epsilon}$ denote the inclusion of the face of codimension 1 in $U \times \square^n$ given by the equation $y_i = \epsilon$. Lemma 7.4 shows then that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\underline{z}^r(\overline{U}|D \cap \overline{U}, n) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim_{W \in \mathcal{S}^{r,n}_U} \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{\overline{W}}(\overline{U}_n, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y_n|D_n}(\log F_n)) \\
\downarrow {\iota^n_{i,\epsilon}}^* & & \downarrow {\iota^n_{i,\epsilon}}^* \\
\underline{z}^r(\overline{U}|D \cap \overline{U}, n-1) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim_{W \in \mathcal{S}^{r,n-1}_U} \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{\overline{W}}(\overline{U}_{n-1}, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y_{n-1}|D_{n-1}}(\log F_{n-1}))
\end{array}$$

is commutative, where the left vertical map ${\iota^n_{i,\epsilon}}^*$ denotes the pullback of cycles along $\iota^n_{i,\epsilon}$ and the right vertical ${\iota^n_{i,\epsilon}}^*$ denotes the pullback of differentials along the same map.

The map $cl^{r,n}_U$ is then contravariant for face maps, giving rise to a natural map of cubical objects of complexes

$$\underline{z}^r(\overline{U}|D \cap \overline{U}, \star)[-2r] \xrightarrow{cl^{r,\star}_U} \varinjlim_{W \in \mathcal{S}^{r,\star}_U} \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{\overline{W}}(U_\star, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y_\star|D_\star}(\log F_\star))[-2r]. \tag{7.12}$$

Lemma 7.5. *Let i be a positive integer. The natural map*

$$\Omega^i_{\overline{X}|D} = \Omega^i_{\overline{X}}(\log D)(-D) \to \mathbb{R}(\pi_n)_* \Omega^i_{Y_n|D_n}(\log F_n)$$

is an isomorphism in $D^-(\overline{X}_{zar})$.

Proof The proof is by induction on n. The case $n = 1$ follows from Proposition 6.3, applied in the case $m = 1$ and H the k-rational point $y = 1$ in $\mathbb{P}^1$. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, we denote by $F^n_{i,1}$ the face $y_i = 1$ of the closed box $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$. Let $\phi : (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^{n-1}$ be the projection to the last $(n-1)$ factors. Note that $D_n = \phi^*(D_{n-1})$ and $F_n = F^n_{1,1} + \phi^*(F_{n-1})$.

By Proposition 6.3 applied to $Y_{n-1} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{\phi} Y_{n-1}$, together with the derived projection formula, we get then

$$\Omega^i_{Y_{n-1}}(\log D_{n-1} + F_{n-1})(-D_{n-1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}(\phi)_*(\Omega^i_{Y_n}(\log(\phi^*(D_{n-1} + F_{n-1}) + F^n_{1,1}))(-D_n)). \tag{7.13}$$

Applying $\mathbb{R}(\pi_{n-1})_*$ to (7.13), the claim follows from the induction assumption.

7.3.5. Let $\mathcal{I}_n(r)^\bullet$ be the Godement resolution of the complex $\Omega^{\geq r}_{Y_n|D_n}(\log F_n)$ on $(Y_n)_{\rm zar}$. By functoriality of Godement resolutions, $\mathcal{I}_\star(r)^\bullet$ has a natural structure of cubical object in the category of complexes making the canonical map $\Omega^{\geq r}_{Y_n|D_n}(\log F_n) \to \mathcal{I}_n(r)^\bullet$ a morphism of cubical objects.

Let $\overline{W}$ be a closed subscheme of Y_n of pure codimension r and let $\tau_{\leq 2r}\Gamma_{\overline{W}}(\overline{U}_n, \mathcal{I}_n(r)^\bullet)$ be the canonical (good) truncation of $\Gamma_{\overline{W}}(\overline{U}_n, \mathcal{I}_n(r)^\bullet)$. By (7.6), we have

$$\mathbb{H}^i_{\overline{W}}(\overline{U}_n, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y_n|D_n}(\log F_n)) = 0 \text{ for } i < 2r,$$

so that the morphisms of complexes

$$\tau_{\leq 2r}\Gamma_{\overline{W}}(\overline{U}_n, \mathcal{I}_n(r)^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\alpha^{r,n}_{\overline{W}}} \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{\overline{W}}(\overline{U}_n, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y_n|D_n}(\log F_n))[-2r]$$

$$\varinjlim_{W \in \mathcal{S}^{r,n}_U} \tau_{\leq 2r}\Gamma_{\overline{W}}(\overline{U}_n, \mathcal{I}_n(r)^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\alpha^{r,n}} \varinjlim_{W \in \mathcal{S}^{r,n}_U} \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{\overline{W}}(\overline{U}_n, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y_n|D_n}(\log F_n))[-2r] \tag{7.14}$$

are quasi-isomorphisms, both compatible with the cubical structure.

Remark 7.6. The complex $\mathcal{I}_n(r)^\bullet = \mathcal{I}_n(r)^\bullet_{(\overline{X},D)}$ is contravariantly functorial in the pair $(\overline{X}, D)$, where by a morphism of pairs $(\overline{X}, D) \to (\overline{X}', D')$ we mean a morphism of schemes $f \colon \overline{X} \to \overline{X}'$ such that $f^*(D')$ is defined and $f^*(D') \leq D$ as Cartier divisors on $\overline{X}$.

7.3.6. Combining (7.14) and (7.12), we have a diagram of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{z}^r(\overline{U}|D\cap\overline{U}, n)[-2r] \xrightarrow{cl^{r,n}_U} & \varinjlim_{W \in \mathcal{S}^{r,n}_U} \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{\overline{W}}(\overline{U}_n, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y_n|D_n}(\log F_n))[-2r] & \\ & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \alpha^{r,n}} & \\ & \varinjlim_{W \in \mathcal{S}^{r,n}_U} \tau_{\leq 2r}\Gamma_{\overline{W}}(\overline{U}_n, \mathcal{I}_n(r)^\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\beta^{r,n}} \Gamma(\overline{U}_n, \mathcal{I}_n(r)^\bullet) \end{array}$$

where $\beta^{r,n}$ is the canonical map "forget supports". Since all the morphisms are contravariant for face maps, we get a diagram of cubical objects of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{z}^r(\overline{U}|D\cap\overline{U}, \star)[-2r] \xrightarrow{cl^{r,\star}_U} & \varinjlim_{W \in \mathcal{S}^{r,\star}_U} \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{\overline{W}}(\overline{U}_\star, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y_\star|D_\star}(\log F_\star))[-2r] & \\ & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \alpha^{r,\star}} & \\ & \varinjlim_{W \in \mathcal{S}^{r,\star}_U} \tau_{\leq 2r}\Gamma_{\overline{W}}(\overline{U}_\star, \mathcal{I}_\star(r)^\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\beta^{r,\star}} \Gamma(\overline{U}_\star, \mathcal{I}_\star(r)^\bullet). \end{array}$$

Let $\mathrm{Tot}(\tau_{\leq 2r}\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}_U^{r,*}}(\overline{U}_*, \mathcal{I}_*(r)^\bullet))$ be the total complex of the non-degenerate associated complex

$$\varinjlim_{W\in\mathcal{S}_U^{r,\star}} \frac{\tau_{\leq 2r}\Gamma_{\overline{W}}(\overline{U}_\star, \mathcal{I}_\star(r)^\bullet)}{\left(\tau_{\leq 2r}\Gamma_{\overline{W}}(\overline{U}_\star, \mathcal{I}_\star(r)^\bullet)\right)_{\mathrm{degn}}}$$

and let

$$\alpha^{r,*}\colon \mathrm{Tot}(\tau_{\leq 2r}\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}_U^{r,*}}(\overline{U}_*, \mathcal{I}_*(r)^\bullet)) \to \varinjlim_{W\in\mathcal{S}_U^{r,\star}} (\mathbb{H}^{2r}_{\overline{W}}(\overline{U}_*, \Omega^{\geq r}_{\overline{Y}_*|D_*}(\log F_*))[-2r])_{non-\mathrm{degn}} := F_{*,r}$$

be the induced morphism. Since the maps $\alpha^{r,n}$ are quasi-isomorphisms for every n, the same holds for $\alpha^{r,*}$.

Let $\Omega^{\geq r}_{\overline{X}|D} \to \mathcal{I}(r)^\bullet$ be the Godement resolution of the relative de Rham complex $\Omega^{\geq r}_{\overline{X}|D}$ on $\overline{X}$ and let γ be the inclusion to the factor at $\star = 0$

$$\mathcal{I}(r)^\bullet \xrightarrow{\gamma} \Gamma(\overline{U}_\star, \mathcal{I}_\star(r)^\bullet).$$

By Lemma 7.5, the induced map

$$\mathcal{I}(r)^\bullet \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathrm{Tot}\frac{\Gamma(\overline{U}_*, \mathcal{I}_*(r)^\bullet)}{\Gamma(\overline{U}_*, \mathcal{I}_*(r)^\bullet)_{\mathrm{degn}}} = \tilde{\Gamma}(\overline{U}_*, \mathcal{I}_*(r)^\bullet)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Combining it with the previously constructed maps we get a diagram of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
z^r(\overline{U}|D\cap\overline{U}, *)[-2r] & \xrightarrow{cl_U^{r,*}} & F_{*,r} & & \mathcal{I}(r)^\bullet[-r] \\
 & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \alpha^{r,\star}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma} \\
 & & \mathrm{Tot}(\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}_U^{r,*}}(\overline{U}_*, \mathcal{I}_*(r)^\bullet)) & \xrightarrow{\beta^{r,\star}} & \tilde{\Gamma}(\overline{U}_*, \mathcal{I}_*(r)^\bullet)
\end{array}$$

that sheafified on $\overline{X}_{\mathrm{zar}}$ gives the desired map (7.10)

$$\phi_{DR}\colon \mathbb{Z}(r)_{\overline{X}|D} \to \Omega^{\geq r}_{\overline{X}|D}.$$

Remark 7.7. The strategy used to construct regulator map (7.10), that relies on the existence of a functorial flasque resolution of the relative de Rham complexes $\Omega^{\geq r}_{\overline{Y}_n|D_n}(\log F_n)$ is due to Sato, taken from [39, 3.5-3.10].

7.4. **Compatibility with proper push forward.** Let (Y, F, D) and (Y', F', D') be two triples satisfying the condition (★) of 5.2.1 and let $f\colon (Y', F', D') \to (Y, F, D)$ be an admissible proper morphism between the triples (Y', F', D') and (Y, F, D) (see §5.5). Suppose that f is either a closed immersion or a smooth morphism. The Gysin map of Lemma 5.14 can be turned into a map of complexes

$$f_*\colon Rf_*\Omega^{\bullet+n}_{Y'|D'}(\log F')[n] \to \Omega^\bullet_{Y|D}(\log F)$$

where $n = \dim Y - \dim Y'$. We can show this by the same method of [22, II.5] (see also [23, Prop. 2.2]). It induces a map of the relative de Rham cohomology groups with supports

$$f_*\colon \mathbb{H}^{2r'}_{\overline{W}}(Y', \Omega^{\geq r'}_{Y'|D'}(\log F')) \to \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{\overline{f(W)}}(Y, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y|D}(\log F)) \tag{7.15}$$

that is compatible with the fundamental class of Theorem 7.3, namely

$$f_* cl^{r'}_{DR}(W) = cl^r_{DR}(f_*([W])) \tag{7.16}$$

where the equality (7.16) follows from (5.22) and the fact that the fundamental class of a cycle is a cohomology class of a closed form (see Remark 5.1).

7.4.1. We resume the notations of Section 2 and 7.3.2. Let $f\colon \overline{X}' \to \overline{X}$ be a proper morphism between smooth varieties over k that is either a closed immersion or a smooth morphism. Let D' and D be effective Cartier divisors such that D'_{red} and D_{red} are simple normal crossing. Write $X' = \overline{X}' - D'$ (resp. $X = \overline{X} - D$) for the open complement. Suppose for simplicity that $D' = f^*D$. The map of cubical complexes

$$\underline{z}^r(\overline{U}|D \cap \overline{U}, \star)[-2r] \xrightarrow{cl_U^{r,\star}} \varinjlim_{W \in \mathcal{S}_U^{r,\star}} \mathbb{H}^{2r}_W(U_\star, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y_\star|D_\star}(\log F_\star))[-2r]$$

constructed in 7.3.4 is compatible with the pushforward (7.15). This can be used to show that the regulator map constructed in Section 7.3 is compatible with the proper pushforward, i.e. that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}(r')_{\overline{X}'|D'} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{DR}} & \Omega^{\geq r'}_{\overline{X}'|D'} \\ \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow f_* \\ \mathbb{Z}(r)_{\overline{X}|D} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{DR}} & \Omega^{\geq r}_{\overline{X}|D} \end{array}$$

is commutative.

8. Regulator maps to relative Deligne cohomology

In this section we work over the base field $k = \mathbb{C}$. For an algebraic variety Y over $\mathbb{C}$, write $\mathcal{O}_Y$ for the analytic sheaf of holomorphic functions on Y and Ω^i_Y for the sheaf of holomorphic i-th differential forms.

8.1. **Relative Deligne complex.** Let $\overline{X}$ be a smooth variety over $\mathbb{C}$ and let $D \subset \overline{X}$ be an effective Cartier divisor on $\overline{X}$ such that the reduced part D_{red} is simple normal crossing. Let $j\colon X = \overline{X} - D \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ be the open complement. Write $\Omega^i_{\overline{X}}(\log D)$ for the sheaf of meromorphic i-th differential forms on $\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}$ that are holomorphic on X and with at most logarithmic poles along D_{red}. Resuming the notations of 7.3, we write

$$\Omega^i_{\overline{X}|D} = \Omega^i_{\overline{X}}(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(-D),$$

and $\Omega^\bullet_{\overline{X}|D}$ for the relative (analytic) de Rham complex. Let $\mathbb{C}_X$ denote the constant sheaf $\mathbb{C}$ on X_{an}.

Lemma 8.1. *Assume D is a reduced simple normal crossing divisor on $\overline{X}$. Then the canonical map $j_!\mathbb{C}_X \to \Omega^\bullet_{\overline{X}|D}$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Proof Write $D_1, \dots, D_n$ for the irreducible components of D. We use the strategy of the proof of Proposition 6.3, doing induction on n. If $n = 0$ the assertion is clear. Write $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and for each $1 \leq a \leq n$ define

$$D^{[a]} = \coprod_{\{i_1,\dots,i_a\} \subset I} D_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap D_{i_a},$$

where $\{i_1, \dots, i_a\} \subset I$ range over all pairwise distinct indices. Then $D^{[a]}$ is the disjoint union of smooth varieties and we have a canonical finite morphism

$$i_a\colon D^{[a]} \to \overline{X} \quad \text{for } a \geq 1.$$

The lemma follows then from the standard exact sequences

$$0 \to j_!\mathbb{C}_X \to \mathbb{C}_{\overline{X}} \to (i_1)_*\mathbb{C}_{D^{[1]}} \to (i_2)_*\mathbb{C}_{D^{[2]}} \to \cdots,$$

$$0 \to \Omega^\bullet_{\overline{X}|D} \to \Omega^\bullet_{\overline{X}} \to (i_1)_*\Omega^\bullet_{D^{[1]}} \to (i_2)_*\Omega^\bullet_{D^{[2]}} \to \cdots.$$

Remark 8.2. By Lemma 6.1, in characteristic 0 the relative de Rham complex $\Omega^\bullet_{\overline{X}|D}$ is independent from the multiplicity of D. Lemma 8.1 gives then

$$j_!\mathbb{C}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^\bullet_{\overline{X}|D_{red}} \xleftarrow{\sim} \Omega^\bullet_{\overline{X}|D}$$

where $\Omega^\bullet_{\overline{X}|D_{red}}$ is defined as $\Omega^\bullet_{\overline{X}|D}$ with D replaced by D_{red}.

8.1.1. Let Y, X, F, D be as in 5.2.1 and write

$$X = Y - (F + D) \overset{j}{\hookrightarrow} \overline{X} = Y - F \overset{\tau}{\hookrightarrow} Y.$$

On Y_{an} we have the relative de Rham complex $\Omega^\bullet_{Y|D}(\log F)$ and the truncated subcomplex $\Omega^{\geq r}_{Y|D}(\log F)$. Write $\Omega^\bullet_{Y|D_{red}}(\log F)$ for the variant of $\Omega^\bullet_{Y|D}(\log F)$ with D replaced by D_{red}.

Lemma 8.3. *We have a natural isomorphism in $D^b(Y_{an})$*

$$\beta\colon \mathbb{R}\tau_* j_!\mathbb{C}_X \simeq \Omega^\bullet_{Y|D_{red}}(\log F). \tag{8.1}$$

Proof By Lemma 8.1 we have a functorial quasi-isomorphism

$$j_!\mathbb{C}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^\bullet_{\overline{X}|D_{red}}$$

and pushing forward along τ one has the quasi-isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{R}\tau_* \Omega^\bullet_{\overline{X}|D_{red}} \simeq \tau_*\Omega^\bullet_{\overline{X}|D_{red}} \xleftarrow{\sim} \Omega^\bullet_{Y|D_{red}}(\log F)$$

where the canonical map $\Omega^\bullet_{Y|D_{red}}(\log F) \hookrightarrow \tau_*\Omega^\bullet_{\overline{X}|D_{red}}$ is a quasi-isomorphism by using the same argument as [10, II, Lemme 6.9].

For every integer $r \geq 0$, write $\mathbb{Z}(r)_X$ for the constant sheaf $(2i\pi)^r\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{C}$ on X_{an}. We define the *relative Deligne complex* for the triple (Y, F, D) as the object in the bounded derived category $D^b(Y_{\mathrm{an}})$ given by

$$\mathbb{Z}(r)^{\mathcal{D}}_{(Y,F,D)} = \mathrm{Cone}[\mathbb{R}\tau_* j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X \,\oplus\, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y|D}(\log F) \xrightarrow{\iota-\gamma} \mathbb{R}\tau_* j_!\mathbb{C}_X][-1], \tag{8.2}$$

where ι is induced by $\mathbb{Z}(r)_X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_X$ and γ is the composite

$$\Omega^{\geq r}_{Y|D}(\log F) \to \Omega^\bullet_{Y|D}(\log F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^\bullet_{Y|D_{red}}(\log F) \overset{\beta}{\simeq} \mathbb{R}\tau_* j_!\mathbb{C}_X\,,$$

where the last isomorphism β is defined in (8.1). We have then a natural distinguished triangle in $D^b(Y_{\mathrm{an}})$:

$$\mathbb{Z}(r)^{\mathcal{D}}_{(Y,F,D)} \to \mathbb{R}\tau_* j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X \,\oplus\, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y|D}(\log F) \to \mathbb{R}\tau_* j_!\mathbb{C}_X \xrightarrow{+}\,. \tag{8.3}$$

Remark 8.4. We note that the map γ is a priori defined only at the level of the derived category. However, after replacing $\Omega^\bullet_{\overline{X}|D_{red}}$ with a functorial resolution $\Omega^\bullet_{\overline{X}|D_{red}} \to \mathcal{I}^\bullet_{\overline{X}|D}$, we can lift it to an actual morphism of complexes.

8.1.2. Let $W \in C^r(Y, F, D)$ and write $\overline{W}$ for its closure in Y. By definition, W is a closed subvariety of X of codimension r whose closure $\overline{W}$ in Y satisfies the modulus condition (5.5). As noted in after Definition 5.5, the condition implies that

$$\overline{W} \cap (Y - F) \cap D = \emptyset$$

and that W is closed in $\overline{X} = Y - F$. Therefore, the localization exact sequence for $X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ gives the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^i_{\overline{W}\cap\overline{X}}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^i_W(X_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Z}(r)_X).$$

In particular, we have

$$\mathbb{H}^i_{\overline{W}}(Y_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{R}\tau_* j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X) \simeq \mathrm{H}^i_{\overline{W}\cap\overline{X}}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^i_W(X_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Z}(r)_X), \tag{8.4}$$

so that purity for the Betti cohomology implies

$$\mathbb{H}^i_{\overline{W}}(Y_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{R}\tau_* j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X) = 0 \quad \text{for } i < 2r, \tag{8.5}$$

and that for $i = 2r$ we have the Betti fundamental class

$$cl^r_B(W) \in \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{W}(Y_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{R}\tau_* j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X) = \mathrm{H}^{2r}_W(X_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Z}(r)_X). \tag{8.6}$$

obtained by the fundamental class of W in $\mathrm{H}^{2r}_W(X_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Z})$ after multiplication by $(2i\pi)^r$.

Theorem 8.5. *For $W \in C^r(Y, F, D)$ we have*

$$\mathbb{H}^q_{W}(Y_{an}, \mathbb{Z}(r)^{\mathcal{D}}_{(Y,F,D)}) = 0 \quad \textit{for } q < 2r$$

and there is a unique element, called the fundamental class of W in the relative Deligne cohomology,

$$cl^r_{\mathcal{D}}(W) \in \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{W}(Y_{an}, \mathbb{Z}(r)^{\mathcal{D}}_{(Y,F,D)}) \tag{8.7}$$

which maps to $(cl^r_B(W), cl^r_{DR}(W))$ under the (injective) map

$$\mathbb{H}^{2r}_{W}(Y_{an}, \mathbb{Z}(r)^{\mathcal{D}}_{(Y,F,D)}) \to \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{W}(Y_{an}, R\tau_* j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X) \oplus \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{W}(Y_{an}, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y|D}(\log F)) \tag{8.8}$$

arising from (8.2)*, where $cl^r_{DR}(W) \in \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{W}(Y_{an}, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y|D}(\log F))$ is the de Rham fundamental class of Theorem 7.3.*

Proof From (8.3) we have the long exact sequence

$$\mathbb{H}^{i-1}_{W}(Y_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{R}\tau_* j_!\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{H}^{i}_{W}(Y_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Z}(r)^{\mathcal{D}}_{(Y,F,D)}) \to$$
$$\mathbb{H}^{i}_{W}(Y_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{R}\tau_* j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X) \oplus \mathbb{H}^{i}_{W}(Y_{\mathrm{an}}, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y|D}(\log F)) \to \mathbb{H}^{i}_{W}(Y_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{R}\tau_* j_!\mathbb{C}_X).$$

Recall that by (7.6) we have the vanishing

$$\mathrm{H}^{i}_{W}(Y_{\mathrm{an}}, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y|D}(\log F)) = 0 \quad \text{for } i < 2r, \tag{8.9}$$

so that the first assertion follows from (8.9) and (8.5), proving at the same time the injectivity of (8.8). To prove the second assertion, it suffices to show that $cl^r_B(W)$ and $cl^r_{DR}(W)$ have the same image in $\mathbb{H}^{2r}_{W}(Y_{\mathrm{an}}, R\tau_* j_!\mathbb{C})$, giving rise to a unique element in $\mathbb{H}^{2r}_{W}(Y_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Z}(r)^{\mathcal{D}}_{(Y,F,D)})$, that we denote $cl^r_{\mathcal{D}}(W)$.

Note that pulling back along the inclusion $\iota_X \colon X \to Y$ gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{H}^{2r}_{W}(Y_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{R}\tau_* j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X) \oplus \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{W}(Y_{\mathrm{an}}, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y|D}(\log F)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{W}(Y_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{R}\tau_* j_!\mathbb{C}_X) \\
\downarrow \iota_X^* & & \downarrow \simeq \\
\mathrm{H}^{2r}_W(X_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Z}(r)_X) \oplus \mathbb{H}^{2r}_W(X_{\mathrm{an}}, \Omega^{\geq r}_X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{2r}_W(X_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{C}_X).
\end{array}$$

where the right vertical map is an isomorphism by (8.4). As noticed in [13, Remark 6.4(b)], the fundamental classes

$$cl^r_B(W) \in \mathrm{H}^{2r}_W(X_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Z}(r)_X) \ \text{ and } \ cl^r_{DR}(W) \in \mathbb{H}^{2r}_W(X_{\mathrm{an}}, \Omega^{\geq r}_X)$$

have the same image in $\mathbb{H}^{2r}_W(X_{\mathrm{an}}, \Omega^{\bullet}_X) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{2r}_W(X_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{C})$. The claim follows then from the fact that, by Theorem 5.9, the class $cl^r_{DR}(W) \in \mathbb{H}^{2r}_{W}(Y_{\mathrm{an}}, \Omega^{\geq r}_{Y|D}(\log F))$ maps, via the pullback along ι_X, to the class $cl^r_{DR}(W)$.

8.2. **The construction of the regulator map.**

8.2.1. We introduce a relative version $\mathbb{Z}(r)^{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{X}|D}$ of the Deligne complex on $\overline{X}$:

$$\mathbb{Z}(r)^{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{X}|D} \colon j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X \to \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(-D) \to \Omega^1_{\overline{X}|D} \to \cdots \to \Omega^{r-1}_{\overline{X}|D}, \tag{8.10}$$

where $j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X$ is put in degree zero and the map $j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X \to \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(-D)$ is obtained by adjunction from the canonical inclusion $\mathbb{Z}(r)_X \to \mathcal{O}_X$. The hypercohomology groups

$$\mathrm{H}^q_{\mathcal{D}}(\overline{X}|D, \mathbb{Z}(r)) = \mathbb{H}^q(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Z}(r)^{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{X}|D})$$

are called the relative Deligne cohomology groups for the pair $(\overline{X}, D)$. By Lemmas 8.1 and 6.1, the definition of $\mathbb{Z}(r)^{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{X}|D}$ implies the following

Lemma 8.6. *Let $r \geq 0$ and let $\Omega^{\geq r}_{\overline{X}|D}$ be the r-th (brutally) truncated subcomplex of $\Omega^{\bullet}_{\overline{X}|D}$. Then there is a natural distinguished triangle in $D^b(\overline{X}_{an})$*

$$\mathbb{Z}(r)^{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{X}|D} \to j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X \,\oplus\, \Omega^{\geq r}_{\overline{X}|D} \to j_!\mathbb{C}_X \xrightarrow{+} .$$

Example 1. For $r = 0$ we have $\mathbb{Z}(0)_{\overline{X}|D} = j_!\mathbb{Z}_X$, so that $\mathrm{H}^q_{\mathcal{D}}(\overline{X}|D, \mathbb{Z}(0)) = \mathrm{H}^q_c(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is nothing but Betti cohomology with compact support.

For $r = 1$, let $\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{\overline{X}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{D}$) denote the sheaf of invertible holomorphic functions on $\overline{X}$ (resp. on D) and let $\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{\overline{X}|D}$ be the kernel of the restriction map

$$1 \to \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{\overline{X}|D} \to \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{\overline{X}} \to \iota_{D*}\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{D} \to 1, .$$

where $\iota_D : D \to \overline{X}$ is the closed immersion. Then the complex $\mathbb{Z}(1)_{\overline{X}|D}$ is quasi isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{\overline{X}|D}[-1]$ via the exponential map. In particular, one has

$$\mathrm{H}^2_{\mathcal{D}}(\overline{X}|D, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(\overline{X}|D).$$

8.2.2. In this section we construct a cycle map in the derived category $D^-(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}})$ of bounded above complexes of analytic sheaves on $\overline{X}$

$$\phi_{\mathcal{D}} \colon \epsilon^*\mathbb{Z}(r)_{\overline{X}|D} \to \mathbb{Z}(r)^{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{X}|D}, \tag{8.11}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}(r)_{\overline{X}|D}$ is the relative motivic complex of (2.2) and $\epsilon \colon \overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}} \to \overline{X}_{\mathrm{zar}}$ is the map of sites. The induced maps

$$\phi^{q,r}_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathrm{H}^q_{\mathcal{M}}(\overline{X}|D, \mathbb{Z}(r)) \to \mathrm{H}^q_{\mathcal{D}}(\overline{X}|D, \mathbb{Z}(r))$$

are called the *regulator maps to relative Deligne cohomology.*

In the notations of Section 2 and 7.3.2, we write again for $n \geq 0$

$$X_n = X \times \square^n \hookrightarrow \overline{X}_n = \overline{X} \times \square^n \hookrightarrow Y_n = \overline{X} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \supset D_n = D \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n.$$

Let F_n be the divisor $\overline{X} \times ((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \square^n)$, D_n the divisor $D \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ on Y_n and $\pi_n : Y_n \to \overline{X}$ the projection. Let $\mathbb{Z}(r)^{\mathcal{D}}_{(Y_n, F_n, D_n)}$ be the sheaf on $(Y_n)_{\mathrm{an}}$ defined as $\mathbb{Z}(r)^{\mathcal{D}}_{(Y,F,D)}$ for the triple $(Y, F, D) = (Y_n, F_n, D_n)$. The analogue of Lemma 7.5 is given by

Lemma 8.7. *Let i be a positive integer. The natural map*

$$\mathbb{Z}(r)^{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{X}|D} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}(\pi_n)_*\mathbb{Z}(r)^{\mathcal{D}}_{(Y_n, F_n, D_n)}.$$

is an isomorphism in $D^-(\overline{X}_{an})$.

Proof By Lemma 8.6 and (8.3), the statement follows from the natural isomorphism

$$\Omega^i_{\overline{X}}(\log D)(-D) \to \mathbb{R}(\pi_n)_*\Omega^i_{Y_n|D_n}(\log F_n) \quad \text{for } i > 0,$$

given by Lemma 7.5, and from the isomorphism

$$j_!\mathbb{Z}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}(\tilde{\pi}_n)_*(j_n)_!\mathbb{Z}_{X_n} \quad \text{with } \tilde{\pi}_n : \overline{X}_n = \overline{X} \times \square^n \to \overline{X}, \tag{8.12}$$

which follows from the homotopy invariance for the Betti cohomology, where $j_n \colon X_n \to \overline{X}_n$ denotes the open immersion.

The method developed in 7.3 applies, *mutatis mutandis*, to this setting, using the fundamental class in relative Deligne cohomology constructed in Theorem 8.5 and Lemma 8.7 in place of Lemma 7.5. This gives rise to the natural map (8.11). The same argument (this time using the fundamental class (8.6) in Betti cohomology and (8.12) in place of Lemma 7.5) provides a cycle map in $D^-(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}})$

$$\phi_B \colon \epsilon^*\mathbb{Z}(r)_{\overline{X}|D} \to j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X, \tag{8.13}$$

whose induced maps in cohomology will be called regulator maps to Betti cohomology.

Remark 8.8. By construction, we have the following commutative square of distinguished triangles in $D^-(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}})$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\epsilon^*\mathbb{Z}(r)_{\overline{X}|D} & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \epsilon^*\mathbb{Z}(r)_{\overline{X}|D}\oplus\epsilon^*\mathbb{Z}(r)_{\overline{X}|D} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \epsilon^*\mathbb{Z}(r)_{\overline{X}|D} & \xrightarrow{+} & \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_{\mathcal{D}}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_B\oplus\phi_{DR}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_B} & & \\
\mathbb{Z}(r)^{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{X}|D} & \longrightarrow & j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X\oplus\Omega^{\geq r}_{\overline{X}|D} & \longrightarrow & j_!\mathbb{C}_X & \xrightarrow{+} &
\end{array}$$

where Δ is the diagonal and δ is the difference of identity maps, and the lower distinguished triangle comes from Lemma 8.6.

9. The Abel-Jacobi map for relative Chow groups

9.1. **Relative intermediate Jacobians.**

9.1.1. We resume the setting of 8. Let X be a smooth variety over $\mathbb{C}$ equipped with an open embedding $X\hookrightarrow\overline{X}$ into a smooth proper variety $\overline{X}$ such that X is the complement of an effective Cartier divisor D, with D_{red} simple normal crossing. By definition, the relative Deligne complex (8.10) fits into the distinguished triangle in $D^b(X_{\mathrm{an}})$

$$\Omega^{<r}_{\overline{X}|D}[-1]\to\mathbb{Z}(r)^{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{X}|D}\to j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X\xrightarrow{+} \tag{9.1}$$

from which we get an exact sequence

$$0\to E^{q-1,r}_{\overline{X}|D}\to \mathrm{H}^q_{\mathcal{D}}(\overline{X}|D,\mathbb{Z}(r))\to\mathrm{H}^q(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}},j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X),$$

where $E^{q-1,r}_{\overline{X}|D}$ is defined to be the cokernel

$$E^{q,r}_{\overline{X}|D}=\mathrm{Coker}\big(\mathrm{H}^q(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}},j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X)\to\mathrm{H}^q(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}},\Omega^{<r}_{\overline{X}|D})\big).$$

By Theorem 8.5, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & \mathrm{H}^q_{\mathcal{M}}(\overline{X}|D,\mathbb{Z}(r)) & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi^{q,r}_{\mathcal{D}}} & \searrow{\scriptstyle \phi^{q,r}_B} & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & E^{q-1,r}_{\overline{X}|D} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^q_{\mathcal{D}}(\overline{X}|D,\mathbb{Z}(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^q(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}},j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X)
\end{array}$$

Thus we get the induced map

$$\rho^{q,r}_{\overline{X}|D}\colon \mathrm{H}^q_{\mathcal{M}}(\overline{X}|D,\mathbb{Z}(r))_{hom}\to E^{q-1,r}_{\overline{X}|D} \tag{9.2}$$

where $\mathrm{H}^q_{\mathcal{M}}(\overline{X}|D,\mathbb{Z}(r))_{hom}$ is, by definition, the Kernel of the regulator map to Betti cohomology $\phi^{q,r}_B$.

9.1.2. Particularly interesting is the case $q=2r$. We write $J^r_{\overline{X}|D}$ for $E^{2r-1,r}_{\overline{X}|D}$ and we call it the r-*th relative intermediate Jacobian* (for the pair $\overline{X},D$). By Theorem 3.3, we have a natural map

$$\mathrm{CH}^r(\overline{X}|D)\to\mathrm{H}^{2r}_{\mathcal{M}}(\overline{X}|D,\mathbb{Z}(r)),$$

where $\mathrm{CH}^r(\overline{X}|D)$ is the relative Chow group of codimension r cycles on $\overline{X}$. The morphism $\rho^{2r,r}_{\overline{X}|D}$ of (9.2) induces a map

$$\rho^r_{\overline{X}|D}:\mathrm{CH}^r(\overline{X}|D)_{hom}\to J^r_{\overline{X}|D} \tag{9.3}$$

that we call the *relative Abel-Jacobi map.*

Lemma 9.1. *Let the notation be as above and assume that D is a reduced normal crossing divisor on $\overline{X}$. Then the Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence*

$$E_1^{a,b} = \mathrm{H}^b(\overline{X}_{an}, \Omega^a_{\overline{X}|D}) \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{a+b}(\overline{X}_{an}, \Omega^\bullet_{\overline{X}|D}) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{a+b}(\overline{X}_{an}, j_!\mathbb{C}_X)$$

degenerates at the page E_1 and

$$F^r\mathrm{H}^*(\overline{X}_{an}, j_!\mathbb{C}_X) = \mathrm{H}^*(\overline{X}_{an}, \Omega^{\geq r}_{\overline{X}|D})$$

is the r-th Hodge filtration for the Hodge structure on $\mathrm{H}^*(\overline{X}_{an}, j_!\mathbb{Z}_X)$.

Proof This follows from the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 8.1, using again the standard exact sequences as in loc. cit.

$$0 \to j_!\mathbb{C}_X \to \mathbb{C}_{\overline{X}} \to (i_1)_*\mathbb{C}_{D^{[1]}} \to (i_2)_*\mathbb{C}_{D^{[2]}} \to \cdots,$$
$$0 \to \Omega^\bullet_{\overline{X}|D} \to \Omega^\bullet_{\overline{X}} \to (i_1)_*\Omega^\bullet_{D^{[1]}} \to (i_2)_*\Omega^\bullet_{D^{[2]}} \to \cdots.$$

9.1.3. Let $J^r_{\overline{X}|D_{red}}$ be defined as $J^r_{\overline{X}|D}$ with D replaced by D_{red}. By Lemma 9.1, we can write $J^r_{\overline{X}|D_{red}}$ as quotient

$$J^r_{\overline{X}|D_{red}} = \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, j_!\mathbb{C}_X)/F^r + \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X), \tag{9.4}$$

where $F^r = \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \Omega^{\geq r}_{\overline{X}|D_{red}})$ is the r-th Hodge filtration on $\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, j_!\mathbb{C}_X)$. By [8, Prop. 2], we further have

$$\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, j_!\mathbb{C}_X)/F^r + \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}_{MHS}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, j_!\mathbb{Z}(r)_X)).$$

For $r = 1$ or $\dim X$, $J^r_{\overline{X}|D_{red}}$ is an extension of the Jacobian $J^r_{\overline{X}}$ by a finite product of copies of $\mathbb{C}^\times$. In the intermediate case, the canonical map

$$J^r_{\overline{X}|D_{red}} \to J^r_{\overline{X}}$$

is not surjective in general, but $J^r_{\overline{X}|D_{red}}$ is still a non compact complex Lie group, extension of a complex torus by a product of copies of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ (see [8, Lemma 6]).

Remark 9.2. When D is not reduced, the relative intermediate Jacobian $J^r_{\overline{X}|D}$ still has an interpretation as an extension group, but this time in the category of enriched Hodge structure EHS defined by Bloch and Srinivas [6].

9.1.4. We note that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to U^r_{\overline{X}|D} \to J^r_{\overline{X}|D} \xrightarrow{\pi} J^r_{\overline{X}|D_{red}} \to 0, \tag{9.5}$$

where

$$U^r_{\overline{X}|D} = \mathrm{Ker}\big(\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \Omega^{\leq r}_{\overline{X}|D}) \to \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \Omega^{\leq r}_{\overline{X}|D_{red}})\big).$$

The only thing to check is the surjectivity of π, which is a consequence of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^q(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \Omega^\bullet_{\overline{X}|D}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^q(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \Omega^{\leq r}_{\overline{X}|D}) \\ \simeq\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{H}^q(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \Omega^\bullet_{\overline{X}|D_{red}}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathrm{H}^q(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \Omega^{\leq r}_{\overline{X}|D_{red}}) \end{array}$$

where the isomorphism comes from Lemma 6.1 and α is surjective by Lemma 9.1. Thus we may view the map (9.3) as the Abel-Jacobi map with $\mathbb{G}_a$-part. An analogous construction has been made in [12] and [6] for Chow groups for singular varieties.

9.2. **Universality of Abel-Jacobi maps for zero-cycles with moduli.** In this section we prove a universal property of the Abel-Jacobi maps for zero-cycles with moduli (see Theorem 9.5). It is an analogue of [12, Th.4.1] where a similar property is shown for Abel-Jacobi maps for zero-cycles on singular varieties. We also note that it is a Hodge theoretic analogue of [37, Th.3.29] (cf. also [27]).

9.2.1. Let the notation be as in §8.1 with $d = \dim(X)$. We consider the Abel-Jacobi map

$$\rho_{\overline{X}|D} : A_0(\overline{X}|D) \to J^d_{\overline{X}|D}, \tag{9.6}$$

where $A_0(\overline{X}|D) = \mathrm{CH}^d(\overline{X}|D)_{hom}$ is the degree-0 part of the Chow group $\mathrm{CH}_0(\overline{X}|D)$ of zero cycles with modulus D. Recall (cf. (3.3))

$$\mathrm{CH}_0(\overline{X}|D) = \mathrm{Coker}\big(\bigoplus_{C\in C_1^N(X)} G(\overline{C}, \gamma_C^* D) \xrightarrow{\delta} Z_0(X)\big), \tag{9.7}$$

where $C_1^N(X)$ is the set of the normalizations of integral closed curves on X, and for $C \in C_1^N(X)$, $\overline{C}$ is the smooth compactification of C with the natural morphism $\gamma_C : \overline{C} \to \overline{X}$, and $G(\overline{C}, \gamma_C^* D)$ is the subgroup of $\mathbb{C}(C)^\times$ defined as (3.1), and δ is induced by the divisor map on C and the pushforward map of zero cycles via γ_C.

9.2.2. By definition, we have

$$J^d_{\overline{X}|D} = \mathrm{Coker}\big(\mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, j_!\mathbb{Z}(d)_X) \to \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \Omega^{<d}_{\overline{X}|D})\big). \tag{9.8}$$

We endow $J^d_{\overline{X}|D}$ with the structure of a complex Lie group as a quotient of the finite-dimensional complex vector space $\mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \Omega^{<d}_{\overline{X}|D})$ by a discrete subgroup. By (9.5), we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to U^d_{\overline{X}|D} \to J^d_{\overline{X}|D} \xrightarrow{\pi} J^d_{\overline{X}|D_{red}} \to 0,$$

where $U^d_{\overline{X}|D}$ is a finite-dimensional complex vector space. By (9.4) we see that $J^d_{\overline{X}|D_{red}}$ is a semi-abelian variety, due to the fact that the non-zero Hodge numbers of

$$\mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, j_!\mathbb{Z}(d)_X)$$

are among $\{(-1,0),(0,-1),(-1,-1)\}$ (cf. [12, 3]).

Lemma 9.3. *$J^d_{\overline{X}|D}$ has a unique structure as a commutative algebraic group for which π is a morphism of algebraic groups.*

Proof This follows from the fact noted in [11, (10.1.3.3)] that the isomorphism classes of analytic and algebraic group extensions of an abelian variety by $\mathbb{G}_a$ or $\mathbb{G}_m$ coincide (see [12, Lem.3.1]).

Definition 9.4. Take a point $o \in X$ and define a map of sets

$$\iota_o : X \to A_0(\overline{X}|D)\ ;\ x \to \text{the class of } [x] - [o].$$

For a commutative algebraic group G, a homomorphism of abelian groups

$$\rho : A_0(\overline{X}|D) \to G$$

is called *regular* if $\rho \circ \iota_o : X \to G$ is a morphism of algebraic varieties.

The following theorem implies that $J^d_{\overline{X}|D}$ is the *universal regular quotient* of $A_0(\overline{X}|D)$.

Theorem 9.5. *Let the notation be as in 9.2.1.*

(1) *The map $\rho_{\overline{X}|D} : A_0(\overline{X}|D) \to J^d_{\overline{X}|D}$ is surjective and regular.*
(2) *For a regular map $\rho : A_0(\overline{X}|D) \to G$, there is a unique morphism $h_\rho : J^d_{\overline{X}|D} \to G$ of algebraic groups such that $\rho = h_\rho \circ \rho_{\overline{X}|D}$.*

Remark 9.6. (1) It is easy to see that the universality does not depend on the choice of the base point $o \in X$.
(2) By the same argument as [12, Lem.1.12], one can shows that the image of $\rho \circ \iota_o$ is contained in the connected component of G.
(3) Suppose that $\dim(X) = 1$. Then, by Lemma 9.7 below, $J^d_{\overline{X}|D}$ is the generalized Jacobian of $\overline{X}$ with modulus D. Thus $\rho_{\overline{X}|D}$ is an isomorphism and Theorem 9.5 in this case follows from [40, Ch.V Th.1].

9.3. **The proof of the universality theorem.**

9.3.1. We recall some basic facts on structure of a complex Lie groups. Let G be a connected commutative complex Lie group and $\Omega(G)$ be the space of the invariant holomorphic 1-forms on G. We have a natural isomorphism

$$\tau_G : G \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega(G)^\vee/\mathrm{H}_1(G,\mathbb{Z})\,;\; g \to \left\{\omega \to \int_e^g \omega\right\} \quad (g \in G,\ \omega \in \Omega(G)),$$

where $\mathrm{H}_1(G,\mathbb{Z}) \to \Omega(G)^\vee$ is given by integration of 1-forms over topological cycles, $e \in G$ is the unit and the integration is over a chosen path from e to x. Note that $\Omega(G)^\vee$ is the identified with the space $Lie(G)$ of the invariant vector fields on G and τ_G^{-1} is given by the exponential map $Lie(G) \to G$.

For a given morphism $f : M \to G$ of complex manifolds and a point $o \in M$ with $e = f(o)$, we have a formula

$$\tau_G(f(x)) = \left\{\omega \to \int_o^x f^*\omega\right\} \quad (x \in M,\ \omega \in \Omega(G)), \tag{9.9}$$

where $f^* : \Omega(G) \to \mathrm{H}^0(M,\Omega^1_M)$ is the pullback along f.

Lemma 9.7. *Put*

$$\Omega(\overline{X}|D) := \left\{\omega \in \mathrm{H}^0(\overline{X}_{an},\Omega^1_{\overline{X}}(D)) \mid d\omega = 0 \in \mathrm{H}^0(X_{an},\Omega^2_X)\right\}.$$

(1) *There is a canonical isomorphism of complex Lie groups*

$$\tau_{\overline{X}|D} : J^d_{\overline{X}|D} \simeq \Omega(\overline{X}|D)^\vee/\mathrm{Image}(\mathrm{H}_1(X_{an},\mathbb{Z})),$$

where $\mathrm{H}_1(X_{an},\mathbb{Z}) \to \Omega(\overline{X}|D)^\vee$ *is given by integration of* 1*-forms over topological cycles.*

(2) *Let* $\varphi_{\overline{X}|D} : X \to J^d_{\overline{X}|D}$ *be the composite of* $\rho_{\overline{X}|D}$ *and* ι_0*. Then*

$$\tau_{\overline{X}|D}(\varphi_{\overline{X}|D}(x)) = \left\{\omega \to \int_o^x \omega\right\} \in \Omega(\overline{X}|D)^\vee \quad (x \in X).$$

(3) *The pullback of holomorphic* 1*-forms by* $\varphi_{\overline{X}|D}$ *induces an isomorphism*

$$\varphi^*_{\overline{X}|D} : \Omega(J^d_{\overline{X}|D}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega(\overline{X}|D) \subset \mathrm{H}^0(X_{an},\Omega^1_X).$$

Proof Recall the definition of the Jacobian (9.8). By the Poincaré duality we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, j_!\mathbb{Z}(d)_X) \cong \mathrm{H}_1(X_{\mathrm{an}},\mathbb{Z}). \tag{9.10}$$

From the spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = \begin{cases} \mathrm{H}^q(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}},\Omega^p_{\overline{X}|D}) & \text{if } p < d \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{p+q}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}},\Omega^{<d}_{\overline{X}|D})$$

we get an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}},\Omega^{<d}_{\overline{X}|D}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Coker}\big(\mathrm{H}^d(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}},\Omega^{d-2}_{\overline{X}|D}) \xrightarrow{d} \mathrm{H}^d(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}},\Omega^{d-1}_{\overline{X}|D})\big).$$

Hence, by Serre duality, we have a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}},\Omega^{<d}_{\overline{X}|D})^\vee \cong \widetilde{\Omega}(\overline{X}|D),$$

where

$$\widetilde{\Omega}(\overline{X}|D) = \left\{\omega \in \mathrm{H}^0(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}},\Omega^1_{\overline{X}}(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(D')) \mid d\omega = 0 \in \mathrm{H}^0(X_{\mathrm{an}},\Omega^2_X)\right\}$$

and D' denotes the divisor $D - D_{red}$. Lemma 9.7(1) follows then from (9.10) and the following claim.

$$\widetilde{\Omega}(\overline{X}|D) = \Omega(\overline{X}|D). \tag{9.11}$$

To show (9.11), we may work locally at a point $x \in D$. Choose a system of regular parameters $(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_r, t_1 \dots, t_s)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ such that π_i are the local equations of the irreducible components D_i of D passing through x. Let n_i be the multiplicity of D_i in D. Then a local section ω of $\Omega^1_{\overline{X}}(D)$ at x is written as

$$\omega = \frac{\xi}{\pi_1^{n_1} \cdots \pi_r^{n_r}} \quad \text{with } \ \xi = \sum_{1 \le i \le r} a_i d\pi_i + \sum_{1 \le j \le s} b_j dt_j \quad (a_i, b_j \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}).$$

Put $\pi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_r$. If $\omega \in \Omega(\overline{X}|D)$, we have

$$0 = d\omega = \frac{1}{\pi_1^{n_1} \cdots \pi_r^{n_r}} \Big[- \sum_{1 \le l \le r} n_l \frac{d\pi_l}{\pi_l} \ \wedge \xi + d\xi \Big],$$

which implies

$$\eta := \sum_{1 \le l \le r} n_l \frac{d\pi_l}{\pi_l} \ \wedge \xi \ \in \Omega_{\overline{X},x}. \tag{9.12}$$

We compute

$$\eta = \sum_{1 \le i < l \le r} (n_l \pi_i a_i - n_i \pi_l a_l) \frac{d\pi_l}{\pi_l} \wedge \frac{d\pi_i}{\pi_i} \ + \sum_{1 \le l, j \le r} n_l b_j \frac{d\pi_l}{\pi_l} \wedge dt_j$$

Thus (9.12) implies b_j are divisible by π_l for all j, l and $n_l \pi_i a_i - n_i \pi_l a_l$ are divisible by $\pi_l \pi_i$ for all i, l. This implies that b_j and $\pi_i a_i$ are divisible by π for all i, j. Hence

$$\omega = \frac{1}{\pi_1^{n_1 - 1} \cdots \pi_r^{n_r - 1}} \big(\sum_{1 \le i \le r} a_i' \frac{d\pi_i}{\pi_i} + \sum_{1 \le j \le s} b_j' dt_j \big) \quad \text{with } b_j' = b_j / \pi, \ a_i' = \pi_i a_i / \pi \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$$

so that ω is a local section of $\Omega^1_{\overline{X}}(\log D)(D')$ at x. This proves (9.11) and the proof of Lemma 9.7(1) is complete.

We now prove Lemma 9.7(2). Suppose first that $\dim X = 1$, i.e. that $\overline{X}$ is a smooth complex connected projective curve. In this case we have

$$\mathbb{Z}(1)^{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{X}|D} = j_! \mathbb{Z}(1)_X \to \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(-D), \tag{9.13}$$

where $j \colon X = \overline{X} \setminus D \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ is the open immersion. The long exact sequence arising from (9.13) gives an exact sequence

$$0 \to J^1_{\overline{X}|D} \to \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathcal{D}}(\overline{X}|D, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0,$$

where $J^1_{\overline{X}|D} = H^1(\overline{X}_{\rm an}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(-D)) / H^1(\overline{X}_{\rm an}, j_! \mathbb{Z}(1)_X)$ and we used the trace isomorphism

$$H^2(\overline{X}_{\rm an}, j_! \mathbb{Z}(1)_X) \cong \mathbb{Z}. \tag{9.14}$$

Let $Z_0(X)$ be the group of 0-cycles on X. According to Theorem 8.5 (where one takes $F = \emptyset$ and $Y = \overline{X}$), we have defined the fundamental class

$$cl^1_{\mathcal{D}}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{H}^2_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\rm an}, \mathbb{Z}(1)^{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{X}|D}) \quad \text{for } \alpha \in Z_0(X) \tag{9.15}$$

as the unique element which maps to the pair $(cl^1_B(\alpha), cl^1_{DR}(\alpha))$ in

$$\mathrm{H}^2_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\rm an}, j_! \mathbb{Z}(1)) \oplus \mathbb{H}^2_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\rm an}, \Omega^{\ge 1}_{\overline{X}|D}) = \mathrm{H}^2_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\rm an}, j_! \mathbb{Z}(1)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^1_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\rm an}, \Omega^1_{\overline{X}|D}).$$

This gives us a homomorphism

$$cl_{\mathcal{D}} : Z_0(X) \to \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathcal{D}}(\overline{X}|D, \mathbb{Z}(1)) = \mathbb{H}^{2r}(\overline{X}_{\rm an}, \mathbb{Z}(1)^{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{X}|D})$$

which maps α to the image of $cl^1_{\mathcal{D}}(\alpha)$ under $\mathrm{H}^2_{\mathcal{D},|\alpha|}(\overline{X}|D,\mathbb{Z}(1)) \to \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathcal{D}}(\overline{X}|D,\mathbb{Z}(1))$, the forget support map. By the definition (9.3) we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_0(X)_{\deg 0} & \xrightarrow{cl_{\mathcal{D}}} & \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathcal{D}}(\overline{X}|D,\mathbb{Z}(1)) \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathrm{CH}^1(\overline{X}|D)_{hom} & \xrightarrow{\rho^1_{\overline{X}|D}} & J^1_{\overline{X}|D} \end{array} \tag{9.16}$$

To compute $cl_{\mathcal{D}}$ we use an isomorphism

$$\exp : \mathbb{Z}(1)^{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{X}|D} \cong \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{\overline{X}|D}[-1] \quad \text{in} \quad D^b(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}), \tag{9.17}$$

which is induced by the exponential sequence

$$0 \to j_!\mathbb{Z}(1)_X \to \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}|D} \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{\overline{X}|D} \to 0 \tag{9.18}$$

in view of (9.13). The composite map

$$Z_0(X) \xrightarrow{cl_{\mathcal{D}}} \mathbb{H}^{2r}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Z}(1)^{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{X}|D}) \xrightarrow{\exp} H^1(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{\overline{X}|D})$$

is computed as follows: Let $\mathcal{K}^{\times}_{\overline{X}|D}$ be the subsheaf of the constant sheaf of rational functions on $\overline{X}$ that are congruent to 1 modulo D. We have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{div}_X : \mathrm{H}^0(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathcal{K}^{\times}_{\overline{X}|D}/\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{\overline{X}|D}) \xrightarrow{\cong} Z_0(X),$$

given by taking the divisors of rational functions on X. This gives us a map

$$\mathcal{L} : Z_0(X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{div}_X^{-1}} \mathrm{H}^0(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathcal{K}^{\times}_{\overline{X}|D}/\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{\overline{X}|D}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathrm{H}^1(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{\overline{X}|D}), \tag{9.19}$$

where ∂ is the boundary map arising from the exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{\overline{X}|D} \to \mathcal{K}^{\times}_{\overline{X}|D} \to \mathcal{K}^{\times}_{\overline{X}|D}/\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{\overline{X}|D} \to 1. \tag{9.20}$$

Lemma 9.8. *We have* $\exp \circ cl_{\mathcal{D}} = \mathcal{L}$.

Lemma 9.9. *Consider the composite map*

$$\epsilon : H^0(\overline{X}, \Omega^1_{\overline{X}|D})^{\vee} \cong H^1(\overline{X}_{an}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(-D)) \xrightarrow{\exp} H^1(\overline{X}_{an}, \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{\overline{X}|D}).$$

where the first isomorphism is due to the Serre duality and the second map is induced by (9.18). *Take points* $x, o \in X$ *and consider*

$$\gamma_{[o,x]} = \left\{ \omega \to \int_o^x \omega \right\} \in \Omega(\overline{X}|D)^{\vee}/\mathrm{H}_1(X_{an}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Then we have $\epsilon(\gamma_{[o,x]}) = \mathcal{L}([x]-[o])$ *with* $[x]-[o] \in Z_0(X)$.

Note that in case $\dim(X) = 1$, we have $\Omega(\overline{X}|D) = H^0(\overline{X}, \Omega^1_{\overline{X}|D})$ and also a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(-D)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{H}^0(\overline{X}, \Omega^1_{\overline{X}|D})^{\vee} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathrm{H}^1(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, j_!\mathbb{Z}(1)_X) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{H}_1(X_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

where the lower isomorphism is due to Poincaré duality and the right vertical map is given by integration on topological 1-cycles. Hence Lemma 9.7(2) in case $\dim(X) = 1$ follows from (9.16) and Lemmas 9.8 and 9.9.

Proof of Lemma 9.9: Let γ be a path in X from o to x. Let $V = \overline{X} \setminus \gamma$ be the complement of γ in $\overline{X}$. Let t_x (resp. t_o) be a holomorphic function having a simple zero on x (resp. on o) defined on a small neighborhood of x (resp. o). Let U be an open neighborhood of γ, disjoint from D, such that the function $g = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\log(\frac{t_x}{t_o})$ is single valued on $V \cap U$. Then the cocycle $\{V \cap U, \frac{t_x}{t_o}\} \in \mathrm{H}^1(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{\overline{X}|D})$ represents the element $\mathcal{L}([x]-[o])$. By multiplying by a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-function f, that we can choose identically 0 on D and identically 1 on neighborhood of γ containing U, we can consider a $\overline{\partial}$-closed form

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\overline{\partial} f \log\left(\frac{t_x}{t_o}\right)$$

of type $(0,1)$, representing a lifting of the class of g in $\mathrm{H}^1(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(-D))/\mathrm{H}^1(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, j_!\mathbb{Z}(1)_X)$ (we are using the fact that one can compute the cohomology group $\mathrm{H}^1(\overline{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(-D))$ by means of Dolbeault cohomology). This gives rise to an element in $\Omega(\overline{X}|D)^\vee/\mathrm{H}_1(X_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Z})$

$$\Omega(\overline{X}|D) \ni \omega \mapsto \int_{\overline{X}} \alpha \wedge \omega$$

and it suffices to show that

$$\int_{\overline{X}} \alpha \wedge \omega = \int_o^x \omega$$

for every form $\omega \in \Omega(\overline{X}|D)$. For $\epsilon > 0$, let Γ_ϵ denote a tubular neighborhood of γ of radius ϵ. Since ω is d-closed, we have

$$\alpha \wedge \omega = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\overline{\partial} f \log\left(\frac{t_x}{t_o}\right) \wedge \omega = \frac{1}{2\pi i} d\left(f \log\left(\frac{t_x}{t_o}\right)\omega\right)$$

on $\overline{X} \setminus \Gamma_\epsilon$. By Stokes theorem we get then

$$\int_{\overline{X}} \alpha \wedge \omega = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Gamma_\epsilon} \alpha \wedge \omega = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial \Gamma_\epsilon} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log\left(\frac{t_x}{t_o}\right)\omega$$

where we used the fact that f is 1 on Γ_ϵ (for ϵ sufficiently small). By cutting down the boundary $\partial\Gamma_\epsilon$ into pieces, one finally sees that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial \Gamma_\epsilon} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log\left(\frac{t_x}{t_o}\right)\omega = \int_o^x \omega$$

completing the proof of the Lemma.

Proof of Lemma 9.8: Take $\alpha \in Z_0(X)$. Note

$$\mathrm{div}_X^{-1}(\alpha) \in \mathrm{H}^0_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathcal{K}^\times_{\overline{X}|D}/\mathcal{O}^\times_{\overline{X}|D})$$

since the restriction of $\mathrm{div}_X^{-1}(\alpha)$ to $\mathrm{H}^0(\overline{X} - |\alpha|, \mathcal{K}^\times_{\overline{X}|D}/\mathcal{O}^\times_{\overline{X}|D})$ vanishes. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{H}^0_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathcal{K}^\times_{\overline{X}|D}/\mathcal{O}^\times_{\overline{X}|D}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \mathrm{H}^1_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathcal{O}^\times_{\overline{X}|D}) & \xleftarrow{\exp} & \mathbb{H}^2_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Z}(1)^{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{X}|D}) \\
\downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \iota \\
\mathrm{H}^0(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathcal{K}^\times_{\overline{X}|D}/\mathcal{O}^\times_{\overline{X}|D}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \mathrm{H}^1(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathcal{O}^\times_{\overline{X}|D}) & \xleftarrow{\exp} & \mathbb{H}^2(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Z}(1)^{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{X}|D}).
\end{array}$$

Thus it suffices to show (cf. (9.15))

$$\partial(\mathrm{div}_X^{-1}(\alpha)) = \exp(cl^1_{\mathcal{D}}(\alpha)) \in \mathrm{H}^1_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathcal{O}^\times_{\overline{X}|D}).$$

For this we first note that the composite map

$$\mathbb{H}^2_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Z}(1)^{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{X}|D}) \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathrm{H}^1_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathcal{O}^\times_{\overline{X}|D}) \xrightarrow{d\log} H^1_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \Omega^1_{\overline{X}|D})$$

coincides with the map induced by the map $\mathbb{Z}(1)^{\mathcal{D}}_{\overline{X}|D} \to \Omega^{\geq 1}_{\overline{X}|D} = \Omega^1_{\overline{X}|D}[-1]$ from Lemma 8.6. Hence $d\log(\exp(cl^1_{\mathcal{D}}(\alpha)))) = cl^1_{DR}(\alpha) \in \mathrm{H}^1_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathcal{O}^\times_{\overline{X}|D})$. Hence the desired claim follows from the following.

Claim 9.10. We have

(1) $d\log : H^1_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\rm an}, \mathcal{O}^\times_{\overline{X}|D}) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\rm an}, \Omega^1_{\overline{X}|D})$ is injective.

(2) $d\log(\partial(\mathrm{div}_X^{-1}(\alpha))) = cl^1_{DR}(\alpha) \in \mathrm{H}^1_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\rm an}, \mathcal{O}^\times_{\overline{X}|D})$.

To show (1), we consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^1_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\rm an}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(-D)) & \xrightarrow{\exp} & \mathrm{H}^1_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\rm an}, \mathcal{O}^\times_{\overline{X}|D}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^2_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\rm an}, j_!\mathbb{Z}(1)_X) \\
 & \downarrow = & & \downarrow d\log & & \downarrow \\
0 \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^1_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\rm an}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(-D)) & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathrm{H}^1_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\rm an}, \Omega^1_{\overline{X}|D}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^2_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\rm an}, j_!\mathbb{C}_X)
\end{array}$$

where the horizontal sequences are exact arising from (9.18) and the exact sequence

$$0 \to j_!\mathbb{C}_X \to \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(-D) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1_{\overline{X}|D} \to 0.$$

The injectivity of the first map in the upper (resp. lower) sequence follows from the vanishing of $\mathrm{H}^1_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\rm an}, j_!\mathbb{Z}(1)_X)$ (resp. $\mathrm{H}^1_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\rm an}, j_!\mathbb{C}_X)$) by semi-purity. Thus (1) follows from the injectivity of the right vertical map due to the trace isomorphism (9.14).

To show (2) take a sufficiently small open $U \subset X = \overline{X} - |D|$ such that $|\alpha| \subset U$ and that there is $f \in \Gamma(U - |\alpha|, \mathcal{O}^\times_U)$ such that $\alpha = \mathrm{div}_U(f)$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{H}^0_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\rm an}, \mathcal{K}^\times_{\overline{X}|D}/\mathcal{O}^\times_{\overline{X}|D}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \mathrm{H}^1_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\rm an}, \mathcal{O}^\times_{\overline{X}|D}) & \xrightarrow{d\log} & \mathrm{H}^1_{|\alpha|}(\overline{X}_{\rm an}, \Omega^1_{\overline{X}|D}) \\
\downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\
\mathrm{H}^0_{|\alpha|}(U, \mathcal{K}^\times_U/\mathcal{O}^\times_U) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \mathrm{H}^1_{|\alpha|}(U, \mathcal{O}^\times_U) & \xrightarrow{d\log} & \mathrm{H}^1_{|\alpha|}(U, \Omega^1_U) \\
 & \nwarrow \psi & \uparrow \delta & & \uparrow \delta \\
 & & \mathrm{H}^0(U - |\alpha|, \mathcal{O}^\times_U) & \xrightarrow{d\log} & \mathrm{H}^0(U - |\alpha|, \Omega^1_U)
\end{array}$$

where the vertical maps in the upper column are isomorphisms by excision, δ is a boundary map in the localization sequence associated to $\tau : U - |\alpha| \hookrightarrow U$, and δ is induced by the inclusion $\tau_*\mathcal{O}^\times_{U-|\alpha|} \to \mathcal{K}^\times_U$. By definition we have $\psi(f) = \mathrm{div}_X^{-1}(\alpha)$. Hence Claim 9.10(2) follows from the fact $\delta(d\log f) = cl^1_{DR}(\alpha)$, which follows from (5.2). This completes the proof of Claim 9.10 and Lemma 9.7(2) for $\dim(X) = 1$.

For $\dim \overline{X} > 1$, the assertion follows from the covariant functoriality of the cycle class maps (7.10) and (8.11) for proper morphisms of pairs. Finally Lemma 9.7(3) follows from (2).

Lemma 9.11. *Let $\rho : A_0(\overline{X}|D) \to G$ be a regular map with G connected and $\psi_\rho : X \to G$ be the composite of ρ and ι_o (see Definition 9.4). Then $\Omega(G) \to \mathrm{H}^0(X_{an}, \Omega^1_X)$, the pullback map on holomorphic 1-forms, induces*

$$\psi_\rho^* : \Omega(G) \to \Omega(\overline{X}|D).$$

The proof of this Lemma will be given later. In view of (9.9), Lemma 9.11 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 9.12. *Under the notation of Lemma 9.11, we have $\rho = h_\rho \circ \rho_{\overline{X}|D}$, where $h_\rho : J^d_{\overline{X}|D} \to G$ is the morphism of algebraic groups defined by the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
J^d_{\overline{X}|D} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \Omega(\overline{X}|D)^\vee/\mathrm{Image}(\mathrm{H}_1(X_{an}, \mathbb{Z})) \\
h_\rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_\rho \\
G & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \Omega(G)/\mathrm{H}_1(G_{an}, \mathbb{Z})
\end{array}$$

where λ_ρ is induced by ψ_ρ^* in Lemma 9.11 and $\psi_{\rho_*} : \mathrm{H}_1(X_{an}, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}_1(G_{an}, \mathbb{Z})$.

We need some preliminaries for the proof of Lemma 9.11.

Lemma 9.13. *Let $\rho : A_0(\overline{X}|D) \to G$ be a regular map with G connected. Let $\overline{C}$ be a smooth projective curve and $\gamma : \overline{C} \to \overline{X}$ be a morphism such that $C = \gamma^{-1}(X)$ is not empty. Take $o \in C$ and write o also for its image in X. Consider the composite map*

$$\psi : C \xrightarrow{\gamma} X \xrightarrow{\iota_o} A_0(\overline{X}|D) \xrightarrow{\rho} G.$$

Then the image of $\psi^* : \Omega(G) \to \mathrm{H}^0(C, \Omega^1_C)$ is contained in $\mathrm{H}^0(\overline{C}, \Omega^1_{\overline{C}}(\gamma^* D))$.

Proof Put $\mathfrak{m} = \gamma^* D$ and let $\rho_{\overline{C}|\mathfrak{m}} : A_0(\overline{C}|\mathfrak{m}) \to J^1_{\overline{C}|\mathfrak{m}}$ be the Abel-Jacobi map for the curve $\overline{C}$ with modulus $\mathfrak{m}$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C & \xrightarrow{\iota_o} & A_0(\overline{C}|\mathfrak{m}) & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle\gamma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\gamma_*} & & \\ X & \xrightarrow{\iota_o} & A_0(\overline{X}|D) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & G \end{array}$$

where the right vertical map is induced by $\gamma_* : Z_0(C) \to Z_0(X)$ (see (9.7)). By the assumption that ρ is regular, $\rho \circ \gamma_* : A_0(\overline{C}|\mathfrak{m}) \to G$ is also regular. By Remark 9.6(3) we know that the generalized Jacobian $J^1_{\overline{C}|\mathfrak{m}}$ is universal, so that there exists a morphism $h : J^1_{\overline{C}|\mathfrak{m}} \to G$ of algebraic groups such that $\rho \circ \gamma_* = h \circ \rho_{\overline{C}|\mathfrak{m}}$. Hence ψ factors as

$$\psi : C \xrightarrow{\varphi} J^1_{\overline{C}|\mathfrak{m}} \xrightarrow{h} G,$$

where φ is the composite $C \xrightarrow{\iota_o} A_0(\overline{C}|\mathfrak{m}) \xrightarrow{\rho_{\overline{C}|\mathfrak{m}}} J^1_{\overline{C}|\mathfrak{m}}$. Hence ψ^* in the lemma factors as

$$\Omega(G) \xrightarrow{h^*} \Omega(J^1_{\overline{C}|\mathfrak{m}}) \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} \mathrm{H}^0(C, \Omega^1_C).$$

Now the lemma follows from the fact (cf. [40, Ch.V Prop.5] and Lemma 9.7(3)) that

$$\varphi^*(\Omega(J^1_{\overline{C}|\mathfrak{m}})) = \mathrm{H}^0(\overline{C}, \Omega^1_{\overline{C}}(\mathfrak{m})) \subset \mathrm{H}^0(C, \Omega^1_C).$$

Lemma 9.14. *Let the notation be as in* (9.7)*. The restriction map*

$$\theta : \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega^1_X)/\mathrm{H}^0(\overline{X}, \Omega^1_{\overline{X}}(D)) \to \prod_{C \in C_1^N(X)} \mathrm{H}^0(C, \Omega^1_C)/\mathrm{H}^0(\overline{C}, \Omega^1_{\overline{C}}(\gamma_C^* D))$$

is injective.

Proof Let Z be an irreducible component of D. It suffices to show the map

$$\mathrm{H}^0(\overline{X}, \Omega^1_{\overline{X}}(D+Z))/\mathrm{H}^0(\overline{X}, \Omega^1_{\overline{X}}(D)) \xrightarrow{\theta} \prod_{C \in C_1(X)} \mathrm{H}^0(\overline{C}, \Omega^1_{\overline{C}}(\gamma_C^*(D+Z)))/\mathrm{H}^0(\overline{C}, \Omega^1_{\overline{C}}(\gamma_C^* D)).$$

is injective. Let $\omega \in \mathrm{H}^0(\overline{X}, \Omega^1_{\overline{X}}(D+Z))$ such that $\theta(\omega) = 0$. We want to show $\omega \in \mathrm{H}^0(\overline{X}, \Omega^1_{\overline{X}}(D))$. Since $\Omega^1_{\overline{X}}(D+Z)/\Omega^1_{\overline{X}}(D)$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_Z$-module, it suffices to show $\omega_{|U} \in \mathrm{H}^0(U, \Omega^1_{\overline{X}}(D))$ for some open subset $U \subset \overline{X}$ with $U \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. Choose an affine open $U = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ satisfying the following conditions:

(♠1) $Z_U := Z \cap U \neq \emptyset$ and $U \cap Z' = \emptyset$ for any component $Z' \neq Z$ of D.

(♠2) There exists a regular system of parameters $\pi, t_1 \dots, t_r$ in A, with $r = d-1$, such that $Z_U = \mathrm{Spec}(A/(\pi))$ and

$$\mathrm{H}^0(U, \Omega^1_{\overline{X}}) = A d\pi \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \le i \le r} A dt_i.$$

Let n be the multiplicity of Z in D. We can write

$$\omega_{|U} = \frac{1}{\pi^{n+1}}(ad\pi + \bigoplus_{1\le i\le r} b_i dt_i) \quad \text{with } a, b_i \in A.$$

Assume, by contradiction, that a is not divisible by π in A. Then we can take a closed point $x \in Z_U$ such that $a \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^\times$. Consider the ideal

$$I = (t_1 - t_1(x), \dots, t_r - t_r(x)) \subset A,$$

where for a section $f \in A$, $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}$ denotes the residue class at the point x. By construction, there exists a unique irreducible component $W \subset U$ of $\mathrm{Spec}(A/I)$ passing through x. The condition (♠2) above implies then that $\dim W = 1$ and that W is regular at x. Let $\overline{C}$ be the normalization of the closure of W in $\overline{X}$. Then $\overline{\pi} = \pi \bmod I$ is a local parameter of $\overline{C}$ at x. By definition, the pullback of ω to $\overline{C}$ is written locally at x as

$$\omega_{|\overline{C}} = \frac{1}{\pi^{n+1}}\overline{a}d\overline{\pi} \quad (\overline{a} = a \bmod I).$$

Now recall that, by assumption, we have $\omega_{|\overline{C}} \in \mathrm{H}^0(\overline{C}, \Omega^1_{\overline{C}}(\gamma_C^* D))$. On the other hand, $\overline{a} \in \mathcal{O}_{\overline{C},x}^\times$ since $a \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^\times$. This is a contradiction and so a must be divisible by π.

We repeat the same argument: if b_1 is not divisible π in A, we can take a closed point $x \in Z_U$ such that $b_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^\times$. Considering this time the ideal

$$I' = (t_1 - t_1(x) - \pi, t_2 - t_2(x), \dots, t_r - t_r(x)) \subset A,$$

we get in the same way a contradiction, proving that also b_1 must be divisible π. Iterating the proof for b_i with $i \ge 2$, we finally have that the restriction $\omega_{|U}$ belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0(U, \Omega^1_{\overline{X}}(D))$, completing the proof.

Proof of Lemma 9.11: Since an invariant differential form on a commutative Lie group is closed, it suffices to show the image of $\psi_\rho^* : \Omega(G) \to \mathrm{H}^0(X_{\mathrm{an}}, \Omega^1_X)$ is contained in $\mathrm{H}^0(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \Omega^1_{\overline{X}}(D))$. The assertion follows then from Lemma 9.13 and Lemma 9.14.

We can finally proof the main Theorem of this section

Proof of Theorem 9.5: Theorem 9.5(2) follows from Corollary 9.12 and Remark 9.6(2). We are left to show Theorem 9.5(1). Let $\varphi_{\overline{X}|D} : X \to J^d_{\overline{X}|D}$ be as Lemma 9.7(2). By loc.cit, it is analytic. One can then show that it is a morphism of algebraic varieties by the same argument as in the proof of [12, Th.4.1(i)].

It remains to show the surjectivity of $\rho_{\overline{X}|D}$. Let $C \in C_1^N(X)$ and put $\mathfrak{m} = \gamma_C^* D$. By Lemma 9.7 we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
A_0(\overline{C}|\mathfrak{m}) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\rho_{\overline{C}|\mathfrak{m}}} & J^1_{\overline{C}|\mathfrak{m}} & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\tau_{\overline{C}|\mathfrak{m}}} & \Omega(\overline{C}|\mathfrak{m})^\vee/Image(\mathrm{H}_1(C_{an}, \mathbb{Z})) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_{C*}} & & & & \downarrow \\
A_0(\overline{X}|D) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\overline{X}|D}} & J^d_{\overline{X}|D} & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\tau_{\overline{X}|D}} & \Omega(\overline{X}|D)^\vee/Image(\mathrm{H}_1(X_{an}, \mathbb{Z}))
\end{array}$$

where the right vertical map is induced by the pullback $\gamma_C^* : \Omega(\overline{X}|D) \to \Omega(\overline{C}|\mathfrak{m})$, and $\rho_{\overline{C}|\mathfrak{m}}$ is an isomorphism by Remark 9.6(3). Noting that $\mathrm{H}^0(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{an}}, \Omega^1_{\overline{X}}(D))$ is of finite dimension, the argument of the proof of Lemma 9.14 shows that there is a finite subset $\{C_i\}_{i\in I} \subset C_1^N(X)$ such that the pullback map

$$\Omega(\overline{X}|D) \to \bigoplus_{i\in I} \Omega(\overline{C}_i|\gamma_{C_i}^* D)$$

is injective. From the above diagram, this implies the composite map

$$\bigoplus_{i\in I} A_0(\overline{C}_i|\gamma_{C_i}^* D) \to A_0(\overline{X}|D) \xrightarrow{\rho_{\overline{X}|D}} J^d_{\overline{X}|D}$$

is surjective and hence so is $\rho_{\overline{X}|D}$. This completes the proof.

References

[1] Spencer Bloch and Hélène Esnault, "The additive dilogarithm", *Documenta Mathematica,* (Extra Vol.): 131–155 (electronic), 2003. Kazuya Kato's fiftieth birthday

[2] Spencer Bloch and Hélène Esnault, "An additive version of higher Chow groups", *Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, volume 36, pages 463–477. Elsevier, 2003.

[3] Aleksandr Beilinson, "Height pairing between algebraic cycles", *Current trends in arithmetical algebraic geometry (Arcata, Calif., 1985)*, volume 67, Contemp. Math., pages 1–24. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.

[4] Spencer Bloch, "Algebraic cycles and the Beĭlinson conjectures", *The Lefschetz centennial conference, Part I (Mexico City, 1984)*, volume 58, Contemp. Math., pages 65–79. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.

[5] Spencer Bloch, Letter to Bruno Kahn, October 2001.

[6] Spencer Bloch and Vaseduvan Srinivas, "Enriched Hodge Structures". *Proc. Int. Coll. on Algebra, Arithmetic and Geometry, Mumbai*, pages 171–184, 2000.

[7] Luca Barbieri-Viale and Vasudevan Srinivas, "Albanese and Picard 1-motives", *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.)* (87): vi+104, 2001.

[8] James A. Carlson, "Extensions of mixed Hodge structures", *Journées de geométrie algébrique d'Angers*, 1980: 107-128, 1979.

[9] Brian Conrad, "Grothendieck duality and base change", *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1750. Springer, 2000.

[10] Pierre Deligne, "Équations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers", *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 163. Springer, 1970.

[11] Pierre Deligne, "Théorie de Hodge. II", *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (40): 5-57, 1971.

[12] Hélène Esnault, Vasudevan Srinivas and Eckart Viehweg, "The universal regular quotient of the Chow group of points on projective varieties", *Inventiones mathematicae*, 135(3): 595–664. Springer, 1999.

[13] Hélène Esnault and Eckart Viehweg, "Deligne-Beĭlinson cohomology", *Beĭlinson's conjectures on special values of L-functions*, volume 4 of Perspect. Math., pages 43–91. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.

[14] Hélène Esnault and Eckart Viehweg, "Lectures on vanishing theorems", *DMV Seminar* volume 20, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992.

[15] Hélène Esnault, Olivier Wittenberg and Spencer Bloch, "On the cycle class map for zero-cycles over local fields", arXiv:1305.1182, 2014.

[16] Fouad El Zein, "Complexe dualisant et applications à la classe fondamentale d'un cycle", *Mémoires de la Société Mathématique de France* volume 58: 1–66, 1978.

[17] Eric M. Friedlander and Andrei Suslin, "The spectral sequence relating algebraic K-theory to motivic cohomology", *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* 35(6): 773–875, 2002.

[18] William Fulton, "Intersection theory", *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3)* volume 2. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.

[19] Alexander Grothendieck, "The cohomology theory of abstract algebraic varieties", *1960 Proc. Internat. Congress Math.(Edinburgh, 1958)*, pages 103–118, 1958.

[20] Alexander Grothendieck, "Local Cohomology", *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 41. Springer, 1967.

[21] Michel Gros, "Classes de Chern et classes de cycles en cohomologie de Hodge-Witt logarithmique", *Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.)*, (21):87, 1985.

[22] Robin Hartshorne, "Residues and duality", Lecture notes of a seminar on the work of A. Grothendieck, given at Harvard 1963/64. With an appendix by P. Deligne, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 20. Springer, 1966.

[23] Robin Hartshorne, "On the De Rham cohomology of algebraic varieties", *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (45): 6–99, 1975.

[24] Kazuya Kato, "Logarithmic structures of Fontaine-Illusie", *Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory (Baltimore, MD, 1988)*, pages 191–224, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 1989

[25] Amalendu Krishna and Marc Levine, "Additive higher Chow groups of schemes", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 619: 75–140, 2008.

[26] Amalendu Krishna and Jinhyun Park, "Moving lemma for additive higher Chow groups", *Algebra and Number Theory*, 6(2): 293–326, 2012.

[27] Kazuya Kato and Henrik Russell, "Albanese varieties with modulus and Hodge theory", *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 62(2): 783–806, 2012.

[28] Moritz Kerz and Shuji Saito, "Chow group of 0-cycles with modulus and higher dimensional class field theory", arXiv:1304.4400, 2014.

[29] Kazuya Kato, Shuji Saito and Kanetomo Sato, "p-adic vanishing cycles and p-adic étale Tate twists on generalized semistable families", preprint, 2014.

[30] Bruno Kahn, Shuji Saito and Takao Yamazaki, "Reciprocity Sheaves, I" (with an Appendix by Kay Rülling), arXiv:1402.4201, 2014.

[31] Marc Levine, "Mixed motives", *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, volume 57, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

[32] Marc Levine, "The homotopy coniveau tower", *J. Topol.*, (1): 217–267, 2008.
[33] Marc Levine, "Smooth motives", *Motives and algebraic cycles, Fields Inst. Commun.*, volume 56, 175–231, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
[34] Matthew Morrow, "Pro cdh-descent for cyclic homology and K-theory", arXiv:1211.1813, 2014.
[35] Jinhyun Park, "Regulators on additive higher Chow groups", *Amer. J. Math.* 131(1): 257–276, 2009.
[36] Kay Rülling, "The generalized de Rham-Witt complex over a field is a complex of zero-cycles", *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 16(1): 109–169, 2007.
[37] Henrik Russell, "Albanese varieties with modulus over a perfect field", *Algebra and Number Theory* 7(4): 853–892, 2013.
[38] Kanetomo Sato, "Logarithmic Hodge-Witt sheaves on normal crossing varieties", *Math. Z.* 257(4):707–743, 2007.
[39] Kanetomo Sato, "Cycle classes for p-adic étale Tate twists and the image of p-adic regulators", arXiv:1004.1357, 2012.
[40] Jean-Pierre Serre, "Groupes algébriques et corps de classes", *Publications de l'Institut Mathématique de l'Université de Nancago*, Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, volume 7, Hermann, Paris, 1959.
[41] Vladimir Voevodsky, "Cohomological theory of presheaves with transfers", *Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories*, volume 143 of Ann. of Math. Stud., 87–137. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000.
[42] Vladimir Voevodsky, "Motivic cohomology groups are isomorphic to higher Chow groups in any characteristic", *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (7):351–355, 2002.

Federico Binda, Universität Duisburg-Essen, Thea-Leymann Strasse 9, 45127 Essen, Germany
E-mail address: federico.binda@uni-due.de

Shuji Saito, Interactive Research Center of Science, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Ookayama, Meguro, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan
E-mail address: sshuji@msb.biglobe.ne.jp