

# RECIPROCITY SHEAVES AND LOGARITHMIC MOTIVES

SHUJI SAITO

## CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Preliminaries	5
2. Purity with reduced modulus	10
3. Review on higher local symbols	14
4. Logarithmic cohomology of reciprocity sheaves	17
5. Invariance of logarithmic cohomology under blowups	23
6. Relation with logarithmic sheaves with transfers	28
References	31

ABSTRACT. We connect two developments aiming at extending Voevodsky’s theory of motives over a field in such a way to encompass non- $\mathbf{A}^1$ -invariant phenomena. One is theory of *reciprocity sheaves* introduced by Kahn-Saito-Yamazaki. Another is theory of the triangulated category  $\mathbf{logDM}^{\text{eff}}$  of *logarithmic motives* launched by Binda, Park and Østvær. We prove that the Nisnevich cohomology of reciprocity sheaves is representable in  $\mathbf{logDM}^{\text{eff}}$ .

## INTRODUCTION

We fix once and for all a perfect base field  $k$ . The main purpose of this paper is to connect two developments aiming at extending Voevodsky’s theory of motives over  $k$  in such a way to encompass non- $\mathbf{A}^1$ -invariant phenomena. One is the theory of *reciprocity sheaves* introduced by Kahn-Saito-Yamazaki ([6] and [7]) and developed in [15] and [3]. Voevodsky’s theory is based on the category  $\mathbf{PST}$  of *presheaves with transfers*, defined as the category of additive presheaves of abelian groups on the category  $\mathbf{Cor}$  of finite correspondences:  $\mathbf{Cor}$  has the same objects as the category  $\mathbf{Sm}$  of separated smooth schemes of finite type over  $k$  and morphisms in  $\mathbf{Cor}$  are finite correspondences.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14F42 (14F06, 14C25, 14A21).

*Key words and phrases.* motives, reciprocity sheaves, logarithmic geometry.

The author is supported by the JSPS KAKENHI Grant (20H01791).

Let  $\mathbf{NST} \subset \mathbf{PST}$  be the full subcategory of Nisnevich sheaves, i.e. those objects  $F \in \mathbf{PST}$  whose restrictions  $F_X$  to the small étale site  $X_{\text{ét}}$  over  $X$  are Nisnevich sheaves for all  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$ . Voevodsky proved that  $\mathbf{NST}$  is a Grothendieck abelian category and defined the triangulated category  $\mathbf{DM}^{\text{eff}}$  of effective motives as the localization of the derived category  $D(\mathbf{NST})$  of complexes in  $\mathbf{NST}$  with respect to an  $\mathbf{A}^1$ -weak equivalence (see [9, Def. 14.1]). It is equipped with a functor  $M : \mathbf{Sm} \rightarrow \mathbf{DM}^{\text{eff}}$  associating the motive  $M(X)$  of  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}} \subset \mathbf{NST}$  be the full subcategory consisting of  $\mathbf{A}^1$ -invariant objects, namely such  $F \in \mathbf{NST}$  that the projection  $\pi_X : X \times \mathbf{A}^1 \rightarrow X$  induces an isomorphism  $\pi_X^* : F(X) \simeq F(X \times \mathbf{A}^1)$  for any  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$ . We say that  $F \in \mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}$  is strictly  $\mathbf{A}^1$ -invariant if  $\pi_X$  induces isomorphisms

$$\pi_X^* : H_{\text{Nis}}^i(X, F_X) \simeq H_{\text{Nis}}^i(X \times \mathbf{A}^1, F_{X \times \mathbf{A}^1}) \quad \text{for all } i \geq 0.$$

The following theorem plays a fundamental role in Voevodsky's theory.

**Theorem 0.1.** (Voevodsky [16]) *Any  $F \in \mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}$  is strictly  $\mathbf{A}^1$ -invariant and we have a natural isomorphism*

$$(0.1.1) \quad H_{\text{Nis}}^i(X, F_X) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{DM}^{\text{eff}}}(M(X), L^{\mathbf{A}^1}F[i]) \quad \text{for } X \in \mathbf{Sm},$$

where  $L^{\mathbf{A}^1} : D(\mathbf{NST}) \rightarrow \mathbf{DM}^{\text{eff}}$  is the localization functor.

Notice that there are interesting and important objects of  $\mathbf{NST}$  which do not belong to  $\mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}$ . Such examples are given by the sheaves  $\Omega^i$  of (absolute or relative) differential forms, and the  $p$ -typical de Rham-Witt sheaves  $W_m \Omega^i$  of Bloch-Deligne-Illusie, and smooth commutative  $k$ -group schemes with a unipotent part (seen as objects of  $\mathbf{NST}$ ), and the complexes  $R\varepsilon_* \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)$  in case  $\text{ch}(k) = p > 0$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)$  is the étale motivic complex of weight  $n$  with  $\mathbb{Z}/p^r$  coefficients and  $\varepsilon$  is the change of site functor from the étale to the Nisnevich topology. For such examples, (0.1.1) fails to hold since  $\pi_X : X \times \mathbf{A}^1 \rightarrow X$  induces an isomorphism  $M(X \times \mathbf{A}^1) \simeq M(X)$  in  $\mathbf{DM}^{\text{eff}}$  but the maps induced on cohomology of those sheaves are not isomorphism.

The category  $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$  of reciprocity sheaves is a full abelian subcategory of  $\mathbf{NST}$  that contains  $\mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}$  as well as the non- $\mathbf{A}^1$ -invariant objects mentioned above. Heuristically, its objects satisfy the property that for any  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$ , each section  $a \in F(X)$  “has bounded ramification at infinity” and the objects of  $\mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}$  are special reciprocity sheaves with the property that every section  $a \in F(X)$  has “tame” ramification at infinity<sup>1</sup>. Slightly more exotic examples of reciprocity sheaves are given by the sheaves  $\text{Conn}^1$  (in case  $\text{ch}(k) = 0$ ), whose sections over  $X$  are rank 1-connections, or  $\text{Lisse}_\ell^1$  (in case  $\text{ch}(k) = p > 0$ ),

<sup>1</sup>This heuristic viewpoint is manifested in [10, Th. 2].

whose sections on  $X$  are the lisse  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -sheaves of rank 1. Since  $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$  is an abelian category equipped with a lax symmetric monoidal structure by [13], many more interesting examples can be manufactured by taking kernels, quotients and tensor products (see [3, §11.1] for more examples).

The main purpose of this article is to establish the formula (0.1.1) for all  $F \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$  in a new category which enlarges  $\mathbf{DM}^{\text{eff}}$  (see (0.2)). It is the triangulated category  $\mathbf{logDM}^{\text{eff}}$  of *logarithmic motives* introduced by Binda, Park and Østvær in [2]. Let  $\mathbf{lSm}$  be the category of log smooth and separated fs log schemes of finite type over  $k$  and  $\mathbf{lCor}$  be the category with the same objects as  $\mathbf{lSm}$  and whose morphisms are log finite correspondences (see [2, Def. 2.1.1]). Let  $\mathbf{PSh}^{\text{ltr}}$  be the category of additive presheaves of abelian groups on  $\mathbf{lCor}$  and  $\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}} \subset \mathbf{PSh}^{\text{ltr}}$  be the full subcategory consisting of those  $\mathcal{F}$  whose restrictions to  $\mathbf{lSm}$  are dividing Nisnevich sheaves (see [2, Def. 3.1.4]). It is shown in [2, Th. 1.2.1] that  $\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}}$  is a Grothendieck abelian category, and  $\mathbf{logDM}^{\text{eff}}$  is defined as the localization of the derived category  $D(\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}})$  of complexes in  $\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}}$  with respect to a  $\overline{\square}$ -weak equivalence, where  $\overline{\square}$  is  $\mathbf{P}^1$  with the log-structure associated to the effective divisor  $\infty \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$  (see [2, Def. 5.2.1]<sup>2</sup>). It is equipped with a functor  $M : \mathbf{lSm} \rightarrow \mathbf{logDM}^{\text{eff}}$  associating the logarithmic motive  $M(\mathfrak{X})$  of  $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathbf{lSm}$ . Thanks to [1, Th. 1,1], the standard  $t$ -structure on  $D(\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}})$  induces a  $t$ -structure on  $\mathbf{logDM}^{\text{eff}}$  called the homotopy  $t$ -structure and its heart is identified with the abelian full subcategory  $\mathbf{CI}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}} \subset \mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}}$  consisting of strictly  $\overline{\square}$ -invariant objects in the sense [2, Def. 5.2.2]<sup>3</sup>. Now we can state the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 0.2.** *(Theorems 6.1 and 6.3) There exists an exact and fully faithful functor*

$$(0.2.1) \quad \mathcal{L}og : \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CI}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}} : F \rightarrow F^{\text{log}} = \mathcal{L}og(F).$$

For  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$  we have a natural isomorphism

$$(0.2.2) \quad H_{\text{Nis}}^i(X, F_X) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{logDM}^{\text{eff}}}(M(X, \text{triv}), L^{\overline{\square}}F^{\text{log}}[i]),$$

where  $L^{\overline{\square}} : D(\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{logDM}^{\text{eff}}$  is the localization functor and  $(X, \text{triv})$  is the log-scheme with the trivial log-structure.

<sup>2</sup> In fact it is defined in loc.cite. as the localization of the homotopy category of complexes in  $\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}}$  with respect to a  $\overline{\square}$ -local descent model structure.

<sup>3</sup>It is an logarithmic analogue of Voevodsky's strict  $\mathbf{A}^1$ -invariance.

We remark (see Remark 5.6) that for  $F = \Omega^i, F^{\log}(\mathfrak{X})$  for  $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathbf{lSm}$  whose underlying scheme is smooth, agrees with the sheaf of logarithmic differential forms of  $\mathfrak{X}$  at least assuming  $\text{ch}(k) = 0$ <sup>4</sup>.

We now explain the organization of the paper.

In §1 we discuss some preliminaries and fix the notation. We recall the definitions and basic properties of *modulus (pre)sheaves with transfers* from [4], [5], [7] and [15]. It is a generalization of Voevodsky's (pre)sheaves with transfers to a version with modulus. The category  $\mathbf{MCor}$  of *modulus correspondences* is introduced. Its objects are pairs  $\mathcal{X} = (\overline{X}, D)$ , where  $\overline{X}$  is a separated scheme of finite type over  $k$  equipped with an effective Cartier divisor  $D$  such that the *interior*  $\overline{X} - D = X$  is smooth. The morphisms are finite correspondences on the interiors satisfying some admissibility and a properness condition. Let  $\mathbf{MPST}$  be the category of additive presheaves of abelian groups on  $\mathbf{MCor}$ . A full subcategory  $\mathbf{MNST} \subset \mathbf{MPST}$  of *Nisnevich sheaves* is defined and there is a functor (see §1(20))

$$\underline{\omega}^{\mathbf{CI}} : \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}} \rightarrow \mathbf{MNST}.$$

For every  $F \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$  and  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$ , it provides an exhaustive filtration on the group  $F(X)$  of sections over  $X$  which measures depth of ramification along a boundary of a partial compactification of  $X$ : For  $(\overline{X}, D) \in \mathbf{MCor}$  with  $\overline{X} - D = X$ , we get the subgroups  $\tilde{F}(\overline{X}, D) \subset F(X)$  with  $\tilde{F} = \underline{\omega}^{\mathbf{CI}}F$  such that  $\tilde{F}(\overline{X}, D_1) \subset \tilde{F}(\overline{X}, D_2)$  if  $D_1 \leq D_2$ .

In §2 we prove as a key technical input an analogue of Zariski-Nagata's purity theorem ([17, X 3.4]) for  $\tilde{F}(\overline{X}, D)$  as above. It asserts the exactness of the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{F}(\overline{X}, D) \rightarrow F(X) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\xi \in D^{(0)}} \frac{F(\overline{X}_{|\xi}^h - \xi)}{\tilde{F}(\overline{X}_{|\xi}^h, \xi)},$$

in case  $\overline{X} \in \mathbf{Sm}$  and  $D$  is reduced simple normal crossing divisor, where  $D^{(0)}$  is the set of the irreducible components of  $D$  and  $\overline{X}_{|\xi}^h$  is the henselization of  $\overline{X}$  at  $\xi$ . In [11], this result is generalized to the case where  $D$  may not be reduced under the assumption that  $\overline{X}$  admits a smooth compactification.

In §3 we review *higher local symbols* for reciprocity sheaves constructed in [12]. It is an effective tool with which one can decide when a given element of  $F(X)$  with  $F \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$  and  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$  belongs to

---

<sup>4</sup>The assumption is necessary to use [10, Cor. 6.8] proved in case  $\text{ch}(k) = 0$ . We expect that it is removed by using a forthcoming work of K. Rülling extending [10, Cor. 6.8] to the case  $\text{ch}(k) > 0$ .

$\tilde{F}(\overline{X}, D)$  as above. The construction of the pairing depends on push-forward maps for cohomology of reciprocity sheaves constructed in [3] (which means that Theorem 0.2 depends on the result of [3]).

In §4, we prove the following result: Let  $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}_{l_s}^{\text{fin}}$  be the subcategory of  $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$  whose objects are pairs  $(X, D)$  such that  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$  and the reduced divisor  $D_{\text{red}}$  underlying  $D$  is a SNCD on  $X$  and whose morphisms are modulus correspondences satisfying a finiteness conditions instead of the properness condition (see §1(5)). Then, for  $F \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ , the association

$$\tilde{F}^{\log} : (X, D) \rightarrow \underline{\omega}^{\text{CI}} F(X, D_{\text{red}})$$

gives a presheaf on  $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}_{l_s}^{\text{fin}}$ , which gives rise to a cohomology theory  $H_{\log}^i(-, \tilde{F}^{\log})$  on  $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}_{l_s}^{\text{fin}}$ , called *the  $i$ -th logarithmic cohomology with coefficient  $F$*  (see Definition 4.4). The higher local symbols for  $F$  plays a fundamental role in the proof of the result .

In §5, we prove the invariance of logarithmic cohomology under blowups: Let  $\Lambda_{l_s}^{\text{fin}}$  be the subcategory of  $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}_{l_s}^{\text{fin}}$  whose objects are the same as  $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}_{l_s}^{\text{fin}}$  and whose morphisms are those  $\rho : (Y, E) \rightarrow (X, D)$  where  $E = \rho^*D$  and  $\rho$  are induced by blowups of  $X$  in smooth centers  $Z \subset D$  which are normal crossing to  $D$  (see the beginning of the section). Then, for  $F \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$  and  $\rho : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  in  $\Lambda_{l_s}^{\text{fin}}$ , we have

$$\rho^* : H_{\log}^i(\mathcal{X}, F) \cong H_{\log}^i(\mathcal{Y}, F) \text{ for } \forall i \geq 0.$$

In §6, we prove Theorem 0.2, which is a formal consequence of the theorems in §4 and §5.

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank Kay Rülling, F. Binda and A. Merici for many valuable discussions and comments. He is also grateful to A. Merici to whom he owes crucial ideas for §2. The author also thanks the referee for very careful reading and numerous suggestions improving this paper.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

We fix once and for all a perfect base field  $k$ . In this section we recall the definitions and basic properties of modulus sheaves with transfers from [4] and [15].

- (1) Denote by  $\mathbf{Sch}$  the category of separated schemes of finite type over  $k$  and by  $\mathbf{Sm}$  the full subcategory of smooth schemes. For  $X, Y \in \mathbf{Sm}$ , an integral closed subscheme of  $X \times Y$  that is finite and surjective over a connected component of  $X$  is called a *prime correspondence from  $X$  to  $Y$* . The category  $\mathbf{Cor}$  of finite correspondences has the same objects as  $\mathbf{Sm}$ , and for

$X, Y \in \mathbf{Sm}$ ,  $\mathbf{Cor}(X, Y)$  is the free abelian group on the set of all prime correspondences from  $X$  to  $Y$  (see [16]). We consider  $\mathbf{Sm}$  as a subcategory of  $\mathbf{Cor}$  by regarding a morphism in  $\mathbf{Sm}$  as its graph in  $\mathbf{Cor}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{PST}$  be the category of additive presheaves of abelian groups on  $\mathbf{Cor}$  whose objects are called *presheaves with transfers*. Let  $\mathbf{NST} \subseteq \mathbf{PST}$  be the category of Nisnevich sheaves with transfers and let

$$a_{\mathbf{Nis}}^V : \mathbf{PST} \rightarrow \mathbf{NST}$$

be Voevodsky's Nisnevich sheafification functor, which is an exact left adjoint to the inclusion  $\mathbf{NST} \rightarrow \mathbf{PST}$ . Let  $\mathbf{HI} \subseteq \mathbf{PST}$  be the category of  $\mathbf{A}^1$ -invariant presheaves and put  $\mathbf{HI}_{\mathbf{Nis}} = \mathbf{HI} \cap \mathbf{NST} \subseteq \mathbf{NST}$ .

- (2) Let  $\mathbf{Sm}^{\text{pro}}$  be the category of  $k$ -schemes  $X$  which are essentially smooth over  $k$ , i.e.  $X$  is a limit  $\varprojlim_{i \in I} X_i$  over a filtered set  $I$ , where  $X_i$  is smooth over  $k$  and all transition maps are étale. Note  $\text{Spec } K \in \mathbf{Sm}^{\text{pro}}$  for a function field  $K$  over  $k$  thanks to the assumption that  $k$  is perfect. We define  $\mathbf{Cor}^{\text{pro}}$  whose objects are the same as  $\mathbf{Sm}^{\text{pro}}$  and morphisms are defined as [10, Def. 2,2]. We extend  $F \in \mathbf{PST}$  to a presheaf on  $\mathbf{Cor}^{\text{pro}}$  by  $F(X) := \varinjlim_{i \in I} F(X_i)$  for  $X$  as above.
- (3) We recall the definition of the category  $\mathbf{MCor}$  from [4, Definition 1.3.1]. A pair  $\mathcal{X} = (X, D)$  of  $X \in \mathbf{Sch}$  and an effective Cartier divisor  $D$  on  $X$  is called a *modulus pair* if  $X - D \in \mathbf{Sm}$ . Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, D_X)$ ,  $\mathcal{Y} = (Y, D_Y)$  be modulus pairs and  $\Gamma \in \mathbf{Cor}(X - D_X, Y - D_Y)$  be a prime correspondence. Let  $\bar{\Gamma} \subseteq X \times Y$  be the closure of  $\Gamma$ , and let  $\bar{\Gamma}^N \rightarrow X \times Y$  be the normalization. We say  $\Gamma$  is *admissible* (resp. *left proper*) if  $(D_X)_{\bar{\Gamma}^N} \geq (D_Y)_{\bar{\Gamma}^N}$  (resp. if  $\bar{\Gamma}$  is proper over  $X$ ). Let  $\mathbf{MCor}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  be the subgroup of  $\mathbf{Cor}(X - D_X, Y - D_Y)$  generated by all admissible left proper prime correspondences. The category  $\mathbf{MCor}$  has modulus pairs as objects and  $\mathbf{MCor}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  as the group of morphisms from  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathcal{Y}$ .
- (4) Let  $\mathbf{MCor}_{ls} \subset \mathbf{MCor}$  be the full subcategory of  $(X, D) \in \mathbf{MCor}$  with  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$  and  $|D|$  a normal crossing divisor on  $X$ .
- (5) Let  $\mathbf{MCor}^{\text{fin}} \subset \mathbf{MCor}$  be the full subcategory of the same objects such that  $\mathbf{MCor}^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  are generated by all admissible *finite* prime correspondences, where finite prime correspondences are defined by replacing the left properness in (3) by finiteness. We also define  $\mathbf{MCor}_{ls}^{\text{fin}} = \mathbf{MCor}^{\text{fin}} \cap \mathbf{MCor}_{ls}$ .

- (6) There is a canonical pair of adjoint functors  $\lambda \dashv \underline{\omega}$ :

$$\lambda : \mathbf{Cor} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{MCor}} \quad X \mapsto (X, \emptyset),$$

$$\underline{\omega} : \underline{\mathbf{MCor}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cor} \quad (X, D) \mapsto X - D,$$

- (7) There is a full subcategory  $\mathbf{MCor} \subset \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$  consisting of *proper modulus pairs*, where a modulus pair  $(X, D)$  is *proper* if  $X$  is proper. Let  $\tau : \mathbf{MCor} \hookrightarrow \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$  be the inclusion functor and  $\omega = \underline{\omega}\tau$ .
- (8) Let  $\mathbf{MPST}$  (resp.  $\underline{\mathbf{MPST}}$ ) be the category of additive presheaves of abelian groups on  $\mathbf{MCor}$  (resp.  $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$ ) whose objects are called *modulus presheaves with transfers*. For  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbf{MCor}$ , let  $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathcal{X}) = \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}(-, \mathcal{X})$  be the representable object of  $\underline{\mathbf{MPST}}$ . We sometimes write  $\mathcal{X}$  for  $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathcal{X})$  for simplicity.
- (9) By the same manner as (2), the category  $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}$  is defined and  $F \in \underline{\mathbf{MPST}}$  is extended to a presheaf on  $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}$  (see [10, §3.7]).
- (10) The adjunction  $\lambda \dashv \underline{\omega}$  induces a string of 4 adjoint functors  $(\lambda_! = \underline{\omega}^!, \lambda^* = \underline{\omega}_!, \lambda_* = \underline{\omega}^*, \underline{\omega}_*)$  (see [4, Pr. 2.3.1]):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xleftarrow{\underline{\omega}^!} & \\ & \xleftarrow{\underline{\omega}_!} & \\ \underline{\mathbf{MPST}} & \xrightarrow{\lambda^*} & \mathbf{PST} \\ & \xrightarrow{\lambda_*} & \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{\omega}_*} & \end{array}$$

where  $\underline{\omega}_!, \underline{\omega}_*$  are localisations and  $\underline{\omega}^!$  and  $\underline{\omega}^*$  are fully faithful.

- (11) The functor  $\tau$  yields a string of 3 adjoint functors  $(\tau_!, \tau^*, \tau_*)$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\tau_!} & \\ & \xrightarrow{\tau^*} & \\ \mathbf{MPST} & \xleftarrow{\tau_*} & \underline{\mathbf{MPST}} \\ & \xrightarrow{\tau_*} & \end{array}$$

where  $\tau_!, \tau_*$  are fully faithful and  $\tau^*$  is a localisation;  $\tau_!$  has a pro-left adjoint  $\tau^!$ , hence is exact (see [4, Pr. 2.4.1]). We will denote by  $\underline{\mathbf{MPST}}^\tau$  the essential image of  $\tau_!$  in  $\underline{\mathbf{MPST}}$ .

- (12) The modulus pair  $\overline{\square} := (\mathbf{P}^1, \infty)$  has an interval structure induced by the one of  $\mathbf{A}^1$  (see [7, Lem. 2.1.3]). We say  $F \in \underline{\mathbf{MPST}}$  is  $\overline{\square}$ -invariant if  $p^* : F(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow F(\mathcal{X} \otimes \overline{\square})$  is an isomorphism for any  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbf{MCor}$ , where  $p : \mathcal{X} \otimes \overline{\square} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is the projection. Let  $\mathbf{CI}$  be the full subcategory of  $\underline{\mathbf{MPST}}$  consisting of all  $\overline{\square}$ -invariant objects and  $\mathbf{CI}^\tau \subset \underline{\mathbf{MPST}}$  be the essential image of  $\mathbf{CI}$  under  $\tau_!$ .
- (13) Recall from [7, Theorem 2.1.8] that  $\mathbf{CI}$  is a Serre subcategory of  $\underline{\mathbf{MPST}}$ , and that the inclusion functor  $i^{\overline{\square}} : \mathbf{CI} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{MPST}}$  has

a left adjoint  $h_0^{\square}$  and a right adjoint  $h_0^0$  given for  $F \in \mathbf{MPST}$  and  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbf{MCor}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} h_0^{\square}(F)(\mathcal{X}) &= \text{Coker}(i_0^* - i_1^* : F(\mathcal{X} \otimes \square) \rightarrow F(\mathcal{X})), \\ h_0^0(F)(\mathcal{X}) &= \text{Hom}(h_0^{\square}(\mathcal{X}), F). \end{aligned}$$

For  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbf{MCor}$ , we write  $h_0^{\square}(\mathcal{X}) = h_0^{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathcal{X})) \in \mathbf{CI}$ , and by abuse of notation, we let  $h_0^{\square}(\mathcal{X})$  denote also for  $\tau_! h_0^{\square}(\mathcal{X}) \in \mathbf{CI}^{\tau}$ .

- (14) For  $F \in \mathbf{MPST}$  and  $\mathcal{X} = (X, D) \in \mathbf{MCor}$ , write  $F_{\mathcal{X}}$  for the presheaf on the small étale site  $X_{\text{ét}}$  over  $X$  given by  $U \rightarrow F(\mathcal{X}_U)$  for  $U \rightarrow X$  étale, where  $\mathcal{X}_U = (U, D|_U) \in \mathbf{MCor}$ . We say  $F$  is a Nisnevich sheaf if so is  $F_{\mathcal{X}}$  for all  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbf{MCor}$  (see [4, Section 3]). We write  $\mathbf{MNST} \subset \mathbf{MPST}$  for the full subcategory of Nisnevich sheaves and put

$$\mathbf{MNST}^{\tau} = \mathbf{MNST} \cap \mathbf{MPST}^{\tau}, \quad \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau} = \mathbf{CI}^{\tau} \cap \mathbf{MNST}^{\tau}.$$

By [4, Prop. 3.5.3] and [5, Theorem 2], the inclusion functor  $i_{\text{Nis}} : \mathbf{MNST} \rightarrow \mathbf{MPST}$  has an exact left adjoint  $\underline{a}_{\text{Nis}}$  such that  $\underline{a}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathbf{MPST}^{\tau}) \subset \mathbf{MNST}^{\tau}$ . The functor  $\underline{a}_{\text{Nis}}$  has the following description: For  $F \in \mathbf{MPST}$  and  $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathbf{MCor}$ , let  $F_{\mathcal{Y}, \text{Nis}}$  be the usual Nisnevich sheafification of  $F_{\mathcal{Y}}$ . Then, for  $(X, D) \in \mathbf{MCor}$  we have

$$\underline{a}_{\text{Nis}} F(X, D) = \varinjlim_{f: Y \rightarrow X} F_{(Y, f^* D), \text{Nis}}(Y)$$

where the colimit is taken over all proper maps  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  that induce isomorphisms  $Y - |f^* D| \xrightarrow{\sim} X - |D|$ .

- (15) By [5, Pr. 6.2.1],  $\underline{\omega}^*$  and  $\underline{\omega}_!$  from (10) respect  $\mathbf{MNST}$  and  $\mathbf{NST}$  and induce a pair of adjoint functors (which for simplicity we write  $\underline{\omega}_!$  and  $\underline{\omega}^*$ ). Moreover, we have

$$\underline{\omega}_! \underline{a}_{\text{Nis}} = \underline{a}_{\text{Nis}}^V \underline{\omega}_!.$$

By [7, Lem. 2.3.1] and [5, Pr. 6.2.1a)], for  $F \in \mathbf{PST}$ , we have  $F \in \mathbf{HI}$  (resp  $F \in \mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}$ ) if and only if  $\underline{\omega}^* F \in \mathbf{CI}^{\tau}$  (resp  $\underline{\omega}^* F \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau}$ ).

- (16) We say that  $F \in \mathbf{MPST}$  is *semi-pure* if the unit map

$$u : F \rightarrow \underline{\omega}^* \underline{\omega}_! F$$

is injective. For  $F \in \mathbf{MPST}$  (resp.  $F \in \mathbf{MNST}$ ), let  $F^{sp} \in \mathbf{MPST}$  (resp.  $F^{sp} \in \mathbf{MNST}$ ) be the image of  $F \rightarrow \underline{\omega}^* \underline{\omega}_! F$  (called the semi-purification of  $F$ . See [15, Lem. 1.30]). For  $F \in \mathbf{MPST}$  we have

$$\underline{a}_{\text{Nis}}(F^{sp}) \simeq (\underline{a}_{\text{Nis}} F)^{sp}.$$

This follows from the fact that  $\underline{a}_{\text{Nis}}$  is exact and commutes with  $\underline{\omega}^*\omega_!$ . For  $F \in \mathbf{MPST}^\tau$  we have  $F^{sp} \in \mathbf{MPST}^\tau$  since  $\tau$  is exact and  $\underline{\omega}^*\omega_!\tau_! = \tau_!\omega^*\omega_!$ .

- (17) Let  $\mathbf{CI}^{\tau,sp} \subset \mathbf{CI}^\tau$  be the full subcategory of semipure objects and consider the full subcategory

$$\mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau,sp} = \mathbf{CI}^{\tau,sp} \cap \mathbf{MNST}^\tau \subset \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^\tau.$$

By [15, Th. 0.1 and 0.4], we have  $\underline{a}_{\text{Nis}}(\mathbf{CI}^{\tau,sp}) \subset \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau,sp}$ .

- (18) We write  $\mathbf{RSC} \subseteq \mathbf{PST}$  for the essential image of  $\mathbf{CI}$  under  $\omega_!$  (which is the same as the essential image of  $\mathbf{CI}^{\tau,sp}$  under  $\underline{\omega}_!$  since  $\omega_! = \underline{\omega}_!\tau_!$  and  $\omega_!F = \underline{\omega}_!F^{sp}$ ). Put  $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}} = \mathbf{RSC} \cap \mathbf{NST}$ . The objects of  $\mathbf{RSC}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ ) are called reciprocity presheaves (resp. sheaves). By [15, Th. 0.1], we have

$$(1.0.1) \quad a_{\text{Nis}}^V(\mathbf{RSC}) \subset \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}.$$

We have  $\mathbf{HI} \subseteq \mathbf{RSC}$  and it contains also smooth commutative group schemes (which may have non-trivial unipotent part), and the sheaf  $\Omega^i$  of Kähler differentials, and the de Rham-Witt sheaves  $W_n\Omega^i$  (see [6] and [7]).

- (19)  $\mathbf{NST}$  is a Grothendieck abelian category by [16, Lem. 3.1.6] and we can make  $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$  its full sub-abelian category as follows: We define the kernel (resp. cokernel) of a map  $\phi : F \rightarrow G$  in  $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$  to be that of  $\phi$  as a map in  $\mathbf{NST}$ . Here we need (1.0.1) to ensure that the cokernel of  $\phi$  in  $\mathbf{NST}$  stays in  $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ . By definition, a sequence  $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$  is exact in  $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$  if and only if it is exact in  $\mathbf{NST}$ .

- (20) By [7, Prop. 2.3.7] we have a pair of adjoint functors:

$$(1.0.2) \quad \mathbf{CI} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\omega^{\mathbf{CI}}} \\ \xrightarrow{\omega_!} \end{array} \mathbf{RSC},$$

where  $\omega^{\mathbf{CI}} = h_{\square}^0\omega^*$  and it is fully faithful. It induces a pair of adjoint functors:

$$(1.0.3) \quad \mathbf{CI}^\tau \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\underline{\omega}^{\mathbf{CI}}} \\ \xrightarrow{\underline{\omega}_!} \end{array} \mathbf{RSC},$$

where  $\underline{\omega}^{\mathbf{CI}} = \tau_!h_{\square}^0\omega^*$  and it is fully faithful. Indeed, let  $F = \tau_!\hat{F}$  for  $\hat{F} \in \mathbf{CI}$  and  $G \in \mathbf{RSC}$ . In view of (13) and the exactness and full faithfulness of  $\tau_!$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{CI}^\tau}(F, \tau_!h_{\square}^0\omega^*G) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{CI}}(\hat{F}, h_{\square}^0\omega^*G) \simeq \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{MPST}}(\hat{F}, \omega^*G) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{MPST}}(\tau_!\hat{F}, \underline{\omega}^*G) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{RSC}}(\omega_!F, G). \end{aligned}$$

In view of (15), (1.0.3) induce pair of adjoint functors:

$$(1.0.4) \quad \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\omega^{\mathbf{CI}}} \\ \xrightarrow{\omega_!} \end{array} \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}},$$

## 2. PURITY WITH REDUCED MODULUS

For  $F \in \mathbf{MPST}$ , we put

$$F_{-1} = \text{Ker} \left( \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{MPST}}((\mathbf{P}^1 - 0, \infty), F) \xrightarrow{i_1^*} F \right),$$

$$F_{-1}^{(1)} = \text{Ker} \left( \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{MPST}}((\mathbf{P}^1, 0 + \infty), F) \xrightarrow{i_1^*} F \right),$$

Note that if  $F \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp}$ , one has  $F_{-1}, F_{-1}^{(1)} \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp}$  and

(2.0.1)

$$F_{-1}^{(1)}(\mathcal{X}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{MPST}}(h_{0, \text{Nis}}^{\square, sp}(\mathbf{P}^1, 0 + \infty)^0, \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{MPST}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathcal{X}), F)),$$

$$F_{-1}(\mathcal{X}) = \varinjlim_n \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{MPST}}(h_{0, \text{Nis}}^{\square, sp}(\mathbf{P}^1, n \cdot 0 + \infty)^0, \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{MPST}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathcal{X}), F))$$

for  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbf{MCor}$ , where

$$h_{0, \text{Nis}}^{\square, sp}(\mathbf{P}^1, n \cdot 0 + \infty)^0 = \text{Coker} \left( \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\text{Spec } k, \emptyset) \xrightarrow{i_1} h_{0, \text{Nis}}^{\square, sp}(\mathbf{P}^1, n \cdot 0 + \infty) \right).$$

**Definition 2.1.** For  $e_1, \dots, e_r \in \{0, 1\}$ , put

$$\tau^{(e_1, \dots, e_r)} F = \tau^{(e_r)} \dots \tau^{(e_1)} F,$$

where

$$\tau^{(0)} F = F_{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau^{(1)} F = F_{-1} / F_{-1}^{(1)},$$

where the quotient is taken in  $\mathbf{MPST}$ .

The existence of retractions in the following lemma was suggested by A. Merici. It implies  $\tau^{(e_1, \dots, e_r)} F \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp}$  if  $F \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** For  $F \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp}$ , the inclusion  $F_{-1}^{(1)} \rightarrow F_{-1}$  admits a retraction  $s_F : F_{-1} \rightarrow F_{-1}^{(1)}$  such that for any map  $\phi : F \rightarrow G$  in  $\mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp}$ , the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_{-1} & \xrightarrow{s_F} & F_{-1}^{(1)} \\ \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \phi \\ G_{-1} & \xrightarrow{s_F} & G_{-1}^{(1)} \end{array}$$

In particular  $\tau^{(1)} F \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp}$  if  $F \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp}$ .

*Proof.* In view of (2.0.1), this follows from [3, Lem. 2.4].  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $F \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp}$ . Let  $K\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$  be the henselization of  $K[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  at  $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  and  $\mathcal{X} = \text{Spec } K\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$  and  $D = \{t_1^{e_1} \cdots t_n^{e_n} = 0\} \subset \mathcal{X}$  with  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \{0, 1\}$ . For a subset  $I \subset [1, n]$  let  $i_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be the closed immersion defined by  $\{t_i = 0\}_{i \in I}$  and  $D_{\mathcal{H}} = \{ \prod_{j \in [1, n] - I} t_j^{e_j} = 0 \} \subset \mathcal{H}$ . Then*

$$(2.3.1) \quad R^\nu i_{\mathcal{H}}^! F_{(\mathcal{X}, D)} = 0 \text{ for } \nu \neq q := |I|,$$

and there is an isomorphism

$$(2.3.2) \quad (\tau^{(e_I)} F)_{(\mathcal{H}, D_{\mathcal{H}})} \simeq R^{qj} i_{\mathcal{H}}^! F_{(\mathcal{X}, D)} \text{ with } e_I = (e_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^q.$$

*Proof.* The proof is divided into two steps.

**Step 1:** We prove (2.3.1) and (2.3.2) in case  $q = |I| = 1$ .

For  $\nu = 0$  (2.3.1) follows from the semipurity of  $F$  and [15, Th. 3.1]. Thus it suffices to show (2.3.1) only for  $\nu > 1$ . Let  $J = \{j \in [1, n] \mid e_j \neq 0\}$  and  $r = |J|$ . If  $\dim(\mathcal{X}) = 0$ , the assertion is trivial. If  $r = 0$ , the assertion follows from [15, Cor. 8.6(3)]. Assume  $r > 0$  and  $\dim(\mathcal{X}) \geq 1$ , and proceed by the double induction on  $r$  and  $\dim(\mathcal{X})$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume

$$(\spadesuit) \quad e_1 \neq 0, \text{ and } \mathcal{H} = \{t_1 = 0\} \text{ if } \mathcal{H} \subset |D|.$$

Let  $\iota : \mathcal{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be the closed immersion defined by  $\{t_1 = 0\}$  and  $D_{\mathcal{Z}} = \{t_2^{e_2} \cdots t_r^{e_r} = 0\} \subset \mathcal{Z}$  and  $D' = \{t_2^{e_2} \cdots t_r^{e_r} = 0\} \subset \mathcal{X}$ . By [15, Lem. 7.1], we have an exact sequence sheaves on  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{Nis}}$ :

$$0 \rightarrow F_{(\mathcal{X}, D')} \rightarrow F_{(\mathcal{X}, D)} \rightarrow \iota_* (F_{-1}^{(e_1)})_{(\mathcal{Z}, D_{\mathcal{Z}})} \rightarrow 0,$$

which gives rise to a long exact sequence of sheaves on  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{Nis}}$ :

$$(2.3.3) \quad \cdots \rightarrow R^\nu i_{\mathcal{H}}^! F_{(\mathcal{X}, D')} \rightarrow R^\nu i_{\mathcal{H}}^! F_{(\mathcal{X}, D)} \rightarrow R^\nu i_{\mathcal{H}}^! \iota_* (F_{-1}^{(e_1)})_{(\mathcal{Z}, D_{\mathcal{Z}})} \rightarrow \cdots.$$

By the induction hypothesis,  $R^\nu i_{\mathcal{H}}^! F_{(\mathcal{X}, D')} = 0$  for  $\nu > 1$ . In case  $\mathcal{H} \neq \mathcal{Z}$ , we have a Cartesian diagram of closed immersions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{Z} & \xrightarrow{\iota'} & \mathcal{H} \\ i_{\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{Z}} \downarrow & & \downarrow i_{\mathcal{H}} \\ \mathcal{Z} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

and we have an isomorphism

$$R^\nu i_{\mathcal{H}}^! \iota_* (F_{-1}^{(e_1)})_{(\mathcal{Z}, D_{\mathcal{Z}})} \simeq \iota'_* R^\nu i_{\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{Z}}^! (F_{-1}^{(e_1)})_{(\mathcal{Z}, D_{\mathcal{Z}})}.$$

By the induction hypothesis,  $R^\nu i_{\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{Z}}^! (F_{-1}^{(e_1)})_{(\mathcal{Z}, D_{\mathcal{Z}})} = 0$  for  $\nu > 1$  noting  $F_{-1}^{(e_1)} \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp}$  by Lemma 2.2. So the desired vanishing follows from

(2.3.3). Moreover, the assumptions ( $\spadesuit$ ) and  $\mathcal{H} \neq \mathcal{Z}$  imply that  $\mathcal{H} \not\subset |D|$ . Then (2.3.2) (with  $q = 1$ ) follows from [15, Lem. 7.1(2)].

In case  $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{H}$ , we have

$$R^\nu i_{\mathcal{H}}^! \iota_* (F_{-1}^{(e_1)})_{(\mathcal{Z}, D_{\mathcal{Z}})} = R^\nu \iota^! \iota_* (F_{-1}^{(e_1)})_{(\mathcal{Z}, D_{\mathcal{Z}})},$$

which vanishes for  $\nu > 0$ . Hence (2.3.3) gives the desired vanishing together with an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow (F_{-1}^{(e_1)})_{(\mathcal{H}, D_{\mathcal{H}})} \xrightarrow{\delta} R^1 i_{\mathcal{H}}^! F_{(\mathcal{X}, D')} \rightarrow R^1 i_{\mathcal{H}}^! F_{(\mathcal{X}, D)} \rightarrow 0.$$

By [15, Lem. 7.1(2)] we have an isomorphism

$$(F_{-1})_{(\mathcal{H}, D_{\mathcal{H}})} \simeq R^1 i_{\mathcal{H}}^! F_{(\mathcal{X}, D')}$$

through which  $\delta$  is identified with the map induced by the canonical map  $F_{-1}^{(e_1)} \rightarrow F_{-1}$ . This proves the desired isomorphism (2.3.2) in case  $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{H}$  and completes Step 1.

**Step 2:** We prove the theorem by the induction on  $q$  assuming  $q > 0$ . Let  $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_q\} \subset [1, n]$  and  $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{X}$  be the closed subscheme defined by  $\{t_{i_1} = 0\}$ . Let  $i_{\mathcal{Y}} : \mathcal{Y} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  and  $i_{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{Y}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be the induced closed immersions. By Step 1 we have  $R^\nu i_{\mathcal{Y}}^! F_{(\mathcal{X}, D)} = 0$  for  $\nu \neq 1$  and we have an isomorphism

$$(\tau^{(e_{i_1})} F)_{(\mathcal{Y}, D_{\mathcal{Y}})} \simeq R^1 i_{\mathcal{Y}}^! F_{(\mathcal{X}, D)} \quad \text{with } D_{\mathcal{Y}} = \{t_1^{e_1} \cdots t_{i_1}^{e_{i_1}} \cdots t_n^{e_n} = 0\} \subset \mathcal{Y}.$$

Note  $\tau^{(e_{i_1})} F \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp}$  by Lemma 2.2. Thus, by the induction hypothesis, we have  $R^\nu i_{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{Y}}^! \tau^{(e_{i_1})} F_{(\mathcal{Y}, D_{\mathcal{Y}})} = 0$  for  $\nu \neq q - 1$ . By the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{a,b} = R^b i_{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{Y}}^! R^a i_{\mathcal{Y}}^! F_{(\mathcal{X}, D)} \Rightarrow R^{a+b} i_{\mathcal{H}}^! F_{(\mathcal{X}, D)},$$

we get the desired vanishing (2.3.1) and an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} R^q i_{\mathcal{H}}^! F_{(\mathcal{X}, D)} &\simeq R^{q-1} i_{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{Y}}^! R^1 i_{\mathcal{Y}}^! F_{(\mathcal{X}, D)} \simeq R^{q-1} i_{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{Y}}^! (\tau^{(e_{i_1})} F)_{(\mathcal{Y}, D_{\mathcal{Y}})} \\ &\simeq (\tau^{(e_{i_2}, \dots, e_{i_q})} (\tau^{(e_{i_1})} F))_{(\mathcal{H}, D_{\mathcal{H}})} \simeq (\tau^{(e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \dots, e_{i_q})} F)_{(\mathcal{H}, D_{\mathcal{H}})}, \end{aligned}$$

where the third isomorphism holds by the induction hypothesis. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

We say  $\mathcal{X} = (X, D) \in \mathbf{MCor}$  reduced if so is  $D$ . The following corollaries 2.4 and 2.5 are immediate consequences of Theorem 2.3.

**Corollary 2.4.** *Take  $F \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp}$  and  $(X, D) \in \mathbf{MCor}_{ls}$  reduced. Let  $x \in X^{(n)}$  with  $K = k(x)$  and let  $\mathcal{X} = X|_x^h$  be the henselization of  $X$  at  $x$ . Then*

$$H_x^i(X_{\text{Nis}}, F_{(X, D)}) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq n.$$

Choosing an isomorphism

$$\varepsilon : \mathcal{X} \simeq \text{Spec } K\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$$

such that  $D|_{\mathcal{X}} = \{t_1^{e_1} \cdots t_n^{e_n} = 0\} \subset \mathcal{X}$  with  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \{0, 1\}$ , there exists an isomorphism depending on  $\varepsilon$ :

$$\theta_\varepsilon : \tau^{(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)} F(x) \simeq H_x^n(X_{\text{Nis}}, F_{(X, D)}).$$

**Corollary 2.5.** For  $F \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp}$  and  $\mathcal{X} = (X, D) \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}_{ls}$  reduced, the following sequence is exact:

$$0 \rightarrow F(X, D) \rightarrow F(X - D, \emptyset) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\xi \in D^{(0)}} \frac{F(X|_{\xi}^h - \xi, \emptyset)}{F(X|_{\xi}^h, \xi)}.$$

The idea of deducing the following corollary from the above is due to A. Merici.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, D) \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}_{ls}$  be reduced.

(1) Assume given an exact sequence in  $\underline{\mathbf{MNST}}$ :

$$(2.6.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow H \xrightarrow{\phi} G \xrightarrow{\psi} F$$

such that  $F, G, H \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp}$  and that  $\underline{\omega}_! \psi$  is surjective in  $\mathbf{NST}$ . If  $X$  is henselian local,

$$0 \rightarrow H(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow G(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow F(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

- (2) Let  $\gamma : F \rightarrow G$  be a map in  $\mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp}$  such that  $\underline{\omega}_! \gamma$  is an isomorphism. Then  $F(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow G(\mathcal{X})$  is an isomorphism.
- (3) For  $F \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp}$ , the unit map  $u : F \rightarrow \underline{\omega}^{\mathbf{CI}} \underline{\omega}_! F$  induces an isomorphism  $F(\mathcal{X}) \cong \underline{\omega}^{\mathbf{CI}} \underline{\omega}_! F(\mathcal{X})$ .

*Proof.* To show (1), it suffices to show the surjectivity of  $G(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow F(\mathcal{X})$ . Let  $\eta \in X$  be the generic point and consider the following commutative diagram of the Cousin complexes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H(\mathcal{X}) & \longrightarrow & H(\eta) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} H_x^1(X, H_{\mathcal{X}}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{y \in X^{(2)}} H_y^2(X, H_{\mathcal{X}}) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi(\eta) & & \downarrow H_x^1(\phi) \qquad \downarrow H_y^2(\phi) \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G(\mathcal{X}) & \longrightarrow & G(\eta) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} H_x^1(X, G_{\mathcal{X}}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{y \in X^{(2)}} H_y^2(X, G_{\mathcal{X}}) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi(\eta) & & \downarrow H_x^1(\psi) \qquad \downarrow H_y^2(\psi) \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F(\mathcal{X}) & \longrightarrow & F(\eta) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} H_x^1(X, F_{\mathcal{X}}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{y \in X^{(2)}} H_y^2(X, F_{\mathcal{X}}) \end{array}$$

By Corollary 2.4, the horizontal sequences are exact. By the assumption,  $\psi(\eta)$  is surjective. By a diagram chase we are reduced to showing the following.

*Claim 2.6.1.* (i) For  $x \in X^{(1)}$ , the sequence

$$H_x^1(X, H_{\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow H_x^1(X, G_{\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow H_x^1(X, F_{\mathcal{X}})$$

is exact.

(ii) For  $y \in X^{(2)}$ ,  $H_y^2(\phi)$  is injective.

To show (i), by Corollary 2.4, it suffices to show the exactness of  $\tau^{(e)}H \rightarrow \tau^{(e)}G \rightarrow \tau^{(e)}F$  for  $e \in \{0, 1\}$ . The case  $e = 0$  follows from the left exactness of the endofunctor  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\underline{\text{MPST}}}(\mathcal{X}, -)$  on  $\underline{\text{MNST}}$  for any  $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\text{MCor}}$ . We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tau^{(1)}H & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \tau^{(1)}G & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \tau^{(1)}F \\ p_H \updownarrow s_H & & p_G \updownarrow s_G & & p_F \updownarrow s_F \\ \tau^{(0)}H & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \tau^{(0)}G & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \tau^{(0)}F \end{array}$$

where  $p_*$  are the projections and  $s_*$  is a right inverse of  $p_*$  coming from the retractions from Lemma 2.2. We have

$$\phi \circ p_H = p_G \circ \phi, \quad \psi \circ p_G = p_F \circ \psi, \quad \phi \circ s_H = s_G \circ \phi, \quad \psi \circ s_G = s_F \circ \psi.$$

By a diagram chase, the case  $e = 1$  follows from the case  $e = 0$ .

To show (ii), by Corollary 2.4, it suffices to show the injectivity of  $\tau^{(\underline{e})}H \rightarrow \tau^{(\underline{e})}G$  for  $\underline{e} \in \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$ . The case  $\underline{e} = (0, 0)$  follows from the same left exactness as above, and the other cases from this case thanks to Lemma 2.2.

To show (2), we may assume  $\mathcal{X}$  is henselian local. Then it follows from (1). (3) follows from (2) since  $\underline{\omega}_!u$  is an isomorphism. This completes the proof of the corollary.  $\square$

### 3. REVIEW ON HIGHER LOCAL SYMBOLS

In this section we recall from [12] the higher local symbols for reciprocity sheaves, which is a fundamental tool to prove Theorem 4.2, one of the main theorems of this paper. First we introduce some basic notations. In this section  $X$  is a reduced noetherian separated scheme of dimension  $d < \infty$  such that  $X_{(0)} = X^{(d)}$ .

**3.1.** Let  $K$  be a field. For an integer  $r \geq 0$ , let  $K_r^M(K)$  be the Milnor  $K$ -group of  $K$ . Let  $A$  be a local domain with the function field  $K$ . For

an ideal  $I \subset A$ , let  $\overline{K}_r^M(A, I) \subset K_r^M(K)$  denote the subgroup generated by symbols

$$\{1 + a, b_1, \dots, b_{r-1}\} \text{ with } a \in I, b_i \in A^\times.$$

Let  $A$  be a noetherian excellent 1-dimensional local domain with function field  $K$  and residue field  $F$ . Let  $\tilde{A}$  be the normalization of  $A$  and  $S$  be the set of the maximal ideals of  $\tilde{A}$ . For  $\mathfrak{m} \in S$ , denote  $\kappa(\mathfrak{m}) = \tilde{A}/\mathfrak{m}$ . Then we define

$$(3.1.1) \quad \partial_A := \sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in S} \text{Nm}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m})/F} \circ \partial_{\mathfrak{m}} : K_r^M(K) \rightarrow K_{r-1}^M(F),$$

where  $\partial_{\mathfrak{m}} : K_r^M(K) \rightarrow K_{r-1}^M(\kappa(\mathfrak{m}))$  denotes the tame symbol for the discrete valuation ring  $\tilde{A}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ , the localization of  $\tilde{A}$  at  $\mathfrak{m}$ , and  $\text{Nm}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m})/F}$  is the norm map.

**3.2.** For  $x, y \in X$  we write

$$y < x \iff \overline{\{y\}} \subsetneq \overline{\{x\}}, \text{ i.e., } y \in \overline{\{x\}} \text{ and } y \neq x.$$

A *chain* on  $X$  is a sequence

$$(3.2.1) \quad \underline{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_n) \text{ with } x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n.$$

The chain  $\underline{x}$  is a *maximal Parsin chain* (or *maximal chain*) if  $n = d$  and  $x_i \in X_{(i)}$ . Note that the assumptions on  $X$  imply  $x_i \in \overline{\{x_{i+1}\}}^{(1)}$ . We denote

$$\text{mc}(X) = \{\text{maximal chains on } X\}.$$

A *maximal chain with break at  $r \in \{0, \dots, d\}$*  is a chain (3.2.1) with  $n = d - 1$  and  $x_i \in X_{(i)}$ , for  $i < r$ , and  $x_i \in X_{(i+1)}$ , for  $i \geq r$ . We denote

$$\text{mc}_r(X) = \{\text{maximal chain with break at } r \text{ on } X\}.$$

For  $\underline{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_{d-1}) \in \text{mc}_r(X)$ , we denote by  $b(\underline{x})$  the set of  $y \in X_{(r)}$  such that

$$(3.2.2) \quad \underline{x}(y) := (x_0, \dots, x_{r-1}, y, x_r, \dots, x_{d-1}) \in \text{mc}(X).$$

In the rest of this section, we fix  $F = \underline{\omega}^{\mathbf{CI}}G \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp}$  with  $G \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$  (cf. (1.0.4)). We also fix a function field  $K$  over the base field  $k$ . Let  $X$  be an integral scheme of finite type over  $K$  and assume  $d = \dim(X) \geq 1$ . Recall from [12, §5] that we have a collection of bilinear pairings (cf. the convention from §1(9))

$$(3.2.3) \quad \{(-, -)_{X/K, \underline{x}} : F(K(X)) \otimes K_d^M(K(X)) \rightarrow F(K)\}_{\underline{x} \in \text{mc}(X)}.$$

The following properties hold for all  $a \in F(K(X))$  (see Remark 3.3 below):

(HS1) Let  $X \hookrightarrow X'$  be an open immersion where  $X'$  is an integral  $K$ -scheme of dimension  $d$ . Then for all  $\beta \in K_d^M(K(X))$

$$(a, \beta)_{X/K, \underline{x}} = (a, \beta)_{X'/K, \underline{x}}.$$

(HS2) Let  $\underline{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_{d-1}, x_d) \in \text{mc}(X)$  and  $Z \subset X$  be the closure of  $z = x_{d-1}$ , and set  $\underline{x}' = (x_0, \dots, x_{d-1}) \in \text{mc}(Z)$ . Assume  $a \in F(\mathcal{O}_{X,z})$  and let  $a(z) \in F(K(Z))$  be the restriction of  $a$ . Then

$$(a, \beta)_{X/K, \underline{x}} = (a(z), \partial_z \beta)_{Z/K, \underline{x}'} \quad \text{for } \beta \in K_d^M(K(X)),$$

where  $\partial_z : K_d^M(K(X)) \rightarrow K_{d-1}^M(K(Z))$  is the map (3.1.1) for  $A = \mathcal{O}_{X,z}$ .

(HS3) Let  $D \subset X$  be an effective Cartier divisor with  $I_D \subset \mathcal{O}_X$  its ideal sheaf. Assume that  $X \setminus D$  is regular so that  $(X, D) \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}$  and that  $a \in F(X, D)$ . For  $\underline{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_{d-1}, x_d) \in \text{mc}(X)$ , we have

$$(a, \beta)_{X/K, \underline{x}} = 0 \quad \text{for } \beta \in \overline{K}_d^M(\mathcal{O}_{X, x_{d-1}}, I_D \mathcal{O}_{X, x_{d-1}}).$$

(HS4) Let  $\underline{x}' \in \text{mc}_r(X)$  with  $0 \leq r \leq d-1$ . For  $\beta \in K_d^M(K(X))$

$$(a, \beta)_{X/K, \underline{x}'(y)} = 0 \quad \text{for almost all } y \in \underline{x}'.$$

Assume either  $r \geq 1$  or that  $r = 0$ ,  $X$  is quasi-projective, and the closure of  $x_1$  in  $X$  is projective over  $K$ , where  $\underline{x}' = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ . Then

$$\sum_{y \in b(\underline{x}')} (a, \beta)_{X/K, \underline{x}'(y)} = 0.$$

*Remark 3.3.* The properties (HS1)-(HS4) are slight variants of the (stronger) properties (HS1)-(HS4) in [12, Proposition 5.3], where the Milnor  $K$ -group  $K_d^M(K_{X, \underline{x}}^h)$  of the iterated henselization  $K_{X, \underline{x}}^h$  of  $K(X)$  along the chain  $\underline{x}$  is used instead of  $K_d^M(K(X))$ . The version stated here follows easily using the natural maps  $\iota_{\underline{x}} : K(X) \rightarrow K_{X, \underline{x}}^h$  and the commutative diagram in the situation of (HS2):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_d^M(K_{X, \underline{x}}^h) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{\underline{x}}} & K_{d-1}^M(K_{Z, \underline{x}'}^h) \\ \uparrow \iota_{\underline{x}} & & \uparrow \iota_{\underline{x}'} \\ K_d^M(K(X)) & \xrightarrow{\partial_z} & K_{d-1}^M(K(Z)), \end{array}$$

and the commutative diagram in the situation of (HS4):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & K_{d-1}^M(K_{X,\underline{x}'}^h) \\
 & \nearrow \iota_{\underline{x}'} & \downarrow \iota_y \\
 K_d^M(K(X)) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\underline{x}'(y)}} & K_{d-1}^M(K_{X,\underline{x}'(y)}^h)
 \end{array}$$

where  $\partial_{\underline{x}}$  (resp.  $\iota_y$ ) is defined in [12, (4.1.1)] (resp. [12, (3.2.3)]). We also note that  $\overline{K}_d^M(\mathcal{O}_{X,x_{d-1}}, I_D \mathcal{O}_{X,x_{d-1}})$  in (HS2) coincides with the Zariski stalk at  $x_{d-1}$  of the sheaf  $\overline{V}_{d,X|D}$  defined in [12, 4.4].

For a scheme  $Z$  over  $k$ , write  $Z_K = Z \otimes_k K$ . If  $Z_K$  is integral, we denote by  $K(Z)$  the function field of  $Z_K$ . We quote the following result from [12, Pr. 7.3]. It is a key tool in the proof of Theorem 4.2.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$  and assume  $D$  is a reduced SNCD on  $X$  with  $I_D \subset \mathcal{O}_X$  its ideal sheaf. Let  $U \subset X$  be an open subset containing all the generic points of  $D$ . Let  $a \in F(X \setminus D)$ . Assume that for all function fields  $K/k$  and for all  $\underline{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_{d-1}, x_d) \in \text{mc}(U_K)$  with  $x_{d-1} \in D_K^{(0)}$ , we have*

$$(a, \beta)_{X_K/K, \underline{x}} = 0 \text{ for all } \beta \in \overline{K}^M(\mathcal{O}_{X,x_{d-1}}, I_D \mathcal{O}_{X,x_{d-1}}).$$

Then  $a \in F(X, D)$ .

#### 4. LOGARITHMIC COHOMOLOGY OF RECIPROCITY SHEAVES

For  $\mathcal{X} = (X, D) \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}_{ls}$ , we write  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{red}} = (X, D_{\text{red}}) \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}_{ls}$ . We say  $\mathcal{X} = (X, D) \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}_{ls}$  is reduced if  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_{\text{red}}$ .

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $F \in \underline{\mathbf{MPST}}$ .

- (1) We say that  $F$  is *log-semipure* if for any  $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}_{ls}$ , the map  $F(\mathcal{X}_{\text{red}}) \rightarrow F(\mathcal{X})$  is injective. Note that if  $F$  is semipure,  $F$  is log-semipure (cf. §1(16)).
- (2) We say that  $F$  is *logarithmic* if it is log-semipure and satisfies the condition that for  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}_{ls}$  with  $\mathcal{X}$  reduced and  $\alpha \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{fn}}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ , the image of  $\alpha^* : F(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow F(\mathcal{Y})$  is contained in  $F(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{red}}) \subset F(\mathcal{Y})$ .

Let  $\underline{\mathbf{MPST}}_{\text{log}}$  be the full subcategory of  $\underline{\mathbf{MPST}}$  consisting of logarithmic objects and put  $\underline{\mathbf{MNST}}_{\text{log}} = \underline{\mathbf{MNST}} \cap \underline{\mathbf{MPST}}_{\text{log}}$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *Any  $F \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp}$  is logarithmic, i.e.  $\mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp} \subset \underline{\mathbf{MNST}}_{\text{log}}$ .*

We need a preliminary for the proof of the theorem.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $F \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{T,sp}$ . Let  $\mathbf{A}_K^n = \text{Spec } K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be the affine space over a function field  $K$  over  $k$  and  $V = \text{Spec } K\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be the henselization of  $\mathbf{A}_K^n$  at the origin and  $\mathcal{L}_i = \{x_i = 0\} \subset V$  for  $i \in [1, n]$ . For an integer  $0 < r \leq n$ , the natural map*

$$K\{x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n\}[x_1, \dots, x_r] \rightarrow K\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$$

*induces a map in  $\mathbf{MCor}^{\text{pro}}$  (cf. §1(9)):*

$$\rho_r : (V, \mathcal{L}_1 + \dots + \mathcal{L}_r) \rightarrow (\mathbf{A}_S^r, \{x_1 \cdots x_r = 0\}) \simeq (\mathbf{A}^1, 0)^{\otimes r} \otimes (S, \emptyset),$$

*where  $S = \text{Spec } K\{x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ . It induces*

$$(4.3.1) \quad \rho_r^* : F(\mathbf{A}_S^r, \{x_1 \cdots x_r = 0\}) \rightarrow F(V, \mathcal{L}_1 + \dots + \mathcal{L}_r)$$

*Then  $F(V, \mathcal{L}_1 + \dots + \mathcal{L}_r)$  is generated by the image of  $\rho_r^*$  and*

$$F(V, \mathcal{L}_1 + \dots + \mathcal{L}_i + \dots + \mathcal{L}_r) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, r.$$

*Proof.* For  $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathbf{MCor}$ , let  $F^{\mathcal{Y}} \in \mathbf{MPST}$  be defined by  $F^{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{Z}) = F(\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{Z})$ . Clearly, we have  $F^{\mathcal{Y}} \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{T,sp}$  for  $F \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{T,sp}$ . We prove the lemma by the induction on  $r$ . The case  $r = 1$  holds since by [15, Lem. 7.1 and Lem 5.9],  $\rho_1$  induces an isomorphism

$$F^{(\mathbf{A}^1, 0)}(S)/F^{(\mathbf{A}^1, \emptyset)}(S) \xrightarrow{\simeq} F(V, \mathcal{L}_1)/F(V).$$

By definition  $\mathcal{L}_1 = \text{Spec } K\{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  and we have a map in  $\mathbf{MCor}^{\text{pro}}$ :

$$(V, \mathcal{L}_1 + \dots + \mathcal{L}_r) \rightarrow (\mathbf{A}^1, 0) \otimes (\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_1 \cap (\mathcal{L}_2 + \dots + \mathcal{L}_r))$$

induced by the natural map  $K\{x_2, \dots, x_n\}[x_1] \rightarrow K\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . By [15, Lem. 7.1 and Lem 5.9], it induces an isomorphism

$$F^{(\mathbf{A}^1, 0)}(\mathcal{L}_1, E)/F^{(\mathbf{A}^1, \emptyset)}(\mathcal{L}_1, E) \xrightarrow{\simeq} F(V, \mathcal{L}_1 + \dots + \mathcal{L}_r)/F(V, \mathcal{L}_2 + \dots + \mathcal{L}_r)$$

with  $E = \mathcal{L}_1 \cap (\mathcal{L}_2 + \dots + \mathcal{L}_r)$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $F^{(\mathbf{A}^1, 0)}(\mathcal{L}_1, E)$

is generated by  $F^{(\mathbf{A}^1, 0)}(\mathcal{L}_1, E_j)$  with  $E_j = \mathcal{L}_1 \cap (\mathcal{L}_2 \cdots + \mathcal{L}_j + \dots + \mathcal{L}_r)$  for  $j = 2, \dots, r$  together with the image of the map

$$(F^{(\mathbf{A}^1, 0)}(\mathbf{A}^1, 0)^{\otimes r-1}(S) = F^{(\mathbf{A}^1, 0)^{\otimes r}}(S) \rightarrow F^{(\mathbf{A}^1, 0)}(\mathcal{L}_1, E)$$

induced by

$$(\mathcal{L}_1, E) \rightarrow (\mathbf{A}_S^{r-1}, \{x_2 \cdots x_r = 0\}) \simeq (\mathbf{A}^1, 0)^{\otimes r-1} \otimes (S, \emptyset)$$

coming from the map  $K\{x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n\}[x_2, \dots, x_r] \rightarrow K\{x_2, \dots, x_d\}$ . This proves the lemma.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.2:* By Corollary 2.6(3), we may assume  $F = \underline{\omega}^{\mathbf{CI}G}$  for  $G \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ . Take  $\mathcal{X} = (X, D), \mathcal{Y} = (Y, E) \in \mathbf{MCor}_{ls}$  with  $\mathcal{X}$  reduced and let  $\alpha \in \mathbf{MCor}^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$  be an elementary correspondence. We need to show that  $\alpha^*(F(\mathcal{X})) \subset F(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{red}})$ . The question is Nisnevich

local over  $X$  and  $Y$ . Hence we may assume  $(X, D) = (V, \mathcal{L}_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{L}_r) \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}$  under the notation from Lemma 4.3. If  $r = 0$ , we have  $\alpha \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}((Y, \emptyset), (X, \emptyset))$  by the assumption  $\alpha \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$  so that

$$\alpha^*(F(\mathcal{X})) = \alpha^*(F(X, \emptyset)) \subset F(Y, \emptyset) \subset F(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{red}}).$$

Assume  $r > 0$  and proceed by the induction on  $r$ . By Lemma 4.3, we may assume then

$$(X, D) = \mathcal{M} := (\mathbf{A}^1, 0)^{\otimes r} \otimes (S, \emptyset) \text{ for } S \in \mathbf{Sm}^{\text{pro}}.$$

On the other hand, by Corollary 2.5, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F(Y, E_{\text{red}}) \rightarrow F(Y - E_{\text{red}}, \emptyset) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\xi \in E(0)} \frac{F(Y|_{\xi}^h - \xi, \emptyset)}{F(Y|_{\xi}^h, \xi)}.$$

Hence we may replace  $Y$  with its Nisnevich neighborhood of a generic point  $\xi$  of  $E$ . Using the assumption that  $k$  is perfect, we may then assume the following condition ( $\spadesuit$ ). Recall that  $\alpha$  is by definition an integral closed subscheme of  $(Y - E) \times (X - D)$  finite surjective over  $Y - E$  and its closure  $\bar{\alpha}$  in  $Y \times X$  is finite surjective over  $Y$ .

( $\spadesuit$ ) Let  $Y'$  be the normalization of  $\bar{\alpha}$  and  $E' := E \times_Y Y'$ . Then,  $X, Y, E$  and  $E'$  are irreducible, and  $\alpha, Y', E_{\text{red}}$  and  $E'_{\text{red}}$  are essentially smooth over  $k$ .

Let  $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$  and  $f : Y' \rightarrow X$  be the induced maps. We have  $E' = g^*E \geq f^*D$  as Cartier divisors on  $Y'$  by the modulus condition for  $\alpha$ . Hence these maps induce

$$F(X, D) \xrightarrow{f^*} F(Y', E') \xrightarrow{g^*} F(Y, E).$$

We claim that  $\alpha^* : F(X, D) \rightarrow F(Y, E)$  agrees with this map. Indeed, this follows from the equality

$$\Gamma_f \circ {}^t \Gamma_g = \alpha \in \mathbf{Cor}(Y - E, X - D),$$

where  ${}^t \Gamma_g \in \mathbf{Cor}(Y - E, Y' - E')$  is the transpose of the graph of  $g$  and  $\Gamma_f \in \mathbf{Cor}(Y' - E', X - D)$  is the graph of  $f$ . By definition this follows from the equality

$${}^t \Gamma_g \times_{Y' - E'} \Gamma_f = \alpha \subset (Y - E) \times (X - D)$$

which one can check easily noting  $Y' \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}$  is an isomorphism over  $\alpha$  since  $\alpha$  is regular by  $(\spadesuit)$ . Then we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & F(Y', E'_{\text{red}}) & & \\
& & \downarrow \hookrightarrow & & \\
& & F(Y', E_{\text{red}} \times_Y Y') & \xrightarrow{g^*} & F(Y, E_{\text{red}}) \\
& & \downarrow \hookrightarrow & & \downarrow \hookrightarrow \\
F(X, D) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & F(Y', E') & \xrightarrow{g^*} & F(Y, E)
\end{array}$$

where the top inclusion comes from the inequality  $E_{\text{red}} \times_Y Y' \geq E'_{\text{red}}$  as Cartier divisors on  $Y'$  thanks to the sempurity of  $F$  (cf. §1(16)). Hence it suffices to show  $f^*(F(X, D)) \subset F(Y', E'_{\text{red}})$ . By replacing  $(Y, E)$  with  $(Y', E')$ , we may now assume that  $\alpha$  is induced by a morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X = \mathbf{A}^r \times S$ . Then  $\alpha$  factors in  $\mathbf{MCor}$  as

$$(Y, E) \xrightarrow{i} (\mathbf{A}^1, 0)^{\otimes r} \otimes (Y, \emptyset) \rightarrow (\mathbf{A}^1, 0)^{\otimes r} \otimes (S, \emptyset),$$

where the first map is induced by the map

$$i = (pr_{\mathbf{A}^r} \circ f, id_Y) : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^r \times Y,$$

and the second induced by

$$id_{\mathbf{A}^r} \times (pr_S \circ f) : \mathbf{A}^r \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^r \times S.$$

Note that  $i$  is a section of the projection  $\mathbf{A}^r \times Y \rightarrow Y$ . Thus we are reduced to showing  $i^*(F((\mathbf{A}^1, 0)^{\otimes r} \otimes (Y, \emptyset))) \subset F(Y, E_{\text{red}})$ . By Proposition 3.4 this follows from the following.

*Claim 4.3.1.* Take  $a \in F((\mathbf{A}^1, 0)^{\otimes r} \otimes (Y, \emptyset))$ . There exists an open neighborhood  $U \subset Y$  of the generic point of  $E$  such that for every function field  $K$  over  $k$  and every  $\delta = (\delta_0, \dots, \delta_{e-1}, \delta_e) \in \text{mc}(U_K)$  with  $\xi := \delta_{e-1} \in E_K^{(0)}$  and  $e = \dim(Y)$ , we have

$$(i^*(a)_K, \gamma)_{Y_K/K, \delta} = 0 \quad \text{for } \forall \gamma \in \overline{K}_e^M(\mathcal{O}_{Y_K, \xi}, \mathfrak{m}_\xi)$$

for the pairing from (3.2.3):

$$(-, -)_{Y_K/K, \delta} : F(K(Y)) \otimes K_d^M(K(Y)) \rightarrow F(K).$$

*Proof.* After replacing  $Y$  by an open neighborhood of the generic point of  $E$ , we may assume that  $Y = \text{Spec}(A)$  is affine and  $E_{\text{red}} = \text{Spec}(A/(\pi))$  for  $\pi \in A$  and moreover that writing

$$\mathbf{A}^r \times Y = \text{Spec } A[x_1, \dots, x_r], \quad (\mathbf{A}^1, 0)^{\otimes r} \otimes (Y, \emptyset) = (\mathbf{A}_Y^r, \{x_1 \cdots x_r = 0\}),$$

we have

$$i(Y) = \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq r} \{x_i - u_i \pi^{m_i} = 0\} \quad \text{with } m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, u_i \in A^\times.$$

Let  $\delta = (\delta_0, \dots, \delta_e)$  be as in the claim and put  $\delta' = (\delta_0, \dots, \delta_{e-1}) \in \text{mc}((E_{\text{red}})_K)$ . Put  $\tilde{X}_K = \mathbf{A}^r \times Y_K$  and  $F = \{\pi = 0\} \subset \tilde{X}_K$ . Note  $d := \dim(\tilde{X}_K) = e + r$ . Let  $z_j$  for  $e \leq j \leq d-1$  be the generic point of

$$Z_j = \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq d-j} \{x_i - u_i \pi^{m_i} = 0\} \subset \tilde{X}_K$$

which lies over  $\delta_e$ <sup>5</sup>, and  $w_j$  for  $e-1 \leq j \leq d-2$  be the generic point of

$$W_j = F \cap Z_{j+1} = \{\pi = x_1 = \dots = x_{d-j-1} = 0\}$$

which is contained in the closure of  $z_{j+1}$ . Note  $\dim(Z_j) = \dim(W_j) = j$  and the section  $i$  induces isomorphisms

$$(4.3.2) \quad Y_K \simeq Z_e \quad \text{and} \quad (E_{\text{red}})_K \simeq W_{e-1}.$$

Let  $\sigma = (i(\delta'), w_e, \dots, w_{d-2}, \eta_1, \nu) \in \text{mc}(\tilde{X}_K)$ , where  $\nu$  is the generic point of  $\tilde{X}_K$  lying over  $\delta_e$  and  $\eta_1$  is the generic point of  $D_1 = \{x_1 = 0\} \subset \tilde{X}_K$  contained in the closure of  $\nu$  and  $i(\delta') \in \text{mc}(W_{e-1})$  is the image of  $\delta'$  under (4.3.2). Take any  $\gamma \in \overline{K}_e^M(\mathcal{O}_{Y_K, \xi}, \mathfrak{m}_\xi)$  and put

$$(4.3.3) \quad \beta = \{\iota(\gamma), \frac{u_1 \pi^{m_1} - x_1}{u_1 \pi^{m_1}}, \dots, \frac{u_r \pi^{m_r} - x_r}{u_r \pi^{m_r}}\} \in K_d^M(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_K, \nu}),$$

where  $\iota : K_e^M(\mathcal{O}_{Y_K, \delta_e}) \rightarrow K_e^M(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_K, \nu})$  is induced by the projection  $\tilde{X}_K \rightarrow Y_K$ . For  $a \in F((\mathbf{A}^1, 0)^{\otimes r} \otimes (Y, \emptyset))$  and its restriction  $a_K \in F((\mathbf{A}^1, 0)^{\otimes r} \otimes (Y_K, \emptyset))$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (a_K, \beta)_{\tilde{X}_K/K, \sigma} = - \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \tilde{X}_K^{(1)} - \{\eta_1\} \\ \tau > w_{d-2}}} (a_K, \beta)_{\tilde{X}_K/K, (i(\delta'), w_e, \dots, w_{d-2}, \tau, \nu)} \\ &= -(a_K, \beta)_{\tilde{X}_K/K, (i(\delta'), w_e, \dots, w_{d-2}, z_{d-1}, \nu)} \\ &= \pm((a_K)|_{Z_{d-1}}, \beta_1)_{Z_{d-1}/K, (i(\delta'), w_e, \dots, w_{d-2}, z_{d-1})}, \\ \beta_1 &= \{\iota_1(\gamma), \frac{u_2 \pi^{m_2} - x_2}{u_2 \pi^{m_2}}, \dots, \frac{u_r \pi^{m_r} - x_r}{u_r \pi^{m_r}}\} \in K_{d-1}^M(\mathcal{O}_{Z_{d-1}, z_{d-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\iota_1 : K_e^M(\mathcal{O}_{Y_K, \delta_e}) \rightarrow K_e^M(\mathcal{O}_{Z_{d-1}, z_{d-1}})$  is induced by the dominant map  $Z_{d-1} \rightarrow Y_K$  induced by the projection  $\tilde{X}_K \rightarrow Y_K$ . The first equality follows from §3 (HS3) applied to  $D_1 \subset \tilde{X}_K$  noting that  $\beta$  lies in  $\overline{K}_d^M(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_K, \eta_1}, \mathfrak{m}_{\eta_1})$  since  $(u_1 \pi^{m_1} - x_1)/u_1 \pi^{m_1} \in 1 + x_1 \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_K, \eta_1}$ . The second

<sup>5</sup>Although  $Y$  is assumed to be irreducible,  $Y_K$  may not be so and possibly a finite product of schemes essentially smooth over  $k$  noting  $k$  is perfect.

follows from (HS4). The third equality holds since  $z_{d-1}$  is the unique  $\tau \in \tilde{X}_K^{(1)} - \{\eta_1\}$  such that  $\tau > w_{d-2}$  and  $(a_K, \beta)_{\tilde{X}_K/K, (i(\delta'), w_e, \dots, w_{d-2}, \tau, \nu)}$  may not vanish, which follows from (HS2) noting  $\iota(\gamma)|_F = 0$ . Finally the last equality follows from (HS2). When  $r = 1$ , the last term in the above formula is equal to  $((a_K)|_{Y_K}, \gamma)_{Y_K/K, \delta}$  by (4.3.2) so that the proof is complete. When  $r > 1$ , we further get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= ((a_K)|_{Z_{d-1}}, \beta_1)_{Z_{d-1}/K, (i(\delta'), w_e, \dots, w_{d-2}, z_{d-1})} \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{\tau \in Z_{d-1}^{(1)} - \{w_{d-2}\} \\ \tau > w_{d-3}}} ((a_K)|_{Z_{d-1}}, \beta_1)_{Z_{d-1}/K, (i(\delta'), w_e, \dots, w_{d-3}, \tau, z_{d-1})} \\ &= -((a_K)|_{Z_{d-1}}, \beta_1)_{Z_{d-1}/K, (i(\delta'), w_e, \dots, w_{d-3}, z_{d-2}, z_{d-1})} \\ &= \pm((a_K)|_{Z_{d-2}}, \beta_2)_{Z_{d-2}/K, (i(\delta'), w_e, \dots, w_{d-3}, z_{d-2})}, \\ \beta_2 &= \{\iota_2(\gamma), \frac{u_3\pi^{m_3} - x_3}{u_3\pi^{m_3}}, \dots, \frac{u_r\pi^{m_r} - x_r}{u_r\pi^{m_r}}\} \in K_e^M(\mathcal{O}_{Z_{d-2}, z_{d-2}}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\iota_2 : K_e^M(\mathcal{O}_{Y_K, \delta_e}) \rightarrow K_e^M(\mathcal{O}_{Z_{d-2}, z_{d-2}})$  is induced by the dominant map  $Z_{d-2} \rightarrow Y_K$  induced by the projection  $\tilde{X}_K \rightarrow Y_K$ . The above equalities hold by the same arguments as above except that for the third equality, there are a priori two  $\tau \in Z_{d-1}^{(1)} - \{w_{d-2}\}$  with  $\tau > w_{d-3}$  for which  $((a_K)|_{Z_{d-1}}, \beta_1)_{Z_{d-1}/K, (i(\delta'), w_e, \dots, w_{d-3}, \tau, z_{d-1})}$  may not vanish. One is  $z_{d-2}$  and another is the generic point  $\eta_2$  of  $Z_{d-1} \cap D_2$  with  $D_2 = \{x_2 = 0\} \subset \tilde{X}_K$  which is contained in the closure of  $z_{d-1}$ . But  $((a_K)|_{Z_{d-1}}, \beta_1)_{Z_{d-1}/K, (i(\delta'), w_e, \dots, w_{d-3}, \eta_2, z_{d-1})} = 0$ . Indeed,  $(a_K)|_{Z_{d-1}} \in F(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_{d-1}, \eta_2}), \eta_2)$  since  $Z_{d-1}$  and  $D_2$  intersect transversally in  $\tilde{X}_K$ . Hence the vanishing follows from (HS3) applied to  $Z_{d-1} \cap D_2 \subset Z_{d-1}$  noting  $((u_2\pi^{m_2} - x_2)/u_2\pi^{m_2})|_{Z_{d-1}} \in 1 + x_2\mathcal{O}_{Z_{d-1}, \eta_2}$  so that  $\beta_1 \in K_d^M(\mathcal{O}_{Z_{d-1}, \eta_2}, \mathfrak{m}_{\eta_2})$ . Repeating the same arguments, we finally get

$$0 = ((a_K)|_{Z_e}, \iota_r(\gamma))_{Z_e/K, (i(\delta'), z_e)} = ((a_K)|_{Y_K}, \gamma)_{Y_K/K, \delta},$$

where  $\iota_r : K_e^M(\mathcal{O}_{Y_K, \delta_e}) \rightarrow K_e^M(\mathcal{O}_{Z_e, z_e})$  is induced by the isomorphism  $Z_e \rightarrow Y_K$  induced by the projection  $\tilde{X}_K \rightarrow Y_K$  and the second equality follows from (4.3.2). This completes the proof of the claim and Theorem 4.2.  $\square$

**Definition 4.4.** For  $F \in \underline{\mathbf{MNST}}_{\log}$  and an integer  $i \geq 0$ , consider the association

$$H_{\log}^i(-, F) : \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}_{ls}^{\text{fin}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}; (X, D) \rightarrow H^i(X_{\text{Nis}}, F_{(X, D_{\text{red}})}).$$

By the definition this gives a presheaf on  $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}_{ls}^{\text{fin}}$ , which we call *the  $i$ -th logarithmic cohomology with coefficient  $F$* .

## 5. INVARIANCE OF LOGARITHMIC COHOMOLOGY UNDER BLOWUPS

Let the notation be as in §4.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $\Lambda_{ls}^{\text{fin}}$  be the class of morphisms  $\rho : (Y, E) \rightarrow (X, D)$  in  $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}_{ls}^{\text{fin}}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (a)  $\rho$  is induced by a proper morphism  $\rho : Y \rightarrow X$  inducing an isomorphism  $Y \setminus E \xrightarrow{\cong} X \setminus D$  and  $E = \rho^*D$ .
- (b) Zariski locally on  $X$ ,  $\rho : Y \rightarrow X$  is the blowup of  $X$  in a smooth center  $Z \subset D$  which is normal crossing to  $D$ .

Here, a smooth  $Z$  contained in  $D$  is normal crossing to  $D$  if letting  $D_1, \dots, D_n$  be the irreducible components of  $D$ , there exists a subset  $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $Z \subset \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i$  and  $Z$  is not contained in  $D_j$  for any  $j \notin I$  and intersects  $\sum_{j \notin I} D_j$  transversally. Note that the condition is equivalent to that called strict normal crossing in [2, Def. 7.2.1].

**Theorem 5.2.** For  $F \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp}$  and  $\rho : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  in  $\Lambda_{ls}^{\text{fin}}$ , we have

$$(5.2.1) \quad \rho^* : H_{\log}^i(\mathcal{X}, F) \cong H_{\log}^i(\mathcal{Y}, F) \quad \text{for } \forall i \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Write  $\mathcal{Y} = (Y, E)$  and  $\mathcal{X} = (X, D)$ . First we prove the theorem in case  $i = 0$ . We may assume that  $D$  is reduced and  $E = \rho^*D$ . By [4, Pr. 1.9.2 b)],  $\rho$  is invertible in  $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$  so that  $\rho^* : F(\mathcal{X}) \cong F(\mathcal{Y})$ . Since this factors through  $F(Y, E_{\text{red}})$  by Theorem 4.2, we get (5.2.1) for  $i = 0$ .

To show (5.2.1) for  $i > 0$ , it suffices to prove  $R^i \rho_* F_{(Y, E_{\text{red}})} = 0$ . The problem is Nisnevich local so we may assume that  $\rho$  is induced by a blowup  $\rho : Y \rightarrow X$  in a smooth center  $Z \subset D$  normal crossing to  $D$ . By [8, Cor. 9], Nisnevich locally around a point of  $Z$ ,  $(X, D)$  is isomorphic to

$$(\mathbf{A}^c, L_1 + \dots + L_r) \otimes \mathcal{W} \quad \text{with } \mathcal{W} = (W, W^\infty) \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}_{ls},$$

where  $\mathbf{A}^c = \text{Spec } k[t_1, \dots, t_c]$  with  $c = \text{codim}_z(Z, X)$  and  $L_i = V(t_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$  with  $1 \leq r \leq c$ , and  $Z$  corresponds to  $0 \times W$ . Hence the theorem follows from the following proposition.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.3.** Let  $F \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp}$  and  $\mathcal{W} = (W, W^\infty) \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}_{ls}$ . Let  $\mathbf{A}^n = \text{Spec } k[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  and put  $L_i = V(t_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $\rho : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^n$  be the blow-up at the origin  $0 \in \mathbf{A}^n$  and  $\tilde{L}_i \subset Y$  be the strict transforms of  $L_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $E = \rho^{-1}(0) \subset Y$ . For any  $1 \leq r \leq n$ , we have

$$(5.3.1) \quad R^i \rho_{W*} F_{(Y, \tilde{L}_1 + \dots + \tilde{L}_r + E) \otimes \mathcal{W}} = 0 \quad \text{for } i \geq 1,$$

where  $\rho_W := \rho \times \text{id}_W : Y \times W \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^n \times W$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *Proposition 5.3 holds for  $n = 2$ .*

*Proof.* The case  $r = 1$  is proved in [3, Lem. 2.13] and we show the case  $r = 2$ .<sup>6</sup> Put  $D = L_1 + L_2$ . By the case  $i = 0$  of Theorem 5.2, we get

$$(5.4.1) \quad F_{(\mathbf{A}^2, D) \otimes \mathcal{W}} \cong \rho_{W*} F_{(Y, \tilde{L}_1 + \tilde{L}_2 + E) \otimes \mathcal{W}}.$$

Set

$$\mathcal{F} := F_{(Y, \tilde{L}_1 + \tilde{L}_2 + E) \otimes \mathcal{W}},$$

and  $\mathbf{A}_W^2 = \mathbf{A}^2 \times W$  with the projection  $p : \mathbf{A}_W^2 \rightarrow W$ . Since  $R^i \rho_{W*} \mathcal{F}$  for  $i \geq 1$  is supported in  $0 \times W$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} R^i \rho_{W*} \mathcal{F} = 0 &\iff p_* R^i \rho_{W*} \mathcal{F} = 0 \\ &\iff (p_* R^i \rho_{W*} \mathcal{F})_w = 0 \text{ for } \forall w \in W \\ &\iff H^0(\mathbf{A}_{W_w}^2, R^i \rho_{W*} \mathcal{F}) = 0 \text{ for } \forall w \in W, \end{aligned}$$

where  $W_w$  is the henselization of  $W$  at  $w$ . Hence, it suffices to show  $H^0(\mathbf{A}_W^2, R^i \rho_{W*} \mathcal{F}) = 0$  assuming  $W$  is henselian local. Then, we have

$$H^j(\mathbf{A}_W^2, R^i \rho_{W*} \mathcal{F}) = 0, \quad \text{for all } i, j \geq 1.$$

By (5.4.1) and [3, Lem. 2.10]

$$H^i(\mathbf{A}_W^2, \rho_{W*} \mathcal{F}) = H^i(\mathbf{A}_W^2, F_{(\mathbf{A}^2, D) \otimes \mathcal{W}}) = 0.$$

Thus the Leray spectral sequence yields

$$H^0(\mathbf{A}_W^2, R^i \rho_{W*} \mathcal{F}) = H^i(Y \times W, \mathcal{F}), \quad i \geq 0,$$

and we have to show, that this group vanishes for  $i \geq 1$ . We can write

$$\mathbf{A}^2 = \text{Spec } k[x, y] \quad \text{and} \quad L_1 = V(x), \quad L_2 = V(y) \subset \mathbf{A}^2.$$

Then we have

$$Y = \text{Proj } k[x, y][S, T]/(xT - yS) \subset \mathbf{A}^2 \times \mathbf{P}^1.$$

Denote by

$$\pi_0 : Y \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^2 \times \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1 = \text{Proj } k[S, T]$$

the morphism induced by projection and let  $\pi : Y \times W \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_W^1$  be its base change. Then  $\pi_0$  induces an isomorphism  $E \simeq \mathbf{P}^1$ , and we have

$$(5.4.2) \quad \tilde{L}_1 = \pi_0^{-1}(0), \quad \tilde{L}_2 = \pi_0^{-1}(\infty).$$

Set  $s = S/T = x/y$  and write

$$\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\} = \mathbf{A}_s^1 := \text{Spec } k[s], \quad \mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \{0\} = \text{Spec } k[\frac{1}{s}].$$

Set  $U := \mathbf{A}_s^1 \times W$  and  $V := (\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \{0\}) \times W$  and

$$\mathcal{U} := (\mathbf{A}_s^1, 0) \otimes \mathcal{W}, \quad \mathcal{V} := (\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \{0\}, \infty) \otimes \mathcal{W}.$$

<sup>6</sup>The following argument is adopted from [3, Lem. 2.13], but the present case is easier.

We have

$$\pi^{-1}(U) = \mathbf{A}_y^1 \times U, \quad \pi^{-1}(V) = \mathbf{A}_x^1 \times V,$$

and the restriction of  $\pi$  to these open subsets is given by projection. Furthermore,  $E \times W \subset Y$  is defined by  $y = 0$  on  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  and by  $x = 0$  on  $\pi^{-1}(V)$ . In view of (5.4.2), we have

$$(5.4.3) \quad \mathcal{F}|_{\pi^{-1}(U)} = F_{(\mathbf{A}_y^1, 0) \otimes U}, \quad \mathcal{F}|_{\pi^{-1}(V)} = F_{(\mathbf{A}_x^1, 0) \otimes V}.$$

Thus [3, Lem. 2.10] yields

$$R^j \pi_* \mathcal{F} = 0 \quad \text{for } j \geq 1,$$

and it remains to show

$$(5.4.4) \quad H^i(\mathbf{P}_W^1, \pi_* \mathcal{F}) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \geq 1.$$

where  $\mathbf{P}_W^1 = \mathbf{P}^1 \times W$ . For this consider the map

$$a_0 : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_x^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$$

which is the closed immersion  $Y \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^2 \times \mathbf{P}^1$  followed by the projection  $\mathbf{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_x^1$ . Let  $a : Y \times W \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_x^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 \times W$  be its base change. In view of (5.4.2), the map  $a$  induces a morphism in  $\underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}$ :

$$\alpha : (Y, \tilde{L}_1 + \tilde{L}_2 + E) \otimes \mathcal{W} \rightarrow (\mathbf{A}_x^1, 0) \otimes (\mathbf{P}^1, \infty) \otimes \mathcal{W},$$

which is an isomorphism over  $(\mathbf{A}_x^1, 0) \otimes (\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \{0\}, \infty) \otimes \mathcal{W}$ . Setting

$$F_1 := \underline{\mathbf{H}}\mathbf{om}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbf{A}_x^1, 0), F) \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp},$$

it induces a map of Nisnevich sheaves on  $\mathbf{P}_W^1$ :

$$\pi_*(\alpha^*) : F_{1, (\mathbf{P}^1, \infty) \otimes \mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{F},$$

which becomes an isomorphism over  $(\mathbf{P}^1 - \{0\}) \times W$ . Hence (5.4.4) follows from

$$H^i(\mathbf{P}_W^1, F_{1, (\mathbf{P}^1, \infty) \otimes \mathcal{W}}) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \geq 1,$$

which follows from [15, Th. 0.6].  $\square$

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $N > 2$  be an integer and assume that Proposition 5.3 holds for  $n < N$ . Let  $(X, D) \in \underline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Cor}_{ls}$  and  $Z \subset X$  be a smooth integral closed subscheme with  $2 \leq \text{codim}(Z, X) =: c < N$ . Assume*

$$D = D_1 + \cdots + D_r + D' \quad \text{with } r \leq c,$$

where  $D_1, \dots, D_r$  are distinct and reduced irreducible components of  $D$  containing  $Z$  and  $D'$  is an effective divisor on  $X$  such that none of the component of  $D'$  contains  $Z$  and  $Z$  is transversal to  $|D'|$ . Let  $\rho : Y \rightarrow X$  be the blow-up of  $X$  in  $Z$  and  $\tilde{D}_i, \tilde{D}' \subset Y$  be the strict

transforms of  $D_i$  and  $D'$  respectively and  $E_Z = \rho^{-1}(Z)$ . Then, for all  $\mathcal{W} = (W, W^\infty) \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}_{ls}$ ,

$$R^i \rho_{W*} F_{(Y, \tilde{D}_1 + \dots + \tilde{D}_r + E_Z + \tilde{D}') \otimes \mathcal{W}} = 0 \text{ for } i \geq 1,$$

where  $\rho_W : Y \times W \rightarrow X \times W$  denotes the base change of  $\rho$ .

*Proof.* <sup>7</sup> The question is Nisnevich local around the points in  $Z \times W$ . Let  $z \in Z \times W$  be a point and set  $A := \mathcal{O}_{X \times W, z}^h$ . For  $V \subset Y \times W$  we denote by  $V_{(z)} := V \times_{X \times W} \text{Spec } A$ . By assumption we find a regular system of local parameters  $t_1, \dots, t_m$  of  $A$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} (D_i \times W)_{(z)} &= V(t_i) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r, \quad (Z \times W)_{(z)} = V(t_1, \dots, t_c), \\ (D' \times W)_{(z)} &= V(t_{c+1}^{e_{c+1}} \cdots t_{m_0}^{e_{m_0}}) \text{ with } c+1 \leq m_0 \leq m, \\ (X \times W^\infty)_{(z)} &= V(t_{m_0+1}^{e_{m_0+1}} \cdots t_{m_1}^{e_{m_1}}) \text{ with } m_0 \leq m_1 \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $K$  be the residue field of  $A$ , we can choose a ring homomorphism  $K \hookrightarrow A$  which is a section of  $A \rightarrow K$ . Then we obtain an isomorphism

$$K\{t_1, \dots, t_m\} \xrightarrow{\sim} A.$$

Let  $\rho_1 : \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^c$  be the blow-up in 0. By the above

$$\rho_W : (Y, \tilde{D}_1 + \dots + \tilde{D}_r + E_Z + \tilde{D}') \otimes \mathcal{W} \rightarrow (X, D) \otimes \mathcal{W}$$

is Nisnevich locally around  $z$  isomorphic over  $k$  to the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} (\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^c, \tilde{L}_1 + \dots + \tilde{L}_r + E) \otimes \mathcal{W}' &\rightarrow (\mathbf{A}^c, L_1 + \dots + L_r) \otimes \mathcal{W}', \\ (\mathcal{W}' = (\mathbf{A}_K^{m-c}, (\prod_{i=c+1}^{m_1} t_i^{e_i}))) & \end{aligned}$$

induced by a map  $(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^c, \tilde{L}_1 + \dots + \tilde{L}_r + E) \rightarrow (\mathbf{A}^c, L_1 + \dots + L_r)$  as in Proposition 5.3. Hence the statement follows from the proposition for  $n = c < N$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 5.3.* The proof is by induction on  $n \geq 2$ . The case  $n = 2$  follows from Lemma 5.4. Assume  $n > 2$  and the proposition is proven for  $\mathbf{A}^m$  with  $m < n$ . In case  $r = 1$ , Proposition 5.3 is proved in [3, Th. 2.12]. Assume  $r \geq 2$ . Let  $Z := L_1 \cap L_2 \subset \mathbf{A}^n$  and  $\tilde{Z} \subset Y$  be the strict transform of  $Z$ . Denote by  $\rho' : Y' \rightarrow Y$  the blow-up of  $Y$  in  $\tilde{Z}$  and  $\tilde{L}'_i, E' \subset Y'$  be the strict transforms of  $\tilde{L}, E$  respectively and  $E'' = (\rho')^{-1}(\tilde{Z})$ . Note that  $\tilde{Z} = \tilde{L}_1 \cap \tilde{L}_2$  intersecting transversally with  $\tilde{L}_3 + \dots + \tilde{L}_r + E$  and  $\text{codim}(\tilde{Z}, Y) = 2$ . Hence, by Lemma 5.5

$$R^i \rho'_{W*} F_{(Y', \tilde{L}'_1 + \dots + \tilde{L}'_r + E' + E'') \otimes \mathcal{W}} = 0 \text{ for } i \geq 1.$$

<sup>7</sup>The proof is adopted from [3, Lem. 2.14].

Since Theorem 5.2 has been proved for  $i = 0$ , we have

$$\rho'_* F_{(Y', \tilde{L}'_1 + \dots + \tilde{L}'_r + E' + E'') \otimes \mathcal{W}} = F_{(Y, \tilde{L}_1 + \dots + \tilde{L}_r + E) \otimes \mathcal{W}}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$(5.5.1) \quad R^i \rho_{W*} F_{(Y, \tilde{L}_1 + \dots + \tilde{L}_r + E) \otimes \mathcal{W}} = R^i (\rho \rho')_{W*} F_{(Y', \tilde{L}'_1 + \dots + \tilde{L}'_r + E' + E'') \otimes \mathcal{W}}.$$

Denote by  $\sigma : \hat{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^n$  the blow-up in  $Z$  and  $\hat{L}_i \subset \hat{Y}$  be the strict transform of  $L_i$  and  $\Xi = \sigma^{-1}(Z)$ . By Lemma 5.5 we get

$$(5.5.2) \quad R^i \sigma_{W*} F_{(\hat{Y}, \hat{L}_1 + \dots + \hat{L}_r + \Xi) \otimes \mathcal{W}} = 0 \quad \text{for } i \geq 1.$$

Denote by  $\sigma' : \hat{Y}' \rightarrow \hat{Y}$  the blow-up in  $\hat{Z} = \sigma^{-1}(0) \subset \Xi$  and  $\hat{L}'_i, \Xi' \subset \hat{Y}'$  be the strict transforms of  $\hat{L}_i, \Xi$  respectively and  $\Xi'' = \sigma'^{-1}(\hat{Z})$ . Note that  $\hat{Z} \subset \hat{L}_3 \cap \dots \cap \hat{L}_n \cap \Xi$  and  $\text{codim}(\hat{Z}, \hat{Y}) = n - 1$  and  $\hat{Z}$  intersects transversally with  $\hat{L}_1 + \hat{L}_2$ . Thus by Lemma 5.5 and the case  $i = 0$  of Theorem 5.2, we obtain

$$(5.5.3) \quad R \sigma'_{W*} F_{(\hat{Y}', \hat{L}'_1 + \dots + \hat{L}'_r + \Xi' + \Xi'') \otimes \mathcal{W}} = F_{(\hat{Y}, \hat{L}_1 + \dots + \hat{L}_r + \Xi) \otimes \mathcal{W}}.$$

Finally, by [3, Lem. 2.15], there is an isomorphism of  $\mathbf{A}^n \times W$ -schemes

$$(5.5.4) \quad (\hat{Y}', \hat{L}'_1, \dots, \hat{L}'_r, \Xi', \Xi'') \cong (Y', \tilde{L}'_1, \dots, \tilde{L}'_r, E', E'').$$

Altogether we obtain for  $i \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} R^i \rho_{W*} F_{(Y, \tilde{L}_1 + \dots + \tilde{L}_r + E) \otimes \mathcal{W}} &= R^i (\rho \rho')_{W*} F_{(Y', \tilde{L}'_1 + \dots + \tilde{L}'_r + E' + E'') \otimes \mathcal{W}}, & \text{by (5.5.1),} \\ &= R^i (\sigma \sigma')_{W*} F_{(\hat{Y}', \hat{L}'_1 + \dots + \hat{L}'_r + \Xi' + \Xi'') \otimes \mathcal{W}}, & \text{by (5.5.4),} \\ &= R^i \sigma_{W*} F_{(\hat{Y}, \hat{L}_1 + \dots + \hat{L}_r + \Xi) \otimes \mathcal{W}}, & \text{by (5.5.3),} \\ &= 0, & \text{by (5.5.2).} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

*Remark 5.6.* For simplicity, we write

$$H_{\log}^i(-, F) = H_{\log}^i(-, \underline{\omega}^{\text{CI}} F) \quad \text{for } F \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}.$$

By [10, Cor. 6.8], if  $\text{ch}(k) = 0$  and  $F = \Omega^i$ , we have

$$H_{\log}^i(-, \Omega^i) = H^i(X, \Omega^i(\log |D|)) \quad \text{for } (X, D) \in \mathbf{MCor}_{l_s}.$$

Hence  $H_{\log}^i(-, F)$  for  $F \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$  is a generalization of cohomology of sheaves of logarithmic differentials.

## 6. RELATION WITH LOGARITHMIC SHEAVES WITH TRANSFERS

In this section we use the same notations as [2].

Let  $\mathbf{lSm}$  be the category of log smooth and separated fs log schemes of finite type over the base field  $k$  and  $\mathbf{SmlSm} \subset \mathbf{lSm}$  be the full subcategory consisting of objects whose underlying schemes are smooth over  $k$ . Let  $\mathbf{lCor}$  be the category with the same objects as  $\mathbf{lSm}$  and whose morphisms are log correspondences defined in [2, Def. 2.1.1]. Let  $\mathbf{lCor}_{\mathbf{SmlSm}} \subset \mathbf{lCor}$  be the full subcategory consisting of all objects in  $\mathbf{SmlSm}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{PSh}^{\text{ltr}}$  be the category of additive presheaves of abelian groups on  $\mathbf{lCor}$  and  $\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}} \subset \mathbf{PSh}^{\text{ltr}}$  be the full subcategory consisting of those  $\mathcal{F}$  whose restrictions to  $\mathbf{lSm}$  are dividing Nisnevich sheaves (see [2, Def. 3.1.4]). It is shown in [2, Th. 1.2.1 and Pr. 4.7.5] that  $\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}}$  is a Grothendieck abelian category and there is an equivalence of categories

$$(6.0.1) \quad \mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}} \simeq \mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}}(\mathbf{SmlSm}),$$

where the right hand side denotes the full subcategory of the category  $\mathbf{PSh}^{\text{ltr}}(\mathbf{SmlSm})$  of additive presheaves of abelian groups on  $\mathbf{lCor}_{\mathbf{SmlSm}}$  consisting of those  $\mathcal{F}$  whose restrictions to  $\mathbf{SmlSm}$  are dividing Nisnevich sheaves.

Now we construct a functor

$$(6.0.2) \quad \mathcal{L}og : \underline{\mathbf{MNST}}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}}.$$

For  $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{M}) \in \mathbf{SmlSm}$ , we put  $\mathfrak{X}^{MP} = (X, \partial\mathfrak{X})$ , where  $\partial\mathfrak{X} \subset X$  is the closed subscheme consisting of the points where the log-structure  $\mathcal{M}$  is not trivial. By [2, Lem. A.5.10],  $\partial\mathfrak{X}$  with reduced structure is a normal crossing divisor on  $X$  so that we can view  $\mathfrak{X}^{MP}$  as an objects of  $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}_{ls}$ . For  $F \in \underline{\mathbf{MPST}}_{\log}$  and  $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathbf{SmlSm}$ , we put

$$(6.0.3) \quad F^{\log}(\mathfrak{X}) = F(\mathfrak{X}^{MP}).$$

Take  $\mathfrak{Y} \in \mathbf{SmlSm}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbf{lCor}(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{X})$ . By [2, Def. 2.1.1 and Rem. 2.1.2(iii)], we have

$$\alpha \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{fin}}((Y, n \cdot \partial\mathfrak{Y}), (X, \partial\mathfrak{X})) \text{ for some } n > 0,$$

where  $n \cdot \partial\mathfrak{Y} \hookrightarrow Y$  is the  $n$ -th thickening of  $\partial\mathfrak{Y} \hookrightarrow Y$ . By the assumption  $F \in \underline{\mathbf{MPST}}_{\log}$ , the induced map

$$F^{\log}(\mathfrak{X}) = F(\mathfrak{X}^{MP}) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} F(Y, n \cdot \partial\mathfrak{Y})$$

factors through  $F^{\log}(\mathfrak{Y}) = F(Y, \partial\mathfrak{Y}) \subset F(Y, n \cdot \partial\mathfrak{Y})$  and we get a map

$$\alpha^{*\log} : F^{\log}(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow F^{\log}(\mathfrak{Y}).$$

Moreover, for a map  $\gamma : F \rightarrow G$  in  $\underline{\mathbf{MPST}}_{\log}$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^{\log}(\mathfrak{X}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & G^{\log}(\mathfrak{X}) \\ \downarrow \alpha^{*\log} & & \downarrow \alpha^{*\log} \\ F^{\log}(\mathfrak{Y}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & G^{\log}(\mathfrak{Y}) \end{array}$$

is obviously commutative. Hence the assignment  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow F^{\log}(\mathcal{X})$  gives an object  $F^{\log}$  of  $\mathbf{PSh}^{\text{ltr}}(\mathbf{SmlSm})$  and we get a functor

$$(6.0.4) \quad \mathcal{L}og : \underline{\mathbf{MPST}}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathbf{PSh}^{\text{ltr}}(\mathbf{SmlSm}) ; F \rightarrow F^{\log}.$$

By the definitions of sheaves ([4, Def. 1] and [2, Def. 3.1.4]) and [4, Pr. 1.9.2], this induces a functor

$$\underline{\mathbf{MNST}}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}}(\mathbf{SmlSm})$$

which induces the desired functor (6.0.2) using (6.0.1). By the construction, for  $F \in \underline{\mathbf{MNST}}_{\log}$  and  $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathbf{SmlSm}$  with  $\mathcal{X} = \mathfrak{X}^{MP} \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}_{ls}$ , we have

$$(6.0.5) \quad H_{\text{Nis}}^i(X, F_{\mathcal{X}}) = H_{s\text{Nis}}^i(\mathfrak{X}, F^{\log}) (F^{\log} = \mathcal{L}og(F)),$$

where the right hand side is the cohomology for the strict Nisnevich topology (see [2, Def. 4.3.1]).

**Theorem 6.1.** *For  $F \in \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp}$ ,  $F^{\log} = \mathcal{L}og(F) \in \mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}}$  is strictly  $\square$ -invariant in the sense [2, Def. 5.2.2]. For  $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathbf{SmlSm}$  with  $\mathcal{X} = \mathfrak{X}^{MP} \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}_{ls}$ , we have a natural isomorphism*

$$(6.1.1) \quad H_{\text{Nis}}^i(X, F_{\mathcal{X}}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{logDM}^{\text{eff}}} (M(\mathfrak{X}), F^{\log}[i]),$$

where  $\mathbf{logDM}^{\text{eff}}$  is the triangulated category of logarithmic motives defined in [2, Def. 5.2.1].

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{div}}^{Sm}$  be the category of log modifications  $\mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  such that  $\mathfrak{Y} \in \mathbf{SmlSm}$  (see [2, Def. A.11.12]) and  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{divsc}}^{Sm} \subset \mathfrak{X}_{\text{div}}^{Sm}$  be the full subcategory given by those maps  $\mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  that are isomorphic to compositions of log modifications along smooth centers (see [2, Def. 4.4.4 and A.14.10]). We have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{Nis}}^i(X, F_{\mathcal{X}}) &\stackrel{(6.0.5)}{\simeq} H_{s\text{Nis}}^i(\mathfrak{X}, F^{\log}) \stackrel{(*1)}{\simeq} \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{Y} \in \mathfrak{X}_{\text{divsc}}^{Sm}} H_{s\text{Nis}}^i(\mathfrak{Y}, F^{\log}) \\ &\stackrel{(*2)}{\simeq} \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{Y} \in \mathfrak{X}_{\text{div}}^{Sm}} H_{s\text{Nis}}^i(\mathfrak{Y}, F^{\log}) \stackrel{(*3)}{\simeq} H_{\text{dNis}}^i(\mathfrak{X}, F^{\log}), \end{aligned}$$

where (\*2) follows from [2, Cor. 4.4.5] and (\*3) from [2, Th. 5.1.8], and (\*1) is a consequence of Theorem 5.2 in view of (6.0.5) and the

fact that a log modification of  $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{M}) \in \mathbf{SmlSm}$  along smooth center is induced Zariski locally by a blow up of  $X$  in an intersection of irreducible components of  $\partial\mathfrak{X}$  so that it corresponds to a morphism in  $\Lambda_{l_s}^{\text{fin}}$  from Definition 5.1.

Hence the strict  $\square$ -invariance of  $F^{\text{log}}$  follows from [15, Th. 0.6]. Finally (6.1.1) follows from [2, Pr. 5.2.3].  $\square$

Now we consider the composite functor

$$\mathcal{L}og' : \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}} \xrightarrow{\omega^{\text{CI}}} \mathbf{CI}_{\text{Nis}}^{\tau, sp} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}og} \mathbf{CI}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}},$$

where  $\mathbf{CI}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}} \subset \mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}}$  is the full subcategory consisting of strictly  $\square$ -invariant objects. By [1, Th. 5.7],  $\mathbf{CI}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}}$  is a Grothendieck abelian category.

**Lemma 6.2.**  *$\mathcal{L}og$  and  $\mathcal{L}og'$  have the same essential image.*

*Proof.* This follows directly from the construction and Corollary 2.6(3).  $\square$

In what follows, we let

$$(6.2.1) \quad \mathcal{L}og : \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CI}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}} : F \rightarrow F^{\text{log}}$$

denote  $\mathcal{L}og'$  defined as above. By (6.0.3), we have

$$(6.2.2) \quad F^{\text{log}}(X, \text{triv}) = F(X) \text{ for } F \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}, X \in \mathbf{Sm},$$

where  $(X, \text{triv})$  denotes the log-scheme with the trivial log structure.

**Theorem 6.3.**  *$\mathcal{L}og$  is exact and fully faithful.*

*Proof.* First we prove the full faithfulness. The faithfulness follows from (6.2.2). Let  $F, G \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$  and  $\gamma : F^{\text{log}} \rightarrow G^{\text{log}}$  be a map in  $\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}}$ . By (6.2.2) it induces maps  $\gamma_X : F(X) \rightarrow G(X)$  for all  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$ . They are compatible with the action of  $\mathbf{Cor}$  since by [2, Example 2.1.3(3)],

$$\mathbf{Cor}(Y, X) = \mathbf{lCor}(Y, \text{triv}), (X, \text{triv}) \text{ for } X, Y \in \mathbf{Sm}.$$

Thus  $\gamma_X$  for  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$  give a map  $\gamma_{\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}} : F \rightarrow G$  in  $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ . To see  $\mathcal{L}og(\gamma_{\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}}) = \gamma$ , it suffices by (6.0.1) to show that  $\mathcal{L}og(\gamma_{\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}})$  and  $\gamma$  induce the same map  $F^{\text{log}}(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow G^{\text{log}}(\mathfrak{X})$  for  $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathbf{SmlSm}$ . If  $\mathfrak{X}$  has the trivial log-structure, this follows immediately from the construction of  $\gamma_{\mathbf{RSC}}$ . The general case follows from this in view of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^{\text{log}}(\mathfrak{X}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & G^{\text{log}}(\mathfrak{X}) \\ \downarrow j^* & & \downarrow j^* \\ F^{\text{log}}(X \setminus \partial\mathfrak{X}, \text{triv}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & G^{\text{log}}(X \setminus \partial\mathfrak{X}, \text{triv}) \end{array}$$

where  $j^*$  are induced by the natural map  $(X \setminus \partial \mathfrak{X}, \text{triv}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  of log-schemes and are injective by the construction and the semipurity of  $\underline{\omega}^{\text{CI}}F$ . This completes the proof of the full faithfulness.

Next we show the exactness of  $\mathcal{L}og$ . It suffices to show the following.

*Claim 6.3.1.* Given an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ , the induced sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F^{\log}(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow G^{\log}(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow H^{\log}(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for every  $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathbf{SmlSm}$  with  $X$  henselian local.

Indeed, by the definition of  $\mathcal{L}og$ , this is reduced to the exactness of

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{\omega}^{\text{CI}}F(\mathfrak{X}^{MP}) \rightarrow \underline{\omega}^{\text{CI}}G(\mathfrak{X}^{MP}) \rightarrow \underline{\omega}^{\text{CI}}H(\mathfrak{X}^{MP}) \rightarrow 0,$$

which follows from Corollary 2.6(2). This completes the proof of Theorem 6.3.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. Binda, A. Merici, *Connectivity and purity for logarithmic motives*, to appear in J. of the Inst. Math. Jussieu. [Xiv:2012.08361](https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.08361).
- [2] F. Binda, D. Park, P.A. Østvær, *Triangulated categories of logarithmic motives over a field*, Astérisque. Tome **433**, pp. 280, (2022).
- [3] F. Binda, K. Rülling, S. Saito, *On the cohomology of reciprocity sheaves*, Forum of Mathematics, Sigma, **10**, E72. doi:10.1017/fms.2022.51 (2022).
- [4] B. Kahn, H. Miyazaki, S. Saito, T. Yamazaki, *Motives with modulus, I: Modulus sheaves with transfers*, Epjournal de Geometrie Algebrique **5** (2021), no. 1, 1–62.
- [5] B. Kahn, H. Miyazaki, S. Saito, T. Yamazaki, *Motives with modulus, II: Modulus sheaves with transfers for proper modulus pairs*, Epjournal de Geometrie Algebrique **5** (2021), no. 2, 1–40.
- [6] B. Kahn, S. Saito, T. Yamazaki, *Reciprocity Sheaves, I*, Compositio Mathematica, **9**, volume 151 (2016), 1851–1898.
- [7] B. Kahn, S. Saito, T. Yamazaki, *Reciprocity Sheaves, II*, to appear in Homology, Homotopy and Applications (2021).
- [8] S. Kelly, S. Saito, *Smooth blowups square for motives with modulus*, to appear in Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences - Mathematics. (2020).
- [9] C. Mazza, V. Voevodsky, C. Weibel, *Lecture Notes on Motivic Cohomology*, Clay Mathematics Monographs, 2006
- [10] K. Rülling, S. Saito, *Reciprocity sheaves and their ramification filtrations*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu. (2021) 1–74. doi:10.1017/S1474748021000074.
- [11] Kay Rülling and Shuji Saito, *Ramification theory of reciprocity sheaves, I, Zariski-Nagata purity*, Preprint 2021, [arxiv.org/abs/2111.01459](https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.01459).
- [12] ———, *Ramification theory of reciprocity sheaves, II, Higher local symbols*, Preprint 2021, [arxiv.org/abs/2111.13373](https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.13373).
- [13] K. Rülling, R. Sugiyama, T. Yamazaki, *Tensor structures in the theory of modulus presheaves with transfers*, Math. Zeit. **300**(3), 929–977 (2022).

- [14] S. Saito, *Purity of reciprocity sheaves*, Adv. Math. **365** (2020), 107067.
- [15] V. Voevodsky, *Triangulated categories of motives over a field*, in E. Friedlander, A. Suslin, V. Voevodsky *Cycles, transfers and motivic cohomology theories*, Ann. Math. Studies **143**, Princeton University Press, 2000, 188–238.
- [16] A. Grothendieck, *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérent et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux (SGA2)*, Lecture Notes in Math., Advanced Studies in Pure Math. **2**, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, Paris, 1968.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO,  
3-8-1 KOMABA, TOKYO 153-8941, JAPAN  
*Email address:* sshuji@msb.biglobe.ne.jp